

## LECTURE 17, 18.155, 5 NOVEMBER 2013

The first thing I want to talk about in relation to half-spaces and bounded domains is the restriction theorem for Sobolev spaces. So consider the embedding map

$$(1) \quad E : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \ni x' \mapsto (x', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Pullback under this is the restriction map,  $R = E^*$ ,  $Rf(x') = f(x', 0) = f \circ E$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The restriction map*

$$(2) \quad R : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \phi \mapsto \phi(\cdot, 0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

*extends by continuity to a surjective bounded map*

$$(3) \quad H^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \quad \forall m > \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* The Fourier transform of the restriction to  $x_n = 0$  of a Schwartz function can be written in terms of the Fourier transform:

$$(4) \quad \widehat{R\phi}(\xi') = \int e^{-ix' \cdot \xi'} \phi(x', 0) dx' = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \hat{\phi}(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n.$$

Now, for functions in  $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with Fourier transform supported in  $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$  the result is clear, since the restriction also has Fourier transform with support in the ball and so is in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ . So, we may assume that  $\hat{u} = 0$  in  $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$  and that the same is true of an approximating sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus it suffices to estimate the norm of (4) in  $H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  under this assumption.

The integral may be estimated by Cauchy Schwartz' inequality, aiming at the  $H^m$  norm:

$$(5) \quad \left| \int \hat{\phi}(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n \right|^2 \leq \int |\hat{\phi}(\xi', \xi_n)|^2 (|\xi|^2 + |\xi_n|^2)^m d\xi_n \int (|\xi|^2 + |\xi_n|^2)^{-m} d\xi_n$$

where the second integral is finite proved  $m > \frac{1}{2}$  as we are assuming. Then it can be evaluated by scaling

$$(6) \quad \int (|\xi|^2 + |\xi_n|^2)^{-m} d\xi_n = c |\xi'|^{-2m+1}, \quad c > 0.$$

Inserting this in (5) shows that

$$(7) \quad \|R\phi\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \int |\xi'|^{2m-1} \left| \int \hat{\phi}(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n \right|^2 \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^m}$$

using the support property of the Fourier transform. This proves (3).

For the converse we will construct a right inverse to  $R$ . If  $v \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  then

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{2m-1} |\hat{v}(\xi')|^2 d\xi' < \infty$$

and all we need to do is to construct  $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{2m} |w(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty, \quad v(\xi') = (2\pi)^{-1} \int w(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n.$$

Choose  $0 \leq \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\int \phi = 1$ . For part of  $\hat{v}$  supported in  $|\xi'| \leq 2\pi$  such an extension is given by

$$w(\xi', \xi) = \phi(\xi_n) \chi_{\{|\xi'| \leq 1\}} \hat{v}(\xi')$$

since this is in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . So, really just for notation convenience, we can assume that  $\hat{v}(\xi') = 0$  in  $|\xi'| \leq 1$ . Then we use the same idea, but 'spread the support':

$$(9) \quad w(\xi', \xi_n) = \phi\left(\frac{\xi_n}{|\xi'|}\right) |\xi'|^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi').$$

Then

(10)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n &= |\xi'|^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi') \int \phi\left(\frac{\xi_n}{|\xi'|}\right) d\xi_n = \hat{v}(\xi'), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m} |w(\xi', \xi_n)|^2 d\xi_n d\xi' &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\hat{v}(\xi')|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\xi_n|^2 + |\xi'|^2)^m |\xi'|^{-2} \phi\left(\frac{\xi_n}{|\xi'|}\right)^2 d\xi_n d\xi' \end{aligned}$$

where the inner integral is actually a constant multiple of  $|\xi'|^{2m-1}$ .  $\square$

We do not actually need  $m > \frac{1}{2}$  to find a right inverse in the last part of the argument – even for  $m \leq \frac{1}{2}$  if  $v \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  there is a distribution  $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which happens to have the property that  $u(\cdot, x_n)$  is continuous in  $x_n$  with values in distributions, which restricts to  $v$  at  $x_n = 0$ . If I have some time later I will discuss this sort of thing a bit more.

The remainder of this lecture is reconstructed after the event.

A diffeomorphism between open sets,  $U, U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a smooth map with a smooth two-sided inverse,  $F : U \rightarrow U', G : U' \rightarrow U, F(x) = (f_1, \dots, f_n x), G(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))$  with  $f_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U), g_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U')$  (real-valued of course) and

$$(11) \quad F(G(y)) = y \quad \forall y \in U', \quad G(F(x)) = x \quad \forall x \in U.$$

For any smooth map, the pull-back operation is defined by composition:

$$(12) \quad F^* : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U), \quad F^* f(x) = f(F(x)).$$

Then  $F$  is a diffeomorphism if and only if (12) is a bijection – since the components of  $G$  are the functions which pull-back to the coordinate functions on  $U$ .

The tangent space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $p$  may be defined as the space of derivations of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(O)$  for any open  $O \ni p$ , the linear maps

$$(13) \quad T_p \mathbb{R}^n = \{\delta : \mathcal{C}^\infty(O) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ s.t. } \delta(fg) = f(p)\delta(g) + g(p)\delta(f)\}.$$

Such a derivation is just a sum of the basic derivations

$$(14) \quad \partial_i : \mathcal{C}^\infty(O) \ni f \longmapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p), \quad \delta = \sum_i c_i \partial_i.$$

Thus the standard coordinates give a natural trivialization  $T_p \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $F : U \longrightarrow U'$  is smooth then its differential at  $p$  is

$$(15) \quad F_* : T_p \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T_{F(p)} \mathbb{R}^n, \quad F_*(\delta) = \delta', \quad \delta' : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U') \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta'(g) = \delta(F^*g).$$

Clearly as a map in terms of the coordinate trivialization this is given by the Jacobian matrix

$$(16) \quad F_*(\partial_i(p)) = \sum_j \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i}(p) \partial_j(f(p)).$$

If  $F$  is a diffeomorphism, then  $F_*$  must be invertible at each point, with inverse  $G_*(f(p))$ . Conversely, the inverse function theorem implies that if  $F : O \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is smooth and  $F_*(p)$  is invertible then  $F : B(p, \epsilon) \longrightarrow F(B(p, \epsilon))$  is a diffeomorphism of open sets for  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough.

Now, if  $F : U \longrightarrow U'$  is a diffeomorphism then, not only does (12) hold, but also

$$(17) \quad F^* : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$$

is an isomorphism, since  $F$  maps compact subsets of  $U$  onto (all) compact subsets of  $U'$ . These two spaces are dense in the distribution spaces so it makes sense to claim:

**Proposition 2.** *For any diffeomorphism  $F : U \rightarrow U'$ . the maps (17) and (12) extend by continuity to isomorphisms*

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} F^* &: H_{\text{loc}}^m(U') \longrightarrow H_{\text{loc}}^m(U), \\ F^* &: H_c^m(U') \longrightarrow H_c^m(U) \quad \forall m, \\ F^* &: \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(U), \\ F^* &: \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^{-\infty}(U). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We need first to recall the behaviour of integrable functions under diffeomorphisms. If  $f \in L_c^1(U')$  then indeed,  $F^*f \in L_c^1(U)$ , and the integrals are related by

$$(19) \quad \int_U F^*f J_F = \int_{U'} f, \quad J_F = \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \right|.$$

In particular there is no sign change in the Lebesgue integral if one reverses one of the variables.

Perhaps I should recall a little where (19) comes from, but it is of course a very standard formula.

This immediately extends to  $L^2$  since a function  $u \in L_c^2(U')$  is just one such that  $u, |u|^2 \in L_c^1(U')$ . This gives the second result in (18) for  $m = 0$ .

Continuing with this case, consider  $0 < m < 1$ . Since we are looking at functions with compact support,  $u \in H_c^m(U')$  then means that  $u \in L_c^2(U')$  and

$$\int_{U' \times U'} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2m}} dx dy < \infty.$$

In fact, if  $\delta > 0$  then for an  $L^2$  function of compact support, ( ) is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad \int_{U' \times U', |x-y| < \delta} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2m}} dx dy < \infty.$$

Indeed, the integral over  $|x - y| \geq \delta$  can be bounded by twice

$$(21) \quad 2 \int_{U' \times U', |x-y| > \delta} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2m}} dx dy$$

which is indeed finite. So, given  $u \in H_c^m(U')$  to show that  $F^*u \in H_c^m(U)$  it remains only to show that

$$(22) \quad \int_{K \times K, |x-y| < \delta} \frac{|u(F(x)) - u(F(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2m}} dx dy < \infty$$

where  $K \Subset U$ . Using Taylor's formula

$$(23) \quad F(x) - F(y) = (x-y) \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + E, \quad |E(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^2 \text{ in } |x-y| \leq \delta$$

uniformly over  $x \in K$  if  $\delta > 0$  is small enough. Since the Jacobian matrix is invertible it follows that

$$|x-y| \geq c|F(x) - F(y)| \text{ on } K \times K \cap \{|x-y| < \delta\}.$$

Thus instead of (22) it is enough to show that

$$(24) \quad \int_{K \times K, |x-y| < \delta} \frac{|u(F(x)) - u(F(y))|^2}{|F(x) - F(y)|^{n+2m}} J_f(x) J_f(y) dx dy < \infty$$

since the Jacobian factors are strictly positive. Now we simply change variable as in (19) and the finiteness follows from ( ).

Now suppose that  $k \leq m < k+1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can proceed by induction over  $k$  using the fact that  $u \in H_c^m(U')$  is equivalent to

$$u, D_i u \in H_c^{m-1}(U'), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, it follows that  $F^*u, F^*(D_i u) \in H_c^{m-1}(U)$ . However, the behaviour of derivations is simple, in that

$$(25) \quad D_i F^*u = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) F^*(D_j u)$$

where the coefficients are again essentially the Jacobian matrix, in any case are smooth. Since we know the compactly-supported Sobolev spaces are modules over  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ , we conclude that  $u \in H_c^m(U)$  and the result follows for all  $m \geq 0$ .

The proof for  $m < 0$  is similar, since if  $-k < m < -k+1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $u \in H_c^m(U')$  is equivalent to being able to decompose it as a sum

$$u = v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i v_i, \quad v_p \in H_c^{m+1}(U').$$

The same sort of inductive argument therefore applies.

Thus we have proved the second statement in (18). The last is a consequence since each compactly supported distribution is in some Sobolev space. The first and third identifications then follow from the second and last by a suitable localization argument.  $\square$