

8. NULLSTELLENSATZ

We will need the notion of localisation, which is a straightforward generalisation of the notion of the field of fractions.

Definition 8.1. *Let R be a ring. We say that a subset S of R is **multiplicatively closed** if for every s_1 and s_2 in S , $s_1s_2 \in S$, that is*

$$S \cdot S \subset S.$$

Definition-Lemma 8.2. *Let R be a ring and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset, which contains 1 but not zero. The **localisation of R at S** , denoted R_S , is a ring R_S together with a ring homomorphism*

$$\phi: R \longrightarrow R_S,$$

with the property that for every $s \in S$, $\phi(s)$ is a unit in R_S , which is universal amongst all such rings. That is given any morphism

$$\psi: R \longrightarrow R',$$

with the property that $\psi(s)$ is a unit, for every $s \in S$, there is a unique ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R' \\ \phi \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ R_S & & \end{array}$$

Proof. This is almost identical to the construction of the field of fractions, and so we will skip most of the details. Formally we define R_S to be the set of all pairs (r, s) , where $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, modulo the equivalence relation,

$$(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2) \quad \text{iff} \quad s(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) \text{ for some } s \in S.$$

We denote an equivalence class by $[r, s]$ (or more informally by r/s). Addition and multiplication are defined in the obvious way. \square

Note that R is an integral domain, then $S = R - \{0\}$ is multiplicatively closed and the localisation is precisely the field of fractions. Note also that as we are not assuming that R is an integral domain, we need to throw in the extra factor of s , in the definition of the equivalence relation and the natural map $R \longrightarrow R_S$ is not necessarily injective.

Example 8.3. *Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in a ring R . Then $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of R . The localisation is denoted $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Its elements consist of all fractions r/f , where $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$. On*

the other hand, suppose that $f \in R$ is not nilpotent. Then the set of powers of f ,

$$S = \{ f^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

is a multiplicatively closed subset. The localisation consists of all elements of the form r/f^n .

For example, take $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $f = 2$. Then $R_f = \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \subset \mathbb{Q}$ consists of all fractions whose denominator is a power of two.

Lemma 8.4. *Let F be a field and let $f \in F[x]$ be a polynomial. Then $F[x]_f$ is not a field.*

Proof. Suppose not.

Clearly $\deg(f) > 0$ so that $1+f \neq 0$. Therefore we may find $g \in F[x]$ such that

$$(1+f)^{-1} = \frac{g}{f^n},$$

for some n . Multiplying out, we get that $(1+f)$ divides f^n .

So f^n is congruent to 0 modulo $(1+f)$. On the other hand, f is congruent to -1 modulo $(1+f)$. The only possibility is that $1+f$ is a unit, which is clearly impossible. \square

Definition 8.5. *Let $R \subset F$ be a subring of the field F .*

*We say that $c \in F$ is **integral** over S if and only if there is a monic polynomial*

$$m(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in S[x],$$

such that $m(c) = 0$.

*If $R \subset S \subset F$ is an intermediary ring, we say that S is **integral over** R if every element of S is integral over R .*

*The **integral closure** of R in F is the set of all elements integral over R .*

Lemma 8.6. *Let $R \subset F$ be a subring of the field F .*

The following are equivalent:

- (1) c is integral over R ,
- (2) $R[c]$ is a finitely generated R -module,
- (3) there is an intermediary ring $R[c] \subset C \subset S$ which is a finitely generated R -module.

Proof. Suppose that c is integral over R . Pick $m(x) \in R[x]$ monic such that $m(c) = 0$. If $m(x)$ has degree d it is easy to see that $1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{d-1}$ generate $R[c]$ as an R -module. Thus (1) implies (2).

(2) implies (3) is clear.

Now suppose that C is a finitely generated R -module. Multiplication by c defines an R -linear map

$$\phi: C \longrightarrow C.$$

Pick generators c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k for the R -module C . Then we may find $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_k(R)$ such that

$$\phi(c_i) = \sum a_{ij}c_j.$$

Then $m(x) = \det(A - \lambda I) \in R[x]$ is a monic polynomial and $m(\phi) = 0$, by Cayley-Hamilton. But then $m(c) = m(\phi(1)) = 0$. Hence (3) implies (1). \square

Lemma 8.7. *Let $R \subset F$ be a subring of the field F .*

If $S = R[r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k]$ where each r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k is integral over S then S is integral over R .

Proof. By (8.6) it suffices to prove that S is a finitely generated R -module. By induction on k we may assume that $S' = R[r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}]$ is finitely generated R -module. As S is a finitely generated S' -module (r_k is integral over S' as it is integral over R) it follows that S is a finitely generated R -module. \square

We will need the following result later:

Lemma 8.8. *Let $R \subset F$ be a subring of the field F .*

The integral closure S of R in F is a ring.

Proof. Let a and b be in S . It suffices to prove that $a \pm b$ and ab are in S . But $a \pm b$ and ab belong to $R[a, b]$ and this is finitely generated over R by (8.7). \square

Lemma 8.9. *Let E be a field and let R be a subring.*

If E is integral over R then R is a field.

Proof. Pick $a \in R$ and let $b \in E$ be the inverse. As E is integral over R , we may find $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$ such that

$$b^n + r_1b^{n-1} + \dots + r_n = 0.$$

Multiply both sides by a^{n-1} and solve for b to get

$$b = -r_1a - r_2a^2 - \dots - r_na^{n-1} \in A. \quad \square$$

Lemma 8.10. *Let E/F be a field extension.*

If E is finitely generated as an F -algebra then E/F is algebraic.

Proof. By assumption $E = F[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]$. We proceed by induction on m .

Let $f = f_m$. By induction $E = F(f)[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}]$ is algebraic over $F(f)$. Let $m_i(x) \in F(f)[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of f_i . Clearing denominators, we may assume that $m_i(x) \in F[f][x]$. Let a_i be the leading coefficient of $m_i(x)$ and let a be the product of the a_i . Then $(1/a_i)m_i(x) \in F[f]_a[x]$ is a monic polynomial, so that f_i is integral over $F[f]_a$.

By (8.9) $F[f]_a$ is a field. But then f is algebraic over F by (8.4). \square

Theorem 8.11 (Weak Nullstellensatz). *Let K be an algebraically closed field.*

Then an ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is maximal if and only if it has the form

$$\mathfrak{m}_p = \langle x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle,$$

for some point $p = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ be an ideal and let $L = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then \mathfrak{m} is maximal if and only if $L = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a field and $L = K$ if and only if $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_p$ for some point p .

So we may assume that L is a field and we want to prove that $L = K$. But L is a finitely generated algebra over K (generated by the images of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) so that by (8.10) L/K is algebraic. As K is algebraically closed, $L = K$. \square

Corollary 8.12 (Weak Nullstellensatz). *Let K be an algebraically closed field.*

If $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is a sequence of polynomials then either

- (1) f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m have a common zero, or
- (2) there are polynomials $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_mg_m = 1.$$

Proof. Let $I = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle \subseteq R$ be the ideal generated by the polynomials f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m . Note that (1) holds if and only if I is contained in one of the ideals \mathfrak{m}_p for some $p = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$. Indeed, in this case f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m all vanish at p . On the other hand, note that (2) holds if and only if $I = R$.

So suppose that $I \neq R$. Pick a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} containing I . By (8.11) we may find $p \in K^n$ such that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_p$. \square

Theorem 8.13 (Strong Nullstellensatz). *Let K be an algebraically closed field.*

If $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, g \in R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is a sequence of polynomials then either

- (1) f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m have a common zero, at a point where the polynomial g is not equal to zero, or
- (2) there are polynomials $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_mg_m = g^r,$$

for some natural number r .

Proof. We use the *trick of Rabinowitsch*. Let

$$S = R[y] = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y],$$

where y is an indeterminate and consider the polynomials

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, yg - 1.$$

If (1) does not hold then these equations don't have any solutions at all. By the weak Nullstellensatz (8.12) we may find polynomials $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, h \in S$ such that

$$f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_mg_m + h(yg - 1) = 1.$$

Let $z = 1/y$. Clearing denominators by multiplying through some large power z^r of z , and relabelling, we get

$$f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_mg_m + h(g - z) = z^r.$$

Now set $z = g$. □