

MODEL ANSWERS TO HWK #9

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a closed subset, which does not contain the hyperplane H given by $X_0 = 0$. Suppose that the polynomials Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k have the following properties:

- they are all homogeneous of the same degree e ,
- the polynomials $q_i = Q_i/X_0^d$ all have degree e ,
- q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k generate the ideal J of $U_0 \cap X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$.

Then the polynomials Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k generate the ideal I of X . Indeed, pick $F \in I$ of degree d . As H is not contained in X we may suppose that F is not divisible by X_0 . If $f = F/X_0^d$ then $f \in J$. By assumption we may find f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k such that $f = \sum f_i q_i$. As q_i all have the same degree, we may assume that f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k all have degree $d - e$. Let $F_i = X_0^{d-e} f_i$, a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - e$. Then $F = X_0^d f = \sum F_i Q_i$.

1. Let $I_0 = (d, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then the polynomials

$$q_{I,J,K,L} = \frac{Q_{I,J,K,L}}{Z_{I_0}^2} = \frac{Z_I Z_J - Z_K Z_L}{Z_{I_0}^2},$$

all have degree 2 and so it suffices to prove that these generate the ideal of $X \cap U_0$. But we have already seen that the polynomials

$$Q_{I,J,K,L},$$

cut out X set-theoretically. Let $z_I = Z_I/Z_{I_0}$. It suffices to check that the ring

$$\frac{K[z_I]}{\langle q_{I,J,K,L} \rangle},$$

is an integral domain. But it is easy to check that the morphism given in class sets an isomorphism of this ring with the coordinate ring of \mathbb{A}^n .

2. As the polynomials

$$q_{ijkl} = \frac{Q_{ijkl}}{Z_{00}^2} = \frac{Z_{ij} Z_{kl} - Z_{il} Z_{jk}}{Z_{00}^2},$$

all have degree 2, it suffices to prove that these generate the ideal of $X \cap U_0$. But we have already seen that the polynomials

$$Q_{ijkl},$$

cut out X set-theoretically. Let $z_{ij} = Z_{ij}/Z_{00}$. It suffices to check that the ring

$$\frac{K[z_{ij}]}{\langle q_{ijkl} \rangle},$$

is an integral domain. But it is easy to check that the morphism given in class sets an isomorphism of this ring with the coordinate ring of \mathbb{A}^{m+n} .

2.14. (a) We first show that the intersection of the homogeneous prime ideals is the set of nilpotent elements of S . Indeed, the intersection of the homogeneous prime ideals certainly contains all the nilpotent elements. Suppose $s \in S$ is not nilpotent. It remains to find a homogeneous prime ideal which does not contain s . As the ideal generated by the nilpotent elements is homogeneous we may assume that s is homogeneous. Pick a maximal homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{p} which does not contain s . Then \mathfrak{p} is a homogeneous prime ideal which does not contain s .

Now $\text{Proj } S$ is empty if and only if every homogeneous prime ideal contains S_+ . So $\text{Proj } S$ is empty if and only if every element of S_+ is nilpotent.

(b) Let \mathfrak{a} be the homogeneous ideal generated by $\phi(S_+)$. Then $U = \text{Proj } T - V(\mathfrak{a})$ and so U is open. If $g \in S$ is homogeneous then $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ induces a ring homomorphism $\phi_{(g)}: S_{(g)} \rightarrow T_{(\phi(g))}$. This defines a morphism $\text{Spec } T_{(\phi(g))} \rightarrow \text{Spec } S_{(g)}$ whence, by composition, a morphism $\text{Spec } T_{(\phi(g))} \rightarrow \text{Proj } S$. On the other hand, the sets $\text{Proj } T - V(\phi(g))$ form an open cover of U . As these morphisms are clearly compatible on overlaps, this induces a morphism

$$f: U \rightarrow X = \text{Proj } S.$$

(c) Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is a homogeneous prime ideal which contains $\phi(S_+)$. Then \mathfrak{p} contains T_d , for all $d \geq d_0$. Suppose that $g \in T_d$, $d \geq 1$. Then $g^k \in T_{kd}$ and for k large enough $g^k \in \mathfrak{p}$. But then $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \supset T_+$. So $U = \text{Proj } T$.

Suppose that $g \in S$ is homogeneous of degree $d \geq d_0$. Consider the ring homomorphism:

$$\phi_{(g)}: S_{(g)} \rightarrow T_{(\phi(g))}.$$

Let $h = \phi(g)$. Suppose that $b/h^k \in T_{(h)}$. Then $b \in T_{dk}$. Pick $a \in S_{dk}$ such that $\phi(a) = b$. Then $\phi_{(g)}(a/g^k) = b/h^k$ and so $\phi_{(g)}$ is surjective. Suppose that a/g^k maps to zero, for some $k > 0$. Then $h^l \phi(a) = 0$, in $T_{(k+l)d}$ and it follows that $g^l a = 0$ in $S_{(k+l)d}$. Thus $\phi_{(g)}$ is a ring isomorphism.

Now suppose that g is any homogeneous element of S . Then g^k is also homogeneous and if k is sufficiently large then g^k has degree at least

d_0 , and $V(g) = V(g^k)$. Thus open sets of the form $\text{Proj } S - V(g)$ and $\text{Proj } T - V(g)$ cover $\text{Proj } S$ and $\text{Proj } T$, where g has degree at least d_0 . It follows that f is an isomorphism.

It remains to find an example of this phenomena. Let $S = k[X, Y]/\langle X^2, XY, Y^2 \rangle$ and let $T = k[X, Y]/\langle X, Y \rangle$. Then there is a natural ring homomorphism

$$\phi: S \longrightarrow T.$$

This map is not an isomorphism but ϕ_d is a isomorphism of vector spaces unless $d = 1$ (indeed it is the zero map between vector spaces of dimension zero, as soon as $d \geq 2$). In fact more generally take any projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, let $J = I(X)$ be the homogeneous ideal of X and let I be any ideal which cuts out X scheme theoretically. Let $R = k[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $S = R/J$ and $T = R/I$.

(d) Suppose that $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $V_i = V \cap U_i$ forms an open affine cover of V , where U_i is the standard affine open subset of \mathbb{P}^n . Then $t(U_i)$ forms an open cover of V . We have already seen that $t(U_i) = \text{Spec } A_i$, where A_i is the coordinate ring of V_i . But $A_i = S_{(X_i)}$. It follows that there is a natural isomorphism

$$f'_i: t(U_i) \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(S) - V(X_i),$$

and by composition we get a morphism,

$$f_i: t(U_i) \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(S).$$

As these morphisms are compatible on overlaps, we get a morphism

$$f: t(V) \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(S).$$

Clearly we may also define a morphism

$$g: \text{Proj}(S) \longrightarrow t(V),$$

using the same argument. As f and g are inverse morphisms, f is an isomorphism.

3.11 (a) We first check this in the special case when $X' \longrightarrow X$ is an open immersion. In this case the image of Y' is clearly closed, the restricted morphism is a homeomorphism and surjectivity of $\mathcal{O}_{X'} \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is clear. In particular, it is easy to deduce that f is a closed immersion if and only if there is a cover by open immersion $X' \longrightarrow X$ (meaning simply that X is the union of the images) such that f' is a closed immersion, for every open set of the cover.

So to check the general case, we may assume that $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. Let $V \subset Y$ be an open affine subset of Y . We may find an open subset $U \subset X$ such that $f^{-1}(U) = V$. Then we may find a regular function f on X (or better $f \in A$) such that $U_f \subset U$. Then $f^{-1}(U_f)$ is an open affine subset of V . Since U_f cover U , we may assume that X and

$Y = \text{Spec } B$ are both affine. In this case B is a quotient of A . Finally we may assume that $X' = \text{Spec } A'$ is affine. Since $B' = B \otimes_A A'$ is a quotient of A' , f' is indeed a closed immersion.

(b) Pick an open affine cover $\{Y_\alpha\}$ of Y . Then there is an open subset X_α of X such that $Y_\alpha = Y \cap X_\alpha$. We may find f_i such that for every α there is an index i such that $U_{f_i} \subset X_\alpha$. Then $U_{f_i} \cap Y$ is an open affine subset of Y , as it is equal to the locus where the regular function $f|_{Y_\alpha}$ is not zero on the affine scheme Y_α . By compactness we may assume there are only finitely many f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r . f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r generate the unit ideal as the sets U_{f_i} are an open affine cover of X . By (2.17.b) Y is affine. Now apply (2.18.d).

(c) We want to give a morphism of schemes $Y \rightarrow Y'$. The map on topological spaces is simply the identity. Pick an open affine cover of X . By part (b) this induces an open affine cover of Y and Y' . On this affine cover if Y and Y' are given by ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}' in the ring A , then \mathfrak{a} is the radical of \mathfrak{a}' . In particular there is a natural inclusion $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}'$ and so a natural surjection $A/\mathfrak{a}' \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$ which factors $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}'$ and $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$. This gives us a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X. \end{array}$$

These maps automatically glue, by naturality.

(d) We first suppose that $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. In this case there is a homomorphism of rings,

$$A \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be the kernel and let B be the quotient, so that there is a ring commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z). \end{array}$$

Let $Y = \text{Spec } B$. Then, Y is a closed subscheme of X and there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \longleftarrow Y \\ & \swarrow & \uparrow \\ & & Z. \end{array}$$

Now suppose that there is another commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longleftarrow & Y' \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & Z. \end{array}$$

Then there is an induced map of rings,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z). \end{array}$$

By the universal property of the quotient, there is an induced ring homomorphism,

$$H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}) \longrightarrow B,$$

and this gives rise to a morphism of schemes $Y \longrightarrow Y'$.

Now suppose that X is arbitrary. Pick an open affine cover $\{U_i\}$ of X , such that U_{ij} is affine. Let V_i be the inverse image of U_i in X_i . Let $g_i: Y_i \longrightarrow X$ be the affine scheme constructed above. Let $Y_{ij} = g_i^{-1}(U_j)$ be the inverse image of U_j . Then Y_{ij} and Y_{ji} satisfy the same universal property and so there are induced isomorphisms ϕ_{ij} which satisfy the cocycle condition. Glueing together the Y_i , this defines Y . Y is a closed subscheme of X and it clearly satisfies the given universal property.

The last property is clear, since both Y and the reduced induced subscheme enjoy the same universal property.

3.12. (a) $\phi(S_+) = \phi(T_+)$, as ϕ is surjective, and so $U = \text{Proj } T$. Now suppose that $g \in T$ is homogeneous. If $h = \phi(g) \in S$ then

$$\phi_{(g)}: S_{(h)} \longrightarrow T_{(g)},$$

is surjective. Therefore

$$f_{(g)}: \text{Proj } T - V(h) = \text{Spec } T_{(h)} \longrightarrow \text{Proj } S - V(g) = \text{Spec } S_{(g)},$$

is a closed immersion. As open sets of the form $\text{Proj } S - V(g)$ cover $\text{Proj } S$ it follows that f is a closed immersion.

(b) We have surjective ring homomorphisms $S \longrightarrow S/I'$, $S \longrightarrow S/I$ and $S/I' \longrightarrow S/I$. This gives rise to closed immersions $i: \text{Proj } S/I' \longrightarrow \text{Proj } S$, $j: \text{Proj } S/I \longrightarrow \text{Proj } S$ and $k: \text{Proj } S/I \longrightarrow \text{Proj } S/I'$, such that $j = i \circ k$. k is an isomorphism by (2.14.c) and so i and j are equivalent closed immersions. By (2.14.d) there are plenty of examples of this phenomena.

3.13 (a) Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion. Suppose that $i: U \longrightarrow Y$ is an open immersion, where U is affine. By (3.11.a) the map

$g: V \longrightarrow U$ obtained by pulling back the morphism f along the morphism i is a closed immersion. As U is affine, (3.11.b) implies that V is affine as well, and the map i is induced by a quotient ring homomorphism,

$$A \longrightarrow B = A/\mathfrak{a}.$$

B is clearly a finitely generated A -algebra and so f is of finite type.

(b) Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be an open immersion. Let $U \subset X$ be an affine open subset of X . Then $f(U)$ is an open affine subset of Y which is isomorphic to U . It follows that f is locally of finite type and as f is quasi-compact, it is of finite type.

(c) Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \longrightarrow Z$ be two morphisms of finite type and let $h: X \longrightarrow Z$ be the composition. Pick an open affine subset $W = \text{Spec } C$ of Z . By (3.3.b) we may find a finite open affine cover $V_i = \text{Spec } B_i$ of $g^{-1}(W)$ such that B_i is a finitely generated C -algebra. For each V_i , we may find a finite open affine cover $U_{ij} = \text{Spec } A_{ij}$ of $f^{-1}(V_i)$, such that C_{ij} is a finitely generated B_i -algebra.

But then $U_{ij} = \text{Spec } A_{ij}$ is a finite open affine cover of $h^{-1}(W)$ where A_{ij} is a finitely generated C -algebra. Therefore h is of finite type.

(d) Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of finite type and let $Y' \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism. Let $f': X' \longrightarrow Y'$ be the induced morphism, where X' is the fibre product of X and Y' over Y . We want to prove that f' is of finite type. Let $V = \text{Spec } B$ be an open subset of Y . Then there is a finite open affine cover $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ of $f^{-1}(V)$, where A_i is a finitely generated B -algebra.

(e) By part (d) $X \times_Y Y' \longrightarrow Y'$ is of finite type. But then the morphism $X \times_Y Y' \longrightarrow S$ is of finite type, as it is a composition of morphisms of finite type.

(f) Let $W = \text{Spec } C$ be an affine open subset of Z . By assumption $(g \circ f)^{-1}(W)$ can be covered by affine open subsets $U = \text{Spec } A$ of X , where A is a finitely generated C -algebra. Pick an affine open subset $V = \text{Spec } B$ of $g^{-1}(W)$. Then we can cover $f^{-1}(V) \cap U$ with affine open subsets of the form $\text{Spec } A_h$, where h is a regular function on U . As A_h is a finitely generated C -algebra it is a finitely generated B -algebra. But then f is locally of finite type and as f is compact, it is of finite type.

(g) Let $V = \text{Spec } B$ be an affine subset of Y . Then $f^{-1}(V)$ is a finite union of affine sets of the form $U = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a finitely generated B -algebra. As B is Noetherian, A is Noetherian and so X is Noetherian.

3.15 We first make some general observations that apply to both parts (a) and (b).

Suppose that X is of finite type over a field k . Then X has a finite cover $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ by open affines, where A_i is a finitely generated k -algebra. If U_i and U_j don't intersect then $U_i \cup U_j = \text{Spec } A_i \oplus A_j$ is affine. So we may assume that $U_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty. But then X is irreducible or reduced if and only if U_i is irreducible or reduced, for all i .

If K/k is any field extension, then $Y = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } K$ is covered by open affines of the form $V_i = \text{Spec } B_i = \text{Spec } A_i \otimes_k K$. As $U_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty, so is $V_i \cap V_j$. Thus Y is irreducible or reduced if and only if V_i is irreducible or reduced for all i .

So we might as well assume that $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine. If X is non-reduced or reducible then so is $Y = \text{Spec } B$. So we may assume that X is integral and Y is not integral, and we may assume that K contains the algebraic closure of k . As A is a finitely generated k -algebra, it is a quotient of a polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ by an ideal \mathfrak{p} , which is prime, as A is an integral domain. By assumption there are $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, neither of which belong to \mathfrak{q} , the ideal generated by \mathfrak{p} , whose product does belong to \mathfrak{q} . Suppose that f has degree d and g has degree e .

Now suppose that $W \subset \mathbb{A}_k^m$ is a quasi-projective scheme, defined by the vanishing of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r and the non-vanishing of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s . We now introduce some convenient notation (which happily is also quite standard). Given a ring R/k , let $W(R)$ denote the set of all maps $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow W$ (any such is called an R -valued point of W ; Yoneda's Lemma pretty much says that we can recover W from the data of all of these sets, for all such rings R). Note that $W(K)$ is simply the set of points (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) with coordinates in K which satisfy the polynomials F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r but not the polynomials G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s .

Suppose that $W(K)$ is non-empty, for some field extension K/k . I claim that this implies that $W(\bar{k})$ is non-empty. The proof proceeds by induction on m . The case $m = 1$ is almost the definition of algebraically closed. Pick a point p of $\mathbb{A}_k^m(k)$. We may assume that $p \notin W(k)$ (else there is nothing to prove). The image W' of W is a constructible subset of \mathbb{A}^{m-1} . By induction on m , $W'(\bar{k})$ is non-empty. Pick a point $q \in W'(\bar{k})$ and let l be the line connecting p to q . Then l is defined by linear polynomials with coefficients in \bar{k} . $(l \cap W)(K)$ has a point and so $W(\bar{k})$ has a point (which lies on the line l).

Now suppose that $W(\bar{k})$ is non-empty. Pick a point $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \in W(\bar{k})$. Let k' be the field generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m . Then k'/k is a finitely generated field extension which is finite as k'/k is algebraic.

(a) Suppose that $B = A \otimes_k K$ is not an integral domain even though it does not have any nilpotents. It follows that there are polynomials f and $g \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ whose images in B are non-zero, but whose product is zero. By assumption there are also points p and $q \in X(K)$ such that f does not vanish at x and g does not vanish at y . We may assume that p and q belong to $X(\bar{k})$. Suppose that the degree of f is d and the degree of g is e .

The space of all polynomials of degree d with coefficients in k is naturally identified with an affine space $P_d \simeq \mathbb{A}_k$ (of dimension a function of d). Now multiplication of polynomials defines a function

$$\mathbb{A}_k^m = P_d \times P_e \longrightarrow P_{d+e},$$

for some appropriate m , which is easily seen to be a morphism. The locus W of polynomials f and g which do not lie in the affine space corresponding to \mathfrak{p} but whose product does lie in the third, such that f does not vanish at x and g does not vanish at y , is defined by the vanishing of some polynomials F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r and the non-vanishing of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s . By assumption $W(K)$ is non-empty. But then $W(\bar{k})$ is non-empty.

In fact we know that $W(k')$ is non-empty, for some finite extension k'/k and we may assume that x and y belong to $X(k')$. By induction on the order of the extension, we may assume that $k' = k_1(\alpha)$, where $\alpha^p \in k_1$ and p is the characteristic of k . Pick a point $(f, g) \in W(k')$, where f and g are polynomials with coefficients in k' . Then f^p and g^p have coefficients in k_1 . Let $x' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m) \in W(k_1)$, where $x'_i = x_i^p$. Then

$$f^p(x') = (f(x))^p \neq 0.$$

Define y' similarly. Then $X_1 = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k_1$ is reducible and this completes the induction.

(b) If B has nilpotents even though A is integral then we may find $f \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f^e = 0$ in B . Suppose that f has degree d . Consider the morphism

$$P_d \longrightarrow P_{de},$$

induced by raising to the power e . Let W be the locus consisting of polynomials f which don't belong to \mathfrak{p} but whose image does. $W(K)$ is non-empty by assumption. But then $W(\bar{k})$ is non-empty.

As before, this implies that $W(k')$ is non-empty, for some finite extension k'/k . We may split this extension into two parts, k'/k_1 and k_1/k , where $k_1 \subset k_p$ is a purely inseparable extension of k , and k'/k_1 is separable. Passing to the normal closure of k' , we may assume that k'/k_1 is

Galois. Let $f_1 \in W(k')$ and let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be the Galois conjugates of f_1 . Then their product $f \in W(k_1)$. By part (a), $X_1 = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k_1$ is irreducible, so that f is non-zero. But then f is nilpotent.

(c) Consider $\text{Spec } k(t)[x]/\langle x^6 - t \rangle$, where $k = \mathbb{F}_2$. As $x^6 - t \in k(t)[x]$ is irreducible, this scheme is integral. But if we replace t by t^6 (equivalently, we adjoin a root of $x^6 - t$) then $x^3 - t^3$ is a non-zero global section which squares to zero and $x^3 - t^3$ factors non-trivially, so that $\text{Spec } k(t)[x]/\langle x^6 - t^6 \rangle$ is neither reduced nor irreducible.