

MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #10

1. (i) As $x+4$ has degree one, either it divides $x^3 - 6x + 7$ or these two polynomials are coprime. But if $x+4$ divides $x^3 - 6x + 7$ then $x = -4$ is a root of $x^3 - 6x + 7$, which it obviously is not. Thus the gcd is 1.

(ii) We have $x^7 - x^4 = x^4(x^3 - 1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}x^7 - x^4 + x^3 - 1 &= x^4(x^3 - 1) + x^3 - 1 \\ &= (x^3 - 1)(x^4 + 1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the gcd is $x^3 - 1$.

2. We will repeatedly use the fact that if a polynomial of degree at most three is not irreducible, it must in fact have a root, as it must have a linear factor.

(i) $x^2 + 7$ cannot have a root over \mathbb{R} as $a^2 + 7 \geq 7$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) This is slightly tricky. Probably the best way to proceed is as follows. Suppose that $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a root, where a and b are coprime integers. We have

$$(a/b)^3 - 3(a/b) + 3 = 0.$$

Multiplying through by b^3 gives,

$$a^3 - 3ab^2 + 3b^3 = 0.$$

Reducing modulo three, it follows that a is divisible by 3. Thus $a = 3c$, some c . Substituting, we have

$$(3c)^3 - 3^2cb^2 + 3b^3 = 0.$$

Cancelling one power of 3, we have

$$b^3 - 3b^2c + 9c = 0.$$

Reducing modulo three again, we have that b is divisible by three. But this contradicts the fact that a and b are chosen to be coprime.

(iii) It suffices to observe that $0 + 0 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 \neq 0$.

(iv) Note that we are asking if -1 is a square or not, in \mathbb{F}_{19} . As $(-a)^2 = a^2$, it suffices to consider $0 \leq a \leq 9$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}0^2 = 0, & 1^2 = 1, & 2^2 = 4, & 3^2 = 9, & 4^2 = 16 \\ 5^2 = 25 = 6, & 6^2 = 36 = -2, & 7^2 = 49 = 11, & 8^2 = 64 = 7, & 9^2 = 81 = 5.\end{array}$$

Thus $x^2 + 1$ does not have a root and so it must be irreducible.

(v) Again it suffices to check that 9 is not a cube root in \mathbb{F}_{13} . As $(-a)^3 = -a^3$, it suffices to check that for $0 \leq a \leq 4$, $a^3 \neq \pm 9 = 9, 4$. We compute

$$0^3 = 0, \quad 1^3 = 1, \quad 2^3 = 8, \quad 3^3 = 27 = 1 \quad 4^3 = 64 = 12.$$

(vi) We first check that $x^4 + 2x^2 + 2$ does not have any linear factors. This is equivalent to checking that it does not have any roots, which is clear as

$$a^4 + 2a^2 + 2 \geq 2$$

for any real number a .

The only other possibility to eliminate is that it is a product of quadratic factors. Suppose that

$$x^4 + 2x^2 + 2 = f(x)g(x),$$

where both f and g are quadratic. Moving the coefficient of x^2 in f from f to g , we might as well assume that f is monic, that is, that its top coefficient is 1. In this case g is monic as well. Thus

$$x^4 + 2x^2 + 2 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d),$$

where a, b, c and d are rational numbers. Comparing coefficients of x^3 , we get

$$a + c = 0.$$

Renaming, we get

$$x^4 + 2x^2 + 2 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 - ax + c).$$

Looking the coefficient of x , we get

$$ac - ab = 0.$$

Thus either $a = 0$ or $b = c$. Suppose $a = 0$. Replacing x^2 by y , we get

$$y^2 + 2y + 2 = (y + a)(y + b),$$

some a and b . In this case the polynomial $y^2 + 2y + 2$ would have a real root. But

$$y^2 + 2y + 2 = (y + 1)^2 + 1$$

so that if $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$a^2 + 2a + 2 = (a + 1)^2 + 1 \geq 1 > 0.$$

The only remaining possibility is that $b = c$. In this case $b^2 = 2$, which is impossible, as b is a rational number.

3. We apply Euclid's algorithm. As the norm of $11 + 7i$ is greater than $8 - i$, we first try to divide $a = 8 - i$ into $b = 11 + 7i$. Let c be the quotient in \mathbb{C} . Now

$$a\bar{a} = 64 + 1 = 65.$$

Thus $a^{-1} = \frac{1}{65}\bar{a}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= \frac{b}{a} \\
 &= a^{-1}b \\
 &= \frac{1}{65}(b\bar{a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{65}(b\bar{a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{65}(b\bar{a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{65}(81 + 67i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the closest gridpoint q to c is $1 + i$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 r &= b - qa \\
 &= 11 + i - 9 - 7i \\
 &= 4 - 6i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$11 + 7i = (1 + i)(8 - i) + (4 - 6i).$$

We continue with $4 - 6i$ and $8 - i$. Thus we now try to divide $4 - 6i$ into $8 - i$. Note that

$$(8 - i) - (4 - 6i) = 4 + 5i.$$

It follows that we can take at the next step $q = 1$ and $r = 4 + 5i$, as $4 + 5i$ has smaller norm than $4 - 6i$. Thus

$$8 - i = 1(4 - 6i) + 4 + 5i.$$

Now we try to divide $4 + 5i$ into $4 - 6i$. The inverse of $4 + 5i$ is

$$\frac{1}{41}(4 - 5i).$$

Thus we look for a gridpoint close to

$$\frac{1}{41}(4 - 6i)(4 - 5i) = \frac{-1}{41}(14 + 44).$$

Clearly we should take $-i$. In this case the remainder is

$$4 - 6i + i(4 + 5i) = -1 - 2i.$$

We have

$$4 - 6i = i(4 + 5i) - (1 + 2i).$$

We continue with $1 + 2i$ and $4 + 5i$. In this case we can spot that $q = 2$, so that

$$r = i.$$

As this is a unit, in fact the original numbers are coprime.

Aliter: Here is an entirely different way to proceed. Let $q = a + bi$ be a Gaussian prime. The norm of q is $a^2 + b^2$. Moreover if q divides $c + di$ then the norms must divide each other. Thus if $11 + 7i$ and $8 - i$ have any common factors, then their norms must have a common factor. The norm of the first number is $170 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$ and the norm of the second is $65 = 5 \cdot 13$. The only common factors are then 5.

It follows that

$$11 + 7i = p_1 p_2 p_3,$$

where the norm of p_1 is 2, the norm of p_2 is 5 and the norm of p_3 is 17. Similarly

$$8 - i = q_1 q_2,$$

where the norm of q_1 is 5 and the norm of q_2 is 13. Of course the p 's and the q 's are primes.

How does 5 factor in the Gaussian integers? Well

$$5 = 1^2 + 2^2 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i).$$

Moreover $1 + 2i$ and $1 - 2i$ are not associates. Thus, since the Gaussian integers are a UFD, the only possible common factors are $1 \pm 2i$, and if one divides $8 - i$ (or $11 + 7i$) then the other does not (as 5 divides the norm, but not 5^2).

Now $8 - i$ is divisible by $1 - 2i$. Indeed

$$8 - i = (2 + 3i)(1 - 2i).$$

Thus $p_2 = 1 - 2i$. On the other hand, $11 + 7i$ is divisible by $1 + 2i$. Indeed

$$11 + 7i = (5 - 3i)(1 + 2i).$$

Thus $q_1 = 1 + 2i$. It follows that $11 + 7i$ and $8 - i$ are coprime.

4. Let

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be the obvious inclusion. Applying the universal property of a polynomial ring, define a ring homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by sending x to i . ϕ is obviously surjective as $\mathbb{R} \cup \{i\}$ generates \mathbb{C} . Let I be the kernel. This is an ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Therefore it must be principal. On the other hand $x^2 + 1$ is clearly in the kernel and $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{R} , whence prime. It follows that $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$, and that I is a prime ideal. By the Isomorphism Theorem, the result follows.

5. (i) To show that $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible, it suffices to check that -1 is not a square in F . We compute a^2 , $0 \leq a \leq 5$. We have

$$0^2 = 0, \quad 1^2 = 1, \quad 2^2 = 4, \quad 3^2 = 9, \quad 4^2 = 16 = 5, \quad 5^2 = 25 = 3.$$

Thus $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible. As F is a field, $F[x]$ is a UFD. Thus $x^2 + 1$ is prime. Thus $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ is a prime ideal and so

$$L = F[x]/I,$$

is an integral domain.

I claim that every element of L is represented uniquely by a polynomial of the form $ax + b$, where a and b are in F .

First suppose that we have a coset $g + I$. By the division algorithm, we may write

$$g = qf + r,$$

where the degree of r is at most one and $f = p$. Thus $r = ax + b$, for some a and b and moreover $g + I = r + I$.

On the other hand if $ax + b + I = cx + d + I$, then $(a - c)x + (b - d) \in I$. On the other hand, as I is generated by a polynomial of degree two, the only non-zero elements of I have degree at least two. Thus $(a - c)x + b - d = 0$, so that $a = c$ and $b = d$. The claim follows.

In this case L has $121 = 11^2$ elements. As L is finite, it is in fact a field and we are done.

(ii) It suffices, repeating the argument above, to show that $x^3 + x + 4$ is irreducible. To prove this we show it does not have any roots. We compute

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0^3 + 0 + 4 = 4 & 1^3 + 1 + 4 = 6 \\ 2^3 + 2 + 4 = 3 & 3^3 + 3 + 4 = 1 \\ 4^3 + 4 + 4 = 5 & 5^3 + 5 + 4 = 4 \\ 6^3 + 6 + 4 = -5^3 - 5 + 4 = 6 & 7^3 + 7 + 4 = -4^3 - 4 + 4 = 2 \\ 8^3 + 8 + 4 = -3^3 - 3 + 4 = 4 & 9^3 + 9 + 4 = -2^3 - 2 + 4 = 3 \\ 10^3 + 10 + 4 = -1^3 - 1 + 4 = 2. \end{array}$$

6. Suppose that p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are irreducible polynomials. Then each p_i is not a constant polynomial, that is, its degree is at least one. Let

$$f = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_n + 1.$$

As $R = F[x]$ is a UFD it follows that f is a product of primes, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m . As p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are irreducible they are prime. Now p_i divides the first term on the RHS but not the second, so that p_i does not divide f . Thus none of the primes q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m are equal to p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n . Thus f is divisible by an irreducible polynomial, not equal to one of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .

It follows that there are infinitely many irreducible polynomials. Let m be the cardinality of F . As there are m^{d+1} polynomials of degree at most d , so that there are only finitely many polynomials of degree at most d , there must be polynomials of arbitrarily large degree.

7. Let k be a field and let S be the infinite polynomial ring

$$k[u, v, y, x_1, x_2, \dots].$$

Let I be the ideal generated by $x_1y = uv$ and $x_i = x_{i+1}^2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let R be the ring S/I .

Consider $a = uv \in R$. Then u and v are clearly irreducible elements of R . On the other hand $a = x_1y$, $x_1 = x_2^2$, $x_2 = x_3^2$ and so on, x_1, x_2, \dots are not units, so that a is a product of irreducibles, whilst at the other time, one can run the factorisation algorithm, starting with a , so that it never terminates.

8. I am not sure how to do this without using some techniques from a little later in the course.