

PROBLEM SET 1, 18.155
BRIEF SOLUTIONS

- (1) Prove (probably by induction) the multi-variable form of Leibniz formula for the derivatives of the product of two (sufficiently differentiable) functions:-

$$(1) \quad \partial^\alpha(fg) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\beta} g.$$

Solution: One convenient way to see this is to think algebraically and separate out the variables to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_j}(f(x)g(x)) &= (\partial_{x_j} + \partial_{y_j})f(x)g(y) \Big|_{x=y} \\ \partial^\alpha(f(x)g(x)) &= (\partial_{x_j} + \partial_{y_j})^\alpha f(x)g(y) \Big|_{x=y}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one can expand out the sum of the two commuting variables and use the standard formula in terms of the combinatorial coefficients

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (X + Y)^\alpha &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} X^\beta Y^{\alpha-\beta} \\ \binom{\alpha}{\beta} &= \binom{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i!}{\beta_i!(\alpha_i-\beta_i)!}. \end{aligned}$$

To make this completely rigorous one can do it for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using the Fourier transform and then argue that it has to hold in general.

Another way is to argue by induction that there has to be a formula (1) for *some* coefficients and then apply it to monomials to see what they must be.

Or just hammer it out!

- (2) Consider the norms, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|f\|_N = \sum_{|\beta|+|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha f(x)|.$$

Show that

$$\|f\|'_N = \sum_{|\beta|+|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha(x^\beta f(x))|$$

are equivalent norms, $\|f\|_N \leq C_N \|f\|'_N$ and $\|f\|'_N \leq C'_N \|f\|_N$.

Solution: Use induction over N . We can apply Leibniz' formula to see that

$$\partial^\alpha(x^\beta f) = x^\beta \partial^\alpha f + \sum_{\beta' < \beta, \alpha' < \alpha} c_{\alpha', \beta'} x^{\beta'} \partial^{\alpha'} f$$

since as soon as one derivative falls on the coefficients the order drops in both senses. Each of the terms in the sum is bounded by the $N - 1$ norm, so, using the inductive hypothesis

$$|\partial^\alpha(x^\beta f)| \leq |x^\beta \partial^\alpha f| + C \|f\|_{N-1}, \quad |x^\beta \partial^\alpha f| \leq |\partial^\alpha(x^\beta f)| + C \|f\|'_{N-1}.$$

Taking the supremum and summing over α and β gives the inductive step.

- (3) Consider $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is an infinitely differentiable function of polynomial growth, in the sense that for each α there exists $N(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha F(x)| \leq C(\alpha)(1 + |x|)^{N(\alpha)}.$$

Show that multiplication by F gives a map $\times F : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution: Again this is an application of Leibniz' formula. The product $F\phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is infinitely differentiable with

$$|x^\beta \partial^\alpha(F\phi)| \leq \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} c_{\alpha'} |x^\beta \partial^{\alpha'} F| |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha'} \phi| \leq C \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} C(1 + |x|)^N \partial^{\alpha'} \phi \leq \|\phi\|_M$$

where the power N is the max of $|\beta| + N(\alpha')$ and this determines M . Taking the supremum, $\times F : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous linear map.

- (4) Show that if $s \in \mathbb{R}$ then $F_s(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{s/2}$ is a smooth function of polynomial growth in the sense discussed above and that multiplication by F_s is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Solution: To see that this function is of polynomial growth, prove the stronger *symbol estimates*

$$(3) \quad |\partial^\alpha F_s(x)| \leq C_{s, \alpha} F_{s - |\alpha|}.$$

These follow by using induction to check that

$$(4) \quad \partial^\alpha F_s(x) = p_\alpha(x) F_{s - 2|\alpha|}$$

where p_α is a polynomial of degree at most $|\alpha|$ so $|p_\alpha| \leq C_\alpha F_{|\alpha|}$.

Now $F_0 = 1$ and $F_{t+s} = F_s F_t$ so the inverse of $\times F_s$ is $\times F_{-s}$ and it follows that multiplication is an isomorphism.

- (5) Consider one-point, or stereographic, compactification of \mathbb{R}^n . This is the map $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ obtained by sending x first to the point $z = (1, x)$ in the hyperplane $z_0 = 1$ where (z_0, \dots, z_n)

are the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and then mapping it to the point $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $|Z| = 1$ which is also on the line from the ‘South Pole’ $(-1, 0)$ to $(1, x)$.

Derive a formula for T and use it to find a formula for the inversion map $I : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies $I(x) = x'$ if $T(x) = (z_0, z)$ and $T(x') = (-z_0, z)$. That is, it correspond to reflection across the equator in the unit sphere.

Solution: Since $Z = Tx$ has $|Z| = 1$ and $Z = (1-s)(-1, 0) + s(1, x)$ for some s ,

$$(2s - 1)^2 + s^2|x|^2 = 1 \implies s = \frac{4}{4 + |x|^2},$$

$$Tx = \left(\frac{4 - |x|^2}{4 + |x|^2}, \frac{4x}{4 + |x|^2} \right)$$

since the other root, $s = 0$, is the South Pole. Then

$$Tx' = \left(-\frac{4 - |x|^2}{4 + |x|^2}, \frac{4x}{4 + |x|^2} \right) \implies x' = Ix = \frac{4x}{|x|^2}.$$

Show that if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $I^*f(x) = f(x')$, defined for $x \neq 0$, extends by continuity with all its derivatives across the origin where they all vanish.

Solution: Certainly I is smooth and is a diffeomorphism of the region $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to itself, since it has inverse I – from the definition it is an involution so $I^*f(x) = f(4x/|x|^2)$ is smooth outside the origin. As $|x| \rightarrow 0$, $|Ix| \rightarrow \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so I^*f extends continuously up to 0 where it vanishes. The chain rule gives the formula for the first derivatives of I^*f :

$$(5) \quad \partial_{x_j} I^*f = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{jk}(x) I^*(\partial_{y_k} f), \quad g_{jk} = \partial_{x_j} \frac{4x_k}{|x|^2}.$$

We can work out the coefficients, but the important thing is that they are smooth functions in $|x| > 0$ which are homogeneous of degree -2 . Here the $\partial_{y_k} f$ are the derivatives of f . Now, one can get the higher derivatives by differentiating inductively and it follows that

$$(6) \quad \partial_x^\alpha I^*f = \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} g_{\alpha, \beta}(x) I^*(\partial_y^\beta f)$$

where the coefficients are smooth in $|x| > 0$ and homogeneous of degree $-2|\alpha| + |\beta|$. This means we get estimates near 0

$$|\partial_x^\alpha I^* f(x)| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} |x|^{-2|\alpha| + |\beta|} |I^*(\partial_y^\beta f)|.$$

However, f being Schwartz means that $|I^* f(x)| \leq C_N |x|^N$ for any N and the same thing applies to the derivatives. So in fact we conclude that all the derivatives of $I^* f$ extends continuously across the origin and vanish there (to infinite order as follows anyway). This means $I^* f$ itself is smooth as claimed with all derivatives vanishing at 0.

Conversely show that if $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a function with all derivatives continuous, then $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $I^* f$ has this property, that all derivatives extend continuously across the origin and vanish there – i.e. they all have limit zero at the origin.

Solution: Just reverse the argument. All we need so show is that $x^\beta \partial_x^\alpha$ is bounded for all α, β . Since I is its own inverse, (5) gives

$$(7) \quad \partial_y^\alpha f(y) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} g_{\alpha, \beta}(y) \partial_x^\beta (I^* f)$$

where the same statements apply, except now we are interested in what is happening as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$. However, using homogeneity and now the fact that all derivatives of $I^* f$ have bounds $C_N |x|^N$ for any N , we find that in $|y| > 1$,

$$(8) \quad |\partial_y^\alpha f(y)| \leq C_M |y|^{-M}$$

for any M . So indeed the converse is also true.