

## 18.102: NOTES ON HILBERT SPACE

RICHARD MELROSE

ABSTRACT. Here are some notes summarizing and slightly reorganizing the material covered from Chapter 3 of Debnath and Mikusiński

- (1) Baire's theorem. Let  $M$  be a complete, non-empty, metric space. If  $F_i \subset M$  are closed subsets for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$(1) \quad M = \bigcup_i F_i$$

then at least one of the  $F_i$  has non-empty interior, i.e. contains a ball of positive radius.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that each of the  $F_i$  has empty interior. Since  $M$  contains a ball of positive radius,  $F_1 \neq M$ . Thus there is a point  $p_1 \in M \setminus F_1$  and since this set is open, for some  $\epsilon_1 > 0$   $B(p_1, \epsilon_1) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ . Now, consider the set  $B(p_1, \epsilon_1/3) \setminus F_2$ . This must be non-empty since otherwise  $F_2$  contains a ball, so we can choose  $p_2 \in B(p_1, \epsilon_1/3)$  and  $0 < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1/3$  such that  $B(p_2, \epsilon_2) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $B(p_2, \epsilon_2) \subset B(p_1, 2\epsilon_1/3)$ . Proceeding step by step this gives a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  in  $M$  such that

$$(2) \quad 0 < \epsilon_n < \epsilon_{n-1}/3, \quad B(p_n, \epsilon_n) \cap F_n = \emptyset, \quad d(p_n, p_{n-1}) < \epsilon_{n-1}.$$

Thus the balls are nested,  $B(p_n, \epsilon_n) \subset B(p_{n-1}, 2\epsilon_{n-1}/3)$  and the sequence is Cauchy,  $\epsilon_n < 3^{-n+1}\epsilon_1$  and  $d(p_n, p_j) < \epsilon_n$  for all  $j \geq n$ . By the assumed completeness of  $M$ , the sequence converges,  $p_n \rightarrow p$ , and the limit cannot be in any of the  $F_i$  since it lies inside the closed ball  $\{q; |p - q| \leq 2\epsilon_i/3\}$  which does not meet  $F_i$ . This contradicts (1) so at least one of the  $F_i$  must have non-empty interior.

- (2) Uniform boundedness Principle – which is another theorem. Suppose  $B$  is a Banach space,  $V$  is a normed space and  $L_i : B \rightarrow V$  is a sequence of bounded linear maps. If, for each  $b \in B$ , the set

$$(3) \quad \{L_i(b) \in V\} \text{ is bounded (i.e. in norm) in } V$$

then

$$(4) \quad \sup_i \|L_i\| < \infty,$$

meaning that the sequence is uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let  $M$  be the complete metric space  $\{b \in B; \|b\|_B \leq 1\}$ , the closed unit ball in  $B$ . Consider the sets

$$(5) \quad F_n = \{b \in M; \|L_i(b)\| \leq n \quad \forall i\}.$$

These are closed sets, since

$$(6) \quad F_n = \bigcap_i L_i^{-1}(\{|z| \leq n\}) \cap M$$

which are all closed by the assumed continuity of the  $L_i$ . Moreover, the assumption (3) is that every point in  $M$  is in one of the  $F_n$ , so

$$(7) \quad M = \bigcup_n F_n.$$

Baire's theorem therefore shows that at least one of the  $F_n$  has non-empty interior. This means that for some  $b \in M$ , and some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad |b' - b| \leq \epsilon \implies |L_i(b)| \leq n \quad \forall i.$$

This set also contains an open ball in the interior of  $M$  so we can assume that (8) holds and  $|b| + \epsilon < 1$ . Now, the triangle inequality shows that

$$(9) \quad |b'| < \epsilon \implies |L_i(b')| \leq |L_i(b + b')| + |L_i(b)| \leq C$$

where we again use (3). Thus in fact  $\|L_i\| \leq C/\epsilon$ .

- (3) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a weakly convergent sequence in a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , meaning that for a fixed element  $x \in H$  and all  $v \in H$

$$(10) \quad \langle x_n, v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x, v \rangle$$

then  $\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty$ .

Proof. We may apply the Uniform Boundedness Principle with  $B = H$  the Hilbert space,  $V = \mathbb{C}$  and  $L_i(v) = \langle v, x_i \rangle$ . These are bounded linear functionals, since

$$(11) \quad |L_i(v)| \leq \|x_i\| \|v\| \text{ by Schwarz inequality}$$

and in fact  $\|L_i\| = \|x_i\|$  as follows by setting  $v = x_i$ . Moreover (3) holds since  $\langle v, x_i \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, x \rangle$  so  $\|L_i\|$  and hence  $\|x_i\|$  are bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (4) Conversely if  $x_i$  is a bounded sequence in  $H$  and  $\langle x_i, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, v \rangle$  for all  $v$  in a dense subset  $D \subset H$  then  $x_i \rightharpoonup x$ .  
 (5) Suppose that  $\|x\|, \|x_n\| \leq C$  for all  $n$  and  $w \in H$ . Then there is a sequence  $D \ni v_k \rightarrow w$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose  $k$  so large that

$$(12) \quad 2C\|w - v_k\| < \epsilon/2$$

then

$$(13) \quad |\langle x_n, w \rangle - \langle x, w \rangle| \leq |\langle x_n, v_k \rangle - \langle x, v_k \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, w - v_k \rangle| \leq |\langle x_n, v_k \rangle - \langle x, v_k \rangle| + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$$

for  $n$  large. Thus  $\langle x_n, w \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, w \rangle$  for all  $w \in H$  so  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ .

(6)

**Theorem 1** (Open mapping theorem). *Let  $T : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$  be a bounded linear map between Banach spaces and suppose that  $T$  is surjective, then  $T(O) \subset B_2$  is open for each open set  $O \subset B_1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the open ball around the origin in  $B_1$  of unit radius  $B(0, 1)$ . By the assumed surjectivity

$$(14) \quad \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T(B(0, k)) = B_2$$

since every point in  $B_1$  is in the ball of radius  $k$  for  $k$  large enough. By Baire's theorem it follows that one of the  $T(B(0, k))$  must have closure with non-empty interior in  $B_1$ , that is for some  $p \in B_2$ , some  $\epsilon > 0$  and some  $k$ ,

$$(15) \quad B(p, \epsilon) \subset \overline{T(B(0, k))}.$$

This means that the set  $T(B(0, k)) \cap B(p, \epsilon)$  is dense in  $B(p, \epsilon)$ . In particular it is non-empty, so there is some  $q \in B(0, k)$  such that  $T(q) \in B(p, \epsilon)$ . If  $\delta > 0$  is small enough then  $B(T(q), \delta) \subset B(p, \epsilon)$  so  $T(B(0, k)) \cap B(T(q), \delta)$  is also dense in  $B(T(q), \delta)$ . So, reverting to the earlier notation, we can assume that  $p = T(q)$ , the centre of the ball, is in  $T(B(0, k))$ . The triangle inequality shows that  $B(q, 2k) \supset B(0, k)$ . It follows that

$$(16) \quad B(0, \epsilon) \subset \overline{T(B(0, 2k))}.$$

Indeed, if  $f \in B(0, \epsilon)$  then  $T(q) + f = \lim_n T(q_n)$ ,  $q_n \in B(T(q), \epsilon)$ . Thus  $f = \lim_n T(q_n - q)$  and  $q_n - q \in B(0, 2k)$ .

Now we use the linearity of  $T$  to make (16) look more uniform. Given  $f \in B(0, \epsilon)$  and  $\eta > 0$ , (16) asserts the existence of  $u \in B(0, 2k)$  such that  $\|Tu - f\| < \eta$ . Suppose that  $f \in B_2$  and  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $f' = \epsilon f / 2\|f\| \in B(0, \epsilon)$ . So there exists  $u' \in B(0, 2k)$  with  $\|Tu' - f'\| < \epsilon\eta/2\|f\|$ . This means that  $u = 2\|f\|u'/\epsilon$  shows that

$$(17) \quad \exists u \in B_1, \|u\| \leq C\|f\|, \|Tu - f\| < \eta, C = 2k/\epsilon.$$

So this is the uniformity – this is possible for every  $f \in B_2$  and every  $\eta > 0$  with  $C$  independent of  $\eta$  and  $f$  – including  $f = 0$  for which we can take  $u = 0$  for any  $\eta$ .

So, now fix  $f \in B(0, 1) \subset B_2$  and choose a sequence  $u_n \in B_1$  using (17). First apply (17) to  $f$  with  $\eta = 1/2$  and let  $u_1$  be the result. Now, suppose by induction we have obtained  $u_k$  for  $k \leq n$  which satisfy

$$(18) \quad \|u_k\| \leq 2^{-k}C, \|T(\sum_{k=1}^n u_k) - f\| \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Then apply (17) again but to  $f_{n+1} = f - T(\sum_{k=1}^n u_k)$  with  $\eta = 2^{-n-1}$  and let  $u_{n+1}$  be the result. So  $\|u_{n+1}\| \leq 2^{-n}C$  and

$$(19) \quad \|Tu_n - (f - T(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k))\| \leq 2^{-n-1}.$$

This is just the inductive hypothesis (18) for  $n+1$  so in fact we have (18) for every  $n$ . It follows that

$$(20) \quad v_n = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \implies \|v_n - v_m\| < 2^{-|n-m|}C, \|v_n\| < C$$

so  $v_n$  is Cauchy in  $B_1$ , hence convergent by the assumed completeness of  $B_1$ . Thus  $v_n \rightarrow V$  in  $B_1$ . However the second part of (18) shows that  $Tv_n \rightarrow f$  so by the continuity of  $T$ ,  $Tv = f$ . Moreover,  $v \in B(0, 3C)$  so we conclude that

$$(21) \quad T(B(0, 3C)) \supset B(0, 1)$$

without taking the closure.

Now, from (21) it follows for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $T(0, 3C\epsilon) \supset B(0, \epsilon)$ . If  $O$  is open and  $q \in T(O)$ , so  $q = T(p)$  for  $p \in O$  then, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $B(p, 3C\epsilon) \subset O$  so

$$(22) \quad T(O) \supset T(B(p, 3C\epsilon)) \supset B(q, \epsilon)$$

and it follows that  $T(O)$  is open.  $\square$

(7)

**Corollary 1.** *If  $T : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$  is a continuous linear map between Banach spaces which is 1-1 and onto then  $T^{-1} : B_2 \longrightarrow B_1$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* For safety of notation, let  $S : B_2 \longrightarrow B_1$  be the inverse of  $T$ . If  $O \subset B_1$  is open then  $S^{-1}(O) = T(O) \subset B_2$  is open by the Open Mapping Theorem, so  $S$  is continuous.  $\square$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
E-mail address: `rbm@math.mit.edu`