## MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #2

1. It suffices to check that the map is an isomorphism on stalks. Suppose that  $x \in X$ . By assumption there are open neighbourhoods U and V of x and isomorphisms  $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_U$ ,  $\mathcal{M}|_V \simeq \mathcal{O}_V$ . Passing to the open subset  $U \cap V$  we may as well assume that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

Let  $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Then A is a local ring and f induces a surjective Amodule homomorphism  $\phi: A \longrightarrow A$ .  $\phi$  is given by multiplication by an element a of A. Suppose that  $\phi(b) = 1$ . Then ab = 1 and so a is a unit and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Thus f is an isomorphism on stalks and f is an isomorphism.

2. The vector space W of polynomials of degree m + n - 1 has dimensional m + n and the polynomials  $x^i f$ ,  $0 \le i \le n - 1$  and  $x^j g$ ,  $0 \le j \le m - 1$  are m + n elements of this vector space. So these polynomials are dependent if and only if they don't span. If f and g have a common root, then any linear combination of  $x^i f$ ,  $0 \le i \le n - 1$  and  $x^j g$ ,  $0 \le j \le m - 1$  has the same root, in which case they don't span, so that they must be dependent.

Suppose that they are dependent. The polynomials  $x^i f$ ,  $0 \le i \le n-1$ span a vector subspace U of dimension n and the polynomials  $x^j g$ ,  $0 \le j \le m-1$ , span a vector subspace V of dimension m. If the polynomials are dependent then U and V intersect non-trivially, so that U and V contain a non-zero polynomial h of degree at most m+n-1. The elements of U are multiples of f and the elements of V are multiples of g so that h is a multiple of f and g. But then f and g must have a common factor. As K is a algebraically closed it follows that f and ghave a common root.

Putting all of this together we see that f and g have a common root if and only if the polynomials  $x^i f$ ,  $0 \le i \le n-1$  and  $x^j g$ ,  $0 \le j \le m-1$ are dependent. Therefore we can take the resultant be the following determinant:

$a_0$	$a_1$	$a_2$		$a_m$	0	0		0
0	$a_0$	$a_1$		$a_{m-1}$	$a_m$	0		0
0	0	$a_0$		$a_{m-2}$	$a_{m-1}$	$a_m$		0
:	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:
0	0	0		$a_0$	$a_1$	$a_2$		$a_m$
$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_m$	$b_{m+1}$	$b_{m+2}$		0
0	$b_0$	$b_1$		$b_{m-1}$	$b_m$	$b_{m+1}$		0
:	÷	÷	÷	:	÷	:	÷	:
0	0	0		$b_{n-m}$	$b_{n-m+1}$	$b_{n-m+2}$		$b_n$

3. (i) Pick a basis  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  of V. Then  $\phi$  is represented by a  $n \times n$ matrix  $A \in \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n^{2}}$ , which is an irreducible affine variety.

(ii) We are certainly free to enlarge K. So we may assume that K is algebraically closed. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{A}_K^{n^2}$  be the subset consisting of matrices with n distinct eigenvalues. If  $f(x) = \det(A - xI)$  is the characteristic polynomial then the eigenvalues are roots of this polynomial and the locus U is the locus where the f(x) has no repeated roots. Now the function which takes a matrix and assigns the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial is naturally a morphism

$$c\colon \mathbb{A}_K^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^{n+1}.$$

The function which takes a polynomial f and assigns the value of R(f, f') is also a morphism

$$r: \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n+1} \longrightarrow K.$$

The composition is a morphism

$$r \circ c \colon \mathbb{A}_K^{n^2} \longrightarrow K,$$

which is non-zero if and only if A belongs to U. Thus U is an open subset and it is certainly non-empty; just take any diagonal matrix with non-zero distinct entries on the diagonal (K is infinite as it is algebraically closed).

The characteristic polynomial vanishes on U, which is a dense open

subset and so the characteristic polynomial vanishes on  $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{n^{2}}$ . 4. (i)  $U_{\sigma_{1}} = \mathbb{A}_{K}^{1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$  and  $U_{\sigma_{2}} = \mathbb{A}_{K}^{1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ . The union is  $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} - \{0\}$ ; the orbits are  $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{2} = U_{0}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_{m} = U_{\sigma_{1}}$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_{m} = U_{\sigma_{2}}$ .

(ii) Number the three maximal cones  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$  in the usual way. The primitive generators of  $\sigma_1$  are  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , which generate the lattice and the primitive generators of  $\sigma_3$  are  $e_1$  and  $-2e_1 - e_2$ , which also generate the lattice. Thus  $U_{\sigma_1} = \bigcup_{2} \mathbb{A}_{K}^2$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma_2$  is generated by  $e_2$  and  $-2e_1 - e_2$  which don't generate the lattice. In fact, we get the quadric cone:

$$U_{\sigma_2} = \operatorname{Spec} \frac{K[u, v, w]}{\langle v^2 - uw \rangle}.$$

We guess that the X is the quadric cone in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ :

$$Q = \operatorname{Proj} \frac{K[U, V, W, T]}{\langle V^2 - UW \rangle}$$

When  $T \neq 0$  we at least get an affine variety isomorphic to  $U_{\sigma_2}$ . To check our guess, we first calculate the three affine coordinate rings of the toric variety:

$$A_{\sigma_1} = K[x, y]$$
  $A_{\sigma_2} = K[x^{-1}, x^{-1}y, x^{-1}y^2]$  and  $A_{\sigma_3} = K[xy^{-2}, y^{-1}].$ 

We already have one affine open subset of Q and we want two other open affine subsets. Now if we take the standard open affine cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3_K$ , the only points omitted by taking three of the four open subsets are the torus invariant points [1:0:0:0], [0:1:0:0], [0:0:1:0]and [0:0:0:1]. Of these the quadric cone only avoids the point [0:1:0:0]. So the obvious choice is to take three open subsets of Q, given by  $U \neq 0, W \neq 0$  and  $T \neq 0$ , with coordinate rings:

$$B_{1} = K[V/U, T/U]$$
  

$$B_{2} = K[U/T, V/T, W/T] / \langle (U/T)(W/T) - (V/T)^{2} \rangle$$
  

$$B_{3} = K[V/W, T/W].$$

Here we used the fact that  $W/U = (V/U)^2$  and  $U/W = (V/W)^2$ . Now we check the patching conditions. We put y = V/U, x = T/U. This matches up  $B_1$  and  $A_{\sigma_1}$ . As (V/U)(V/W) = 1, we have  $V/W = y^{-1}$  and

$$xy^{-2} = \frac{TU^2}{UV^2} = \frac{TU}{V^2} = \frac{T}{W}$$

This matches  $B_3$  and  $A_{\sigma_3}$ . Finally,

$$x^{-1} = \frac{U}{T}$$
  $x^{-1}y = \frac{U}{T}\frac{V}{U} = \frac{V}{T}$  and  $x^{-1}y = \frac{U}{T}\frac{V^2}{U^2} = \frac{W}{T}$ .

so that  $B_2$  and  $A_{\sigma_2}$  also match up.

(iii) Let  $u_i \in M$  be the linear form which is zero on  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  and takes the value 1 on  $v_{i+2}$  (take subscripts modulo 4). Then  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ and  $u_4$  generate the dual cone  $\check{\sigma} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\sigma$ , any three generate M and we have the relation  $u_1 + u_3 = u_2 + u_4$ . So

$$A_{\sigma} = \frac{K[a, b, c, d]}{\langle ac - bd \rangle}$$

It follows that  $U_{\sigma}$  is the quadric cone in  $\mathbb{A}^4_K$ .

5. (i) As  $\sigma$  is a rational polyhderal cone, if  $\sigma$  lives in a codimension k linear subspace, then in fact it lives in a codimension k linear subspace  $V_2$ , spanned by elements of N. Choose a complement  $V_1$  of dimension k, spanned by elements of N, so that  $N_{\mathbb{R}} = V_1 + V_2$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ . Let  $N_1 = V_1 \cap N$  and  $N_2 = V_2 \cap N$  so that  $N = N_1 + N_2$ . Let  $\tau \subset V_2$  be the cone corresponding to  $\sigma$ . The decomposition  $N = N_1 + N_2$  induces a dual decomposition  $M = M_1 + M_2$ , where  $M_i = \text{Hom}(N_i, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\check{\sigma} = \check{\tau} + (M_1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . It follows that  $S_{\sigma} = S_{\tau} + M_1$ , so that  $A_{\sigma} = A_{\tau}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_k, x_k^{-1}]$ . But then  $U_{\sigma} = U_{\tau} \times \mathbb{G}_m^k$ .

(ii) If Y is a variety, note that  $Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$  is regular if and only if Y is regular. So, using (i), we might as well assume that  $\sigma$  spans  $N_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case, it is clear that (2) and (3) are equivalent, since any set of n generators of a lattice are equivalent modulo the action of  $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . As  $\mathbb{A}^n_K$  is regular, (3) implies (1).

The key is to show that (1) implies (2). Suppose that  $U_{\sigma}$  is regular. Let  $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft A_{\sigma}$  be the maximal ideal of  $x_{\sigma}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a direct sum of eigenspaces, spanned by  $\chi^{u}$ , where  $u \in S_{\sigma}$ . Further,  $\mathfrak{m}^{2}$  is generated by elements of the form  $\chi^{u+v}$ , where u and v are non-zero elements of  $S_{\sigma}$ . So a basis for  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{2}$  is given by  $\chi^{u}$ , where u ranges over the elements of  $S_{\sigma}$  that are not the sum of two non-zero elements  $S_{\sigma}$ . As  $U_{\sigma}$  is regular,  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{2}$  is an *n*-dimensional *K*-vector space. Let  $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}$  be the primitive generators of the edges of  $\check{\sigma}$ . Then  $w_{i}$  is not a sum of two other elements of  $\check{\sigma}$ . It follows  $m \leq n$ , whence m = n, since  $\check{\sigma}$  spans  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  and it is strongly convex (as  $\sigma$  spans  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ).

Let  $\sigma' \subset \check{\sigma}$  be the face spanned by  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-1}$ . Any element belonging to  $S_{\sigma} \cap \sigma'$  is a sum of  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-1}$ , so that by induction we may assume that  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-1}$  span the lattice spanned by  $\sigma'$ . Changing coordinates, we may assume that  $w_i = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Possibly reflecting in the plane spanned by  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ , we may assume that the last coordinate of  $w_n$  is positive. In this case  $\check{\sigma}$  contains a vector of the form  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  (take  $a_i$  large). Thus the elements of  $S_{\sigma}$  generate the lattice N, in which case  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  generate the lattice M. In this case  $\sigma$  is also spanned by vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ which generate the lattice N. Thus (1) implies (2).