## MODEL ANSWERS TO THE FOURTH HOMEWORK

1. (i) Here is a pretty sneaky way to solve this problem. First note that this problem is étale local about any pair of points  $p$  and  $q$ . But any smooth curve is étale locally equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . So we may assume that  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ . In this case divisors of degree two can be identified with polynomials of degree two, modulo constants, that is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which is smoooth.

Alternatively, working locally, we may assume that  $C = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$  is affine. On  $C \times C$  we have coordinates x and y, so that  $C \times C =$ Spec  $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ . Then  $C_2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x,y]^{Z_2}$ . Now the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the standard one, given by swapping  $x$  and  $y$ . The ring of invariants is

$$
\mathbb{C}[x,y]^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathbb{C}[x+y,xy] = \mathbb{C}[u,v],
$$

by a classical result, whose proof goes back to Newton. But then  $C_2$  is smooth.

(ii) Clear.

(iii) Consider the linear system  $|K_C| \subset C_2$ . The Abel-Jacobi map collapses this to a point, since by definition the fibres of the Abel-Jacobi map are linear systems. By Riemann-Roch,  $|K_C|$  is a  $g_2^1$  and so defines a copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset C_2$ .

(iv)  $\overline{\text{NE}}(C_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus there are two rays, of which one is given by the  $g_2^1$ . The first thing to do is compute the intersection pairing between the basis elements  $x$  and  $\delta$ . We will use push-pull, which we may state in the form

$$
\alpha \cdot f^* \beta = f_*(\alpha \cdot f^* \beta) = f_* \alpha \cdot \beta,
$$

where  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is a finite morphism of degree d,  $\alpha$  is a cycle of dimension k on X and  $\beta$  is a cycle of dimension  $n - k$  on Y.

We apply this to the natural map  $f: C \times C \longrightarrow C_2$  of degree 2. First note that  $f^*\delta = \Delta \subset C \times C$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal. Now  $\Delta \simeq C$ , and

$$
2 = K_C = (K_S + \Delta) \cdot \Delta = 2K_C + \Delta \cdot \Delta = 4 + \Delta^2.
$$

Thus  $\Delta^2 = -2$ . But then

$$
\delta^2 = f^* \delta \cdot \Delta = 2\Delta^2 = -4.
$$

In particular  $\delta$  generates the other extremal ray. Now note that

$$
f^*x = X = X_1 + X_2 = [\{p\} \times C] + [C \times \{p\}],
$$

where  $p \in C$  is any point.

$$
x^2 = f^*x \cdot X_1 = 1.
$$

Finally,

$$
x \cdot \delta = X_1 \cdot f^* \delta = 2X_1 \cdot \Delta = 2.
$$

We want to calculate the class  $\gamma = ax + b\delta$  of the  $g_2^1$ . We have

$$
1 = \gamma \cdot x = (ax + b\delta) \cdot x = a + 2b.
$$

How about  $\gamma \cdot \delta$ ? This counts the number r of ramification points of the  $g_2^1$ . By Riemann-Hurwitz,

$$
2 = 2g - 2 = -2 \cdot 2 + r = r,
$$

so that  $r = 6$ . Thus

$$
6 = \gamma \cdot \delta = (ax + b\delta) \cdot \delta = 2a - 4b.
$$

Thus  $a = 2$ ,  $b = -1/2$  and  $\gamma = 2x - \delta/2$ . To check this calculation, note that as  $\gamma$  represents a copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  contracted to a smooth point, in fact

$$
-1 = \gamma^2 = (2x - \delta/2)^2 = 4x^2 - 2x \cdot \delta - \delta^2/4 = 4 - 4 - 4/4 = -1.
$$

Thus NE( $C_2$ ) is the two dimensional cone spanned by  $\delta$  and  $4x - \delta$ . (v) It is classical that the image of x represents the class  $\theta$  of the  $\Theta$ divisor. The  $\Theta$  divisor is ample.  $\pi^*\theta = x + c\gamma$ , where c is determined by the constraint that

$$
0 = \pi^* \theta \cdot \gamma = (x + c\gamma) \cdot \gamma = 1 - c.
$$

Thus  $c = 1$ . The Seshadri contstant is the largest d such that

$$
\pi^*\theta - d\gamma
$$

is nef. Since this dots  $\gamma$  positively, we want to find d such that

$$
(\pi^*\theta - d\gamma) \cdot \delta = 0,
$$

that is

$$
2 - 6(d - 1) = (x - (d - 1)\gamma) \cdot \delta = 0.
$$

Thus  $d = 4/3$ .

2. Note that the kernel of a q-linear map is a linear subspace. Let  $K_i$ be the kernel of the  $q^i$ -linear map  $f^i$ . Then

$$
0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset \ldots,
$$

is an ascending chain of linear subspaces of  $V$ . This chain must stabilise; suppose that it stabilises at  $V_n$ . Then  $V_n$  is a linear subspace of  $V$ , consisting of those elements of  $V$  for which some power of  $f$  is zero. Certainly  $f|_{V_n}$  is nilpotent, and  $f(V_n) \subset V_n$ . Now note that the image of a q-linear map is a linear subspace (since we are working over

an algebraically closed field; in fact we only need that the groundfield is separably closed). Let  $W_i$  be the image of  $f^i$ . Then

$$
V \supset W_1 \supset W_2 \supset W_3 \supset \ldots,
$$

is a descending chain of linear subspaces of  $V$ . This chain must stabilise; suppose that it stabilises at  $V_s$ . Then  $V_s$  consists of those elements of V which are in the image of all powers of f. It follows that  $f(V_s) = V_s$ . Arguing as in the case of linear maps,  $f|_{V_s}$  has an eigenvector, so that  $V<sub>s</sub>$  has a basis of eigenvectors, that is

$$
f(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i,
$$

for some scalar  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ . Pick  $a_i$  so that  $a_i^{q-1} = \lambda_i^{-1}$  $i^{-1}$ . Then

$$
f(w_i) = w_i,
$$

where  $w_i = a_i v_i$  is a basis of eigenvectors, with eigenvalue one. Finally suppose that  $\alpha \in V$ . Let  $\alpha_k = f^k(\alpha)$ . Then we may choose k sufficiently large, so that  $\alpha_k \in V_s$ . Suppose that  $f^k(\beta) = \alpha_k$ , where  $\beta \in V_s$ , and let  $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$ . Then

$$
f^k(\gamma) = f^k(\alpha) - f^k\beta = 0.
$$

Thus

$$
V = V_s + V_n.
$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the intersection is trivial.

3. A cylic cover  $f: Y \longrightarrow X$  of degree p is given locally by an equation of the form  $y^p - y - a = 0$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{O}_U$  is some regular function on an open affine U of X. If  $U = \text{Spec } A$  then  $V = f^{-1}(U) = \text{Spec } B$ , where

$$
B = \frac{A[y]}{\langle y^p - y - a_i \rangle}
$$

.

Given f, take a cover  $\{U_i\}$  and functions  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  such that Y is given locally by  $y_i^p - y_i - a_i = 0$ . We may assume that the overlaps  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j = \text{Spec } A_{ij} = A$  are affine, and in this case we have an isomorphism of A-algebras,

$$
\frac{A[y_i]}{\langle y_i^p - y_i - a_i \rangle} \simeq \frac{A[y_j]}{\langle y_j^p - y_j - a_j \rangle},
$$

where we assume that  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  correspond under this isomorphism. Consider the 1-cycle,

$$
\alpha = (y_{ij} = y_i - y_j) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).
$$

Then

$$
F^*\alpha = (y_{ij}^p = y_i^p - y_j^p = y_i - y_j - (a_i - a_j)) = \alpha + \delta(y_i).
$$

Thus  $F^*\alpha = \alpha$ , and it is easy to reverse this argument.

4. (i) By Keel's Theorem, it suffices to show that  $C|_{\mathbb{E}(D)}$  is semiample. Suppose that B is a component of  $\mathbb{E}(D)$ . Then  $C \cdot B = 0$ . The space  $P$  of line bundles of degree zero on  $B$  is a projective variety. The line bundle  $L = \mathcal{O}_B(C)$  is an element of  $P(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , which is a finite group G. But then L must have finite order, so that  $C|_B$  is semiample.

(ii) The space  $P$  of line bundles of degree zero on  $C$  is a projective variety. The line bundle  $L = \mathcal{O}_C(C)$  is an element of  $P(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , which is a finite group G. But then L must have finite order, so that  $C|_C$  is torsion.

(iii) By induction on  $k$ . There is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kC) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(kC) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

If  $k = 1$ , then we get an isomorphism

$$
H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S).
$$

By assumption  $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(kC)) = 0$ , for  $0 < k < m$ . But then by Riemann-Roch  $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(kC)) = 0$ , in the same range. It follows that

$$
H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)C)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(kC)),
$$

for  $0 < k < m$ . But then

$$
H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(kC)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S),
$$

in the same range.

(iv) By (iii) it suffices to evaporate

$$
H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S),
$$

which we did in class. Suppose that  $f: T \longrightarrow S$  evaporates

$$
H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S((m-1)C)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S).
$$

There is a commuative diagram

$$
H^{0}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(mC)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}((m-1)C))
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
H^{0}(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}(mD)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}) \longrightarrow H^{1}(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}((m-1)D)).
$$

Let  $\sigma \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  be any non-vanishing section. Suppose that its image in  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S((m-1)C))$  is  $\delta$ . If  $\delta$  is not zero, then we cannot lift  $\sigma$  to an element of  $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mC))$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be the image of  $\sigma$  in  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D)$ . Then  $\sigma'$  is also a non-vanishing section. Let  $\delta'$  be the image of  $\sigma'$  in  $H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T((m-1)D))$ . Then  $\delta'$  is also the image of  $\delta$ . But then by our choice of  $f, \delta' = 0$ .