

# HOMEWORK 10 FOR 18.100B/C, FALL 2010

## SOLUTIONS

As usual, homework is due in 2-108 by 11AM on Thursday 2 December, or by email before 5PM on the same day. This is the last homework for this course!

- (1) Let  $E$  be a set and let  $Y$  be a metric space. Consider all the bounded maps  $f : E \rightarrow Y$  – so for each such map there is a constant  $M$  and a point  $y \in Y$  with the property that  $d_Y(f(e), y) \leq M$  for all  $e \in E$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(E; Y)$  be the set of these bounded maps and define for each  $f, g \in \mathcal{B}(E; Y)$

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{e \in E} d_Y(f(e), g(e)).$$

Show that this is a metric on  $\mathcal{B}(E; Y)$  and that if  $Y$  is complete so is  $\mathcal{B}(E; Y)$ .

Solution: If  $f : E \rightarrow Y$  is bounded then for any  $y'$  in  $Y$  the function  $d_Y(f(e), y') \leq d_Y(f(e), y) + d_Y(y, y')$  is bounded. It follows that  $d(f, g)$  is well defined if  $E$  and  $Y$  are non-empty since

$$d(f(e), g(e)) \leq d(f(e), y) + d(y, g(e))$$

is bounded on  $E$ . Certainly  $d(f, g) \geq 0$  and  $d(f, g) = 0$  implies that  $d_Y(f(e), g(e)) = 0$  for all  $e \in E$  so  $f = g$ . Moreover  $d(f, g) = d(g, f)$  so only the triangle inequality needs to be checked. This follows from the triangle inequality in  $Y$  since for any three bounded maps

$$d_Y(f(e), g(e)) \leq d_Y(f(e), h(e)) + d_Y(h(e), g(e)) \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g)$$

so taking the supremum on the left gives  $d(f, g) \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g)$ .

A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(E; Y)$  is Cauchy if to any  $\epsilon > 0$  there corresponds  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sup_{e \in E} (d_Y(f_n(e), f_m(e))) < \epsilon \forall n, m > N.$$

This implies that each sequence  $\{f_n(e)\}$  is Cauchy in  $Y$  and hence convergent, by the assumed completeness of  $Y$ . The limits define a function  $f : E \rightarrow Y$ . Passing to the limit in the inequalities

$$d_Y(f_n(e), f_m(e)) < \epsilon \forall n, m > N.$$

it follows that  $d(f_n(e), f(e)) \leq \epsilon$  if  $n > N$  and hence that  $f$  is bounded – since  $d_Y(f(e), y) \leq d_Y(f_n(e), y) + \epsilon \leq M + \epsilon$ . Thus in fact  $f \in \mathcal{B}(E; Y)$  and  $d(f_n, f) \leq \epsilon$  if  $n > N$ , so  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{B}(E; Y)$  which is therefore complete.

- (2) Show that if  $X$  is a metric space and  $Y$  is a (complete if you want because of the previous question but it is not needed here) metric space then the set  $\mathcal{C}(X; Y)$  of continuous and bounded maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$  defined in the previous question.

Solution: If  $X$  is a metric space and  $\mathcal{C}(X; Y) \subset \mathcal{B}(X; Y)$  is the subset of bounded and continuous maps then a limit point  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y)$  is the limit

of a sequence  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(X; Y)$ . It follows that  $f$  is continuous, since for  $x, x' \in X$ ,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) + d_Y(f_n(x), f_n(x')) + d_Y(f_n(x'), f(x')).$$

Fixing  $x'$ , and choosing  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n$  such that the both the first and third terms on the right are bounded by  $\epsilon/3$ , by the uniform convergence of  $f_n$  to  $f$ . For this  $n$  the continuity of  $f_n$  means that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(x, x') < \delta$  implies that the second term on the right is less than  $\epsilon/3$  and hence  $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon$ , so  $f$  is continuous. As noted above, it is necessarily bounded so  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X; Y)$  which is therefore closed.

- (3) Rudin Chap 7 No 24. Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $d$  and suppose  $a \in X$  is a fixed point. Assign to each  $p \in X$  the function  $f_p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $f_p(x) = d(p, x) - d(a, x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Show that  $f_p \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , i.e. it is a continuous bounded function, and so this construction defines a map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ . Prove that

$$\sup_{x \in X} |f_p(x) - f_q(x)| = d(p, q) \quad \forall p, q \in X.$$

Hence conclude that  $\Phi$  is an *isometry* (a map between metric spaces preserving the distance) which maps  $X$  1-1 onto a subset of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . Since the latter is complete deduce that the closure of the range of  $\Phi$  is a metric completion of  $X$  – is a complete metric space which has  $X$  (represented as the range of  $\Phi$ ) as a dense subset and which has a distance which restricts to the distance on  $X$ .

Solution: For each  $p \in X$ , the function  $f_p(x) = d(p, x) - d(a, x)$  is continuous since the distance is continuous in each variable. It is bounded by the ‘reverse triangle inequality’  $|d(p, x) - d(a, x)| \leq d(p, a)$ . Thus  $f_p \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . Consider the map  $\Phi : X \ni p \mapsto f_p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  that this defines. Again by the triangle inequality, for any three points  $p, q, x \in X$ ,

$$|f_p(x) - f_q(x)| = |d(p, x) - d(q, x)| \leq d(p, q)$$

with equality when  $x = q$ . Thus

$$d(f_p, f_q) = \sup_{x \in X} |f_p(x) - f_q(x)| = d(p, q)$$

shows that the map is an isometry. It is 1-1, since  $f_p = f_q$  in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  implies that  $p = q$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  be the closure of  $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$ . As a closed subset of a complete metric space this is itself a complete metric space. Moreover we may think of  $X \subset \bar{X}$  by identifying  $p$  with  $f_p$ . Then, by construction the distance on  $X$  is the same as the distance induced by this 1-1 inclusion and  $X$  is a dense subset of  $\bar{X}$ . Thus,  $\bar{X}$  is a metric completion of  $X$ .

Remark. It is straightforward to show that any two metric completions are ‘naturally isometric’ – there is a unique bijection between them which leaves the points of  $X$  fixed and is an isometry.

- (4) Let  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a sequence of continuous functions which is pointwise decreasing with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = 0$ .

Solution: We are not given uniform convergence only pointwise convergence of the decreasing sequence. However, Rudin’s Theorem 7.13 (also proved in class) shows that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on the compact set  $[0, 1]$ ,

since the functions are continuous, monotonic decreasing and have a continuous limit. The convergence of the integral follows from another result in Rudin's book.

- (5) Suppose that  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Riemann integrable function. Show that, as a consequence of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, there is a sequence of polynomials  $p_n$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |f(x) - p_n(x)| dx = 0.$$

Hint: Use a problem from earlier homework about Riemann integrable functions.

Solution: In an earlier homework you showed that if  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[0, 1]$  then there is a sequence of continuous functions,  $f_n$ , such that  $\int_0^1 |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$ . Using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we can choose a sequence of polynomials  $p_n$  such that

$$\sup |f_n(x) - p_n(x)| < 2^{-n} \implies \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - p_n(x)| < 2^{-n}.$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 |f(x) - p_n(x)| \leq \int_0^1 |f(x) - f_n(x)| + \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - p_n(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$