

18.155 LECTURE 3, 15 SEPTEMBER 2016

RICHARD MELROSE

ABSTRACT. Notes before and then after lecture.

Read: Notes Chapter 3, Section 2 and first part of Section 3.

Central result for today is that $\sqrt{2\pi^n}\mathcal{F}$ extends by continuity and density from \mathcal{S} to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that this allows us to define the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ as the inverse Fourier transforms of the weighted L^2 spaces $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-s/2}L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

BEFORE LECTURE

- Tempered distributions and operations.
Differentiation, multiplication by functions of slow growth, translation, Fourier transform, relations.
- Compactly supported smooth functions – $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of the functions which vanish outside some compact set.
Bump functions.
Topology – think about it, don't worry!
- Density of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- Convolution
 $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) * L^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- Density of test functions in square-integrable functions
- Fourier transform of square-integrable functions
- Sobolev spaces
I got to about here.
- Sobolev spaces of integral order
- Density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- Sobolev embedding (probably will not get this far).

AFTER LECTURE

- I talked in a slightly abstract way (which is not in the notes I think) about the transfer of operations on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the latter being the dual of the former. We embed $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using the (complex linear, not sesquilinear) integral pairing

$$(1) \quad \langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int \phi \psi, \quad \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

On the other hand we have the 'duality pairing'

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni (u, \phi) \longmapsto u(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}$$

and we are using (1) to define

$$(3) \quad U_\phi(\psi) = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle.$$

When we show that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

[You might like to work out a proof for yourself along the following lines. By density we mean ‘in the weak topology’, so all we need to show is that if $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then there exists a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\langle u_n, \psi \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, \psi \rangle$ for each $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ – no uniformity. To do this you can use our sequence of cutoffs (from later in this lecture ...) $\mu_k(x) = \mu(x/k)$ where $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has $\mu(x) = 1$ in $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$. If you work at it a bit you can see that $u_k = \mathcal{G}(\mu_k(\xi))\mathcal{F}(\mu_k(x)u)$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the desired properties, we will do this later.]

Anyway, the operations we consider $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous linear maps which have transposes $A^t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also continuous linear maps, such that

$$(4) \quad \langle A\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \phi, A^t\psi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

then we just *define*

$$(5) \quad A : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by } Au(\psi) = u(A^t\psi).$$

As the composite $u \circ A^t \circ Au$ is then continuous, so defines a map as indicated.

We have done this for $A = \partial_j$, $A^t = -A$, $A = \times f$ where f is of slow growth (e.g. a polynomial) and then $A^t = A$. Also $A = T_y$, translation by $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and then $A^t = T_{-y}$ (the inverse of T_y) and most importantly $A = \mathcal{F} = A^t$. Convolution with an element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ will give another example.

I said in lecture that there are continuous linear maps $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which do not have ‘formal’ transposes acting on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; One such example is a finite rank operator

$$(6) \quad A\phi = \sum_j v_j(\phi)\psi_j, \quad v_j \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \psi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The formal transpose of this (assuming $v_j \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) maps $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as it must, but does not map $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- To prove the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ I observed from standard properties of the Lebesgue integral that $\mathcal{C}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense, so it suffices to prove that any continuous function of compact support can be approximated uniformly by a sequence in

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n); \exists R, \phi(x) = 0 \text{ in } |x| > R\}.$$

[Really we want the sequence to vanish outside a fixed ball but this could be arranged afterwards anyway].

- I did this using the convolution integral. If $u, v \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ both vanish in $|x| > R$ then

$$(8) \quad u * v(x) = \int u(x-y)v(y)dy = \int u(y)v(x-y)dy = v * u(x) \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a well-defined Riemann integral and vanishes when $|x| > R$.

The continuity in x follows by direct estimation

$$(9) \quad |u * v(x+z) - u * v(x)| = \left| \int (u(x+z-y) - u(x-y))v(y) \right| \\ \leq \sup |v| \sup_{|y| \leq R} |v(x+z-y) - v(x-y)|$$

and the *uniform* continuity of v shows the right side tends to zero as $|z| \rightarrow 0$.

- If $v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a similar argument shows that $U * v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Namely to prove on derivative exists and is continuous compute the difference quotient using the second version of the convolution

$$(10) \quad \frac{u * v(x + te_j) - u * v(x)}{t} = \int u(y) \frac{u(x + te_j - y) - u(x - y)}{t}$$

and using the FTC or intermediate value theorem to show that the integrand on the right converges uniformly to the derivative. So in fact

$$(11) \quad \partial_j u * v = u * (\partial_j v)$$

so we can immediately argue by induction that $U * v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- I also proved the density directly by a standard argument using an ‘approximate identity’ (for convolution). Namely if $\phi \geq 0$, $\phi(x) = 0$ in $|x| > 1$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int \phi = 1$ (which we can easily arrange with a bump function) then $\phi_k(x) = k^{-n}\phi(kx) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(12) \quad u * \phi_k \rightarrow u \text{ uniformly if } u \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The proof is to write the difference ‘cleverly’ using the fact that the normalization is chosen so $\int \phi_k = 1$ for all k :

$$(13) \quad u * \phi_k(x) - u(x) = \int (u(x-y) - u(x)) \phi_k(y) dy.$$

Then the difference can be estimated using the positivity of ϕ and the fact that $\phi_k(y)$ vanishes in $|y| > 1/k$

$$(14) \quad |u * \phi_k(x) - u(x)| \leq \int |u(x-y) - u(x)| \phi_k(y) dy \leq \sup_{|y| \leq 1/k} |u(x-y) - u(x)|$$

and the uniform continuity of u .