

## LECTURE 13: THE HUREWICZ HOMOMORPHISM

In 18.905 you saw that there is a Hurewicz homomorphism

$$h : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(X)$$

which is abelianization if  $X$  is path connected. More generally, there is a natural homomorphism

$$h : \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(X)$$

There are two ways to define this homomorphism.

- (1) View elements of  $\pi_k(X)$  as homotopy classes of maps  $(I^k, \partial I^k) \rightarrow (X, *)$ . By triangulating  $I^k$ , you obtain a relative cycle in the relative singular complex  $S_*(X, *)$ .
- (2) Letting  $[\iota_k]$  be the fundamental class in  $\tilde{H}_k(S^k)$ , send a representative  $f : S^k \rightarrow X$  to  $f_*[\iota_k] \in \tilde{H}_k(X)$ .

The second perspective makes it easier to verify that  $h$  is a homomorphism, using the fact that the sum of maps  $f, g : S^k \rightarrow X$  is represented by the composite

$$S^k \xrightarrow{\text{pinch}} S^k \vee S^k \xrightarrow{f \vee g} X \vee X \xrightarrow{\text{fold}} X.$$

There is a relative Hurewicz homomorphism

$$h : \pi_k(X, A) \rightarrow H_k(X, A).$$

Again, there are two perspectives:

- (1) View elements of  $\pi_k(X, A)$  as homotopy classes of maps  $I^k \rightarrow X$  with one face constrained to  $A$ , and the other faces constrained to  $*$ . By triangulating  $I^k$ , you obtain a relative cycle in the relative singular complex  $S_*(X, A)$ .
- (2) Letting  $i : A \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion, define  $h$  to be the composite

$$\pi_k(X, A) = \pi_{k-1}(F(i)) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(\Omega C(f)) \cong \pi_k(C(f)) \xrightarrow{h} \tilde{H}_k(C(f)) \cong H_k(X, A).$$

The proof of the following theorem will be given next time.

**Theorem 0.1** (Hurewicz theorem). Suppose that  $X$  is an  $(m-1)$ -connected CW-complex. Then the Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\pi_k(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(X)$$

is an isomorphism if  $k = m$  and is an epimorphism if  $k = m + 1$ .

We may use this theorem, and homotopy excision, to deduce the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.2** (Homology Whitehead theorem). Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homology isomorphism between simply connected CW-complexes. Then it is a weak equivalence, and hence a homotopy equivalence.

**Remark 0.3.** The simply connected hypothesis is important.

**Remark 0.4.** We will prove that weak equivalences are homology isomorphisms. Thus, by using cellular approximation, the CW-complex hypotheses in Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 may be removed. In the latter, you then only get a weak equivalence.