If

$$\vec{F} = P\hat{\imath} + Q\hat{\jmath} + R\hat{k},$$

is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 and C is a curve in space then we can define the line integral

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r},$$

in the same way as we did in the plane. If we pick a parametrisation of C,

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t), z(t) \rangle,$$
 and $a \le t \le b$

then we can express

$$d\vec{r} = \langle dx, dy, dz \rangle = \vec{v}(t) dt$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$

in terms of t and integrate this. If \vec{F} represents force, the line integral represents the work done moving a particle from the start P_0 to the end P_1 .

Example 30.1. Let C be the parametric curve

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle$$
 $0 \le t \le 1$ and $\vec{F} = \langle yz, xz, xy \rangle$.

C is called the twisted cubic.

We express everything in terms of t,

$$d\vec{r} = \langle 1, 2t, 3t^2 \rangle dt$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle t^5, t^4, t^3 \rangle$.

So

$$\vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \langle t^5, t^4, t^3 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 2t, 3t^2 \rangle dt = 6t^5 dt.$$

The work done is then

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{0}^{1} 6t^{5} dt = \left[t^{6}\right]_{0}^{1} = 1.$$

Now let's suppose we go from $P_0 = (0,0,0)$ to $P_1 = (1,1,1)$ along a different path. Let's say we go parallel to \hat{i} , C_1 , parallel to \hat{j} , C_2 and then parallel to \hat{k} , C_3 . Let

$$C' = C_1 + C_2 + C_3.$$

So

$$\int_{C'} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} + \int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} + \int_{C_3} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}.$$

Parametrise C_1 in the obvious way

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, 0, 0 \rangle_1 \qquad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Then

$$d\vec{r} = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle dt$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$.

So

$$\int_{C_1} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = 0.$$

Parametrise C_2

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1, t, 0 \rangle \qquad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Then

$$d\vec{r} = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle dt$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle 0, 0, t \rangle$.

We have

$$\vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle dt = 0 dt.$$

So

$$\int_{C_2} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = 0.$$

Parametrise C_3

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle 1, 1, t \rangle$$
 $0 \le t \le 1$.

Then

$$d\vec{r} = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle dt$$
 and $\vec{F} = \langle t, t, 1 \rangle$.

We have

$$\vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \langle t, t, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle dt = dt.$$

So

$$\int_{C_3} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_0^1 dt = 1.$$

The reason why both answers are the same is that \vec{F} is a gradient vector field,

$$\vec{F} = \langle yz, xz, xy \rangle = \nabla(xyz) = \nabla f,$$

where f(x, y, z) = xyz. As before we have the fundamental theorem of calculus for line integrals

$$\int_{C} \nabla f \cdot d\vec{r} = f(P_1) - f(P_0).$$

As before this means the integral is path independent and \vec{F} is conservative. In our case

$$f(0,0,0) = 0$$
 $f(1,1,1) = 1$, so that $f(1,1,1) - f(0,0,0) = 1$.

Theorem 30.2. Let \vec{F} be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 (or more generally a simply connected region; more about this later).

 \vec{F} is a gradient vector field if and only if

$$P_y = Q_x, \qquad P_z = R_x \qquad and \qquad Q_z = R_y.$$

Again, one direction is reasonably clear. If

$$\vec{F} = \nabla f$$
,

then

$$P = f_x,$$
 $Q = f_y$ and $R = f_z,$

so that

$$P_y = f_{xy}$$
 and $Q_x = f_{yx}$,

so that the equality $P_y = Q_x$ is just saying the mixed partials of f are equal.

Example 30.3. For which a and b is

$$\vec{F} = axy\hat{i} + (x^2 + z^3)\hat{j} + (byz^2 - 4z^3)\hat{k}$$

a gradient vector field?

We have

$$P = axy$$
, $Q = x^2 + z^3$ and $R = byz^2 - 4z^3$.

 $Q_x = 2x$ and $P_y = ax$, so that

$$ax = P_y = Q_x = 2x,$$

and so a = 2. $Q_z = 3z^2$ and $R_y = bz^2$ so that

$$bz^2 = R_y = Q_z = 3z^2$$

and so b = 3. Finally,

$$P_z = 0$$
 and $R_x = 0$,

so $P_z = R_x$ is clear. Hence

$$\vec{F} = 2xy\hat{i} + (x^2 + z^3)\hat{j} + (3yz^2 - 4z^3)\hat{k}$$

is conservative.

Let's look for a potential function f(x, y, z). We want to solve three PDEs

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2xy$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x^2 + z^3$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 3yz^2 - 4z^3$.

We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2xy.$$

Integrate both sides with respect to x.

$$f(x, y, z) = \int 2xy \, \mathrm{d}x = x^2 y + g(y, z).$$

Note that the constant of integration is actually a function of both y and z. In other words

$$\frac{\partial g(y,z)}{\partial x} = 0.$$

Let's take this answer for f and plug this into the second PDE.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x^2 + z^3$$
 so that $x^2 + \frac{\partial g(y, z)}{\partial z} = x^2 + z^3$.

Cancelling we get

$$\frac{\partial g(y,z)}{\partial y} = z^3.$$

Integrating this with respect to y we get

$$g(y,z) = \int z^3 dy = z^3 y + h(z),$$

where the constant of integration is an abritrary function of z. So now we know

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2y + z^3y + h(z).$$

Finally, we plug this back into the third PDE:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 3yz^2 - 4z^3$$
 so that $3yz^2 + \frac{dh}{dz} = 3yz^2 - 4z^3$.

Cancelling we get

$$\frac{dh}{dz} = -4z^3.$$

Integrating with respect to z, we get

$$h(z) = -z^4 + c.$$

We can take c = 0. Putting all of this together

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2y + z^3y - z^4.$$

One can define a vector field which measures how far \vec{F} is from being conservative.

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\imath} & \hat{\jmath} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \hat{\imath} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ Q & R \end{vmatrix} - \hat{\jmath} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & R \end{vmatrix} + \hat{k} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ P & Q \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (R_y - Q_z)\hat{\imath} - (R_x - P_z)\hat{\jmath} + (Q_x - P_y)\hat{k}.$$

This is the curl of the vector field \vec{F} . It measures the angular velocity. For example,

$$\vec{v} = \langle -\omega y, \omega x, 0 \rangle,$$

represents rotation around z-axis with constant angular velocity $\omega.$

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{v} = \nabla \times \vec{v} = 2\omega \hat{k}.$$

So the magnitude is twice the angular velocity and the direction is the axis of rotation.