# 18.100B/C, FALL 2009 FINAL EXAM, SOLUTIONS

These solutions are about as short as I think you should expect to get away with and still get full marks! I hope this wasn't all too painful, have a pleasant Winter break. RBM.

## Problem 1

Show that the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; z = \exp(it^{24} + 23t^7) \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{R}\}\$  is connected. Solution. The polynomial  $p(t) = it^{24} + 23t^7$  is continuous on the real line. The function exp is continuous from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and hence the composite  $\exp(p(t))$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The real line is connected and the continuous image of a connected set is connected, hence the given set is connected.

#### Problem 2

Explain why there is no continuous map from the disk  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ onto the interval  $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Solution: By the Heine-Borel theorem the disc  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$  is compact, being closed and bounded. Hence its image under a continuous map must be compact but  $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is not compact, since it is not closed, so there cannot be a continuous map from the closed disc onto the open interval.

#### Problem 3

Suppose that a number s is the upper limit (limit supremum) of a subsequence of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in the reals. Show that s is the limit of some subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ .

Solution: By assumption there exists a subsequence, which we can denote  $y_k$ , of  $x_n$  so that  $s = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n$ ,  $t_n = \sup_{n \ge k} y_k$ . By the definition of sup there is a subsequence  $y_{p(k)}$  such that  $y_{p(k)} > t_k - 1/k$ . Since  $t_k \ge y_{p_k} > t_k - 1/k$  it follows that  $y_{n(k)} \to s$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Since  $y_{n(k)}$  is a subsequence of  $y_k$  it is a subsequence of the original sequence  $x_n$ .

### Problem 4

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable and suppose that 0 is a local maximum of f, i.e. for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f(x) \le f(0)$  for all  $x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Show that  $f''(0) \le 0$ .

Solution. Since 0 is a local maximum of f which is differentiable, it follows that f'(0) = 0 by a theorem in Rudin. For  $0 < x < \epsilon$  the mean value theorem shows the existence of y with 0 < y < x such that

(1) 
$$f(x) - f(0) = f'(y)(x - 0) \le 0,$$

so  $f'(y) \leq 0$ . Thus the difference quotient for f'',  $\frac{f'(y)-f'(0)}{y-0} = f'(y)/y$  is non-positive for some small positive y, arbitrary small. As the limit as  $y \downarrow 0$  it follows that  $f''(0) \leq 0$ .

#### Problem 5

Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions on [0, 1] such that

(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 x^k \phi_n(x) dx = 0$$

for every k = 0, 1, 2, ... Show that for any continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_n(x)dx$$

exists.

Solution: Since any polynomial is a finite sum of products of monomials  $x^k$  and constants it follows from (2) that

(3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 p(x)\phi_n(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 x^k \phi_n(x)dx = 0$$

for any polynomial p.

The uniform boundedness means that there exists a constant M such that  $|\phi_n(x)| \leq M$  for all n and for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Given a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem shows that there is a sequence of polynomials  $p_l(x)$  converging uniformly to f on [0, 1]. Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a polynomial p such that

(4) 
$$|p(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon/2(M+1) \Longrightarrow |\int_0^1 f(x)\phi_n(x)dx - \int_0^1 p(x)\phi_n(x)dx| < \epsilon/2.$$

Now, since p is a polynomial, (3) shows the existence of N such that

(5) 
$$n > N \Longrightarrow |\int_0^1 p(x)\phi_n(x)dx| < \epsilon/2 \Longrightarrow |\int_0^1 f(x)\phi_n(x)dx| < \epsilon.$$

Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_n(x)dx = 0$  for any continuous function f on [0,1].

# Problem 6

Using standard properties of the cosine function show that the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} \cos(nx)$$

defines a continuously differentiable function on the real line.

Solution: The terms in the series are all differentiable with derivatives

$$(6) \qquad \qquad -\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sin(nx)$$

Since  $|\sin(nx)| \leq 1$  this series is absolutely and uniformly convergent on  $\mathbb{R}$ , by the comparison test with  $\sum_{n} n^{-3/2}$ . The same applies to the original series so, by a theorem in Rudin, the sum f is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the derivative is the sum of a uniformly convergent series of continuous functions, hence continuous. Thus f is continuously differentiable as a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# Problem 7

(1) Explain why the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

(7) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \exp(x^2/3) d\alpha$$

exists for any increasing function  $\alpha : [-1, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

(2) Evaluate this integral when

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0\\ 1 & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution: By a theorem in Rudin any continuous function is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to any increasing function  $\alpha$ . Since  $\exp(x^2/3)$  (or was it supposed to be  $\exp(x^{\frac{2}{3}})$ ?) is continuous, as the composite of continuous functions, the integral (7) exists.

To compute it, consider the partition with two interior division points at  $-\delta$  and  $\delta$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is small. The upper and lower Riemann-Stieltjes sums are then

(8) 
$$\inf_{[-\delta,\delta]} \exp(x^2/3)(\alpha(\delta) - \alpha(-\delta)) \text{ and } \sup_{[-\delta,\delta]} \exp(x^2/3)(\alpha(\delta) - \alpha(-\delta)).$$

As  $\delta \to 0$  these both approach 1 which must therefore be the value of the integral

(9) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \exp(x^2/3) d\alpha = 1.$$

[Or by quoting some other result from Rudin.]