## Product Structure Theorem: First Steps (Lecture 19)

## March 18, 2009

In the last lecture, we saw that the connectivity properties of the map  $PL(m)/O(m) \rightarrow PL(m+1)/O(m+1)$  could be phrased geometrically as follows:

**Theorem 1** (Product Structure Theorems). Let M be a PL manifold of dimension m, let  $K \subseteq M$  be a closed subpolyhedron, and suppose we are given a smooth structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  which is the product of a smooth structure on M with the standard smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  in a neighborhood of  $K \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then, after modifying the smooth structure by a suitable PD isotopy which is trivial in a neighborhood of  $K \times \mathbb{R}$ , we can arrange that the smooth structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is the product of a smooth structure on M with the standard smooth structure of a smooth structure on M with the standard smooth structure of a smooth structure on M with the standard smooth structure on K. The same result holds if we replace  $\mathbb{R}$  by [0, 1].

Our goal in the next few lectures is to sketch a proof of this result. The argument is essentially the same whether we use  $\mathbb{R}$  or [0, 1]; we will therefore switch from one case to the other as convenient. To simplify the exposition, we will assume that  $K = \emptyset$ . The case where K is nonempty can be treated by more careful versions of the same arguments.

To begin, let us assume that we are given a smooth structure on the product  $M \times [0, 1]$ . Let  $X = M \times [0, 1]$ , and let  $\pi : X \to [0, 1]$  denote the projection. The easiest case of Theorem 1 is the following:

**Lemma 2.** Theorem 1 is true if  $\pi$  is a smooth submersion.

Proof. If  $\pi$  is a smooth submersion, then it exhibits X as a smooth fiber bundle over [0, 1]. Let  $M_0 = M$ , equipped with the smooth structure given by the identification  $M_0 \simeq \pi^{-1}\{0\}$ . We have a diffeomorphism  $f: X \simeq M_0 \times [0, 1]$ . In other words, X is diffeomorphic to a product with [0, 1]. This is not quite the full strength of Theorem 1: we must show that this diffeomorphism can be chosen to be PD isotopic to the identity map on X. Let us think of f as a PD family  $\{f_t: M \to M_0\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of PD homeomorphisms from M to  $M_0$ , where  $f_0$  is the identity. Define a PD isotopy  $\{h_t: X \to M_0 \times [0,1]\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  by the formula

$$h_t(m,s) = \begin{cases} (f_{s-t}(m),s) & \text{if } t \le s\\ (f_0(m),s) & \text{if } t \ge s. \end{cases}$$

Then  $h_0$  is the diffeomorphism f, which gives the original smooth structure on X. The map  $h_1$  is the identity map  $X \simeq M \times [0,1] \simeq M_0 \times [0,1]$ , which gives a product smooth structure on X.

If  $\pi$  is a smooth map, then we can test whether or not  $\pi$  is a submersion by checking whether the derivative of  $\pi$  does not vanish at any point. Of course, the condition that  $\pi$  is smooth is very strong: in our situation, we only know that  $\pi$  is piecewise linear with respect to some Whitehead compatible triangulation of X. In other words, we know that  $\pi$  is piecewise differentiable on X: that is, there is a smooth triangulation of X such that  $\pi$  is differentiable on each simplex. In this case, it is still possible to salvage something of the theory of derivatives:

**Definition 3.** Let X be a smooth manifold, and let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a piecewise differentiable map. (In the case of interest, X is a smoothing of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  for some PL manifold M, and f is the projection onto the second factor.) Let  $x \in X$  be a point and let v be a tangent vector to X and x. We define  $D_v(f)$  to be the minimum value of the derivatives  $D_v(f|\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  ranges over all simplices containing x of some triangulation of X for which f is smooth on each simplex.

The map  $(v, x) \mapsto D_v(f)$  is not generally continuous if f is not a smooth function. However, it is lower semicontinuous. In other words, for every real number  $\epsilon$ , the subset of the tangent bundle  $T_X$  consisting of pairs (x, v) for which  $D_v(f) > \epsilon$  is an open set. We will say that a tangent vector v to X is regular for f if  $D_v(f) > 0$ . Lower semicontinuity guarantees that the set of regular tangent vectors is open in  $T_X$ .

**Definition 4.** Let X be a smooth manifold and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a piecewise differentiable function. We will say that f is *regular* if, for every point  $x \in X$ , there exists a tangent vector  $v \in T_{X,x}$  such that (x, v) is regular (in other words, such that  $D_v(f) > 0$ ).

**Example 5.** If f is smooth, then f is regular if and only if it is a smooth submersion.

**Lemma 6.** Let X be a smooth manifold and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a regular piecewise differentiable function. Then there exists a smooth tangent field  $v: X \to T_X$  such that, for every  $x \in X$ , the tangent vector v(x) is regular for f.

Proof. Since f is regular, we can find for each x a tangent vector  $w_x$  at x such that  $D_{v_x}(f) > 0$ . Let  $v_x : X \to T_X$  be a smooth tangent field such that  $v_x(x) = w_x$ . Since the collection of regular tangent vectors is open, there exists an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of x such that  $v_x(y)$  is f-regular for  $y \in U_x$ . Since X is paracompact, the open covering  $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$  has a locally finite refinement. Choose a smooth partition of unity  $\psi_i$  subordinate to this refinement, so that each  $\psi_i$  is supported in  $U_{x_i}$ . Then the smooth vector field  $v = \sum_i \psi_i v_{x_i}$  has the desired property.

In the situation of Lemma 6, we will say that the vector field f is *transverse* to f.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a piecewise differentiable function, and let  $v: X \to T_X$  be a smooth vector field which is transverse to f. Then for any continuous function  $\epsilon: X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there exists a smooth map  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$D_{v(x)}(g) > D_{v(x)}(f) - \epsilon(x)$$
$$g(x) - f(x) < \epsilon(x).$$

(Choosing  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small will guarantee that v is also transverse to g.)

*Proof.* Choose a partition of unity  $\psi_i$  on X subordinate to a locally finite cover of X by compact sets  $K_i$ , each of which is contained in a coordinate chart  $U_i$ . Suppose we are given smooth maps  $g_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ , and define g by the formula

$$g = \sum \psi_i g_i.$$

Then  $g(x) - f(x) < \epsilon(x)$  will be satisfied provided that  $g_i(x) - f(x) < \epsilon(x)$  holds for  $x \in U_i$ . The other condition is a bit more subtle: we have

$$D_{v(x)}g = \sum_{i} (D_{v(x)}\psi_{i})g_{i} + \sum_{i} \psi_{i}D_{v(x)}(g_{i})$$
  
$$= \sum_{i} (D_{v(x)}\psi_{i})(g_{i} - f) + D_{v_{x}}(\sum_{i}\psi_{i})f + \sum_{i} \psi_{i}D_{v(x)}(g_{i})$$
  
$$\geq \sum_{i} \psi_{i}D_{v(x)}(g_{i}) - \sum_{i} C_{i}(g_{i} - f)$$

where  $C_i > 0$  is an upper bound for the compactly supported function  $D_{v(x)}\psi_i$ . If the inequalities

$$D_{v(x)}(g_i) > D_{v(x)}(f) - \frac{\epsilon(x)}{2}$$
$$\sum_{x \in K_j \cap K_i} C_j(g_j(x) - f(x)) < \frac{\epsilon(x)}{2}$$

hold for  $x \in K_i$ , then g will satisfy the desired inequality. Since only finitely many intersections  $K_j \cap K_i$  are nonempty, the latter inequality can be achieved by ensuring that each  $g_i$  is a close approximation to f on  $K_i$ .

In other words, we may reduce to the case where  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the inequalities

$$D_{v(x)}(g) > D_{v(x)}(f) - \epsilon(x)$$
$$g(x) - f(x) < \epsilon(x).$$

only need to be satisfied when x lies in some compact subset  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a smooth function with total integral 1, which is supported in a small ball of radius  $\delta$ . Define  $g(x) = \int_y f(y)k(x-y)$ . Then g is a smooth function. It is not difficult to see that the conditions

$$D_{v(x)}(g) > D_{v(x)}(f) - \epsilon(x)$$
$$g(x) - f(x) < \epsilon(x).$$

will be satisfied on any compact subset K, provided that  $\delta$  is chosen sufficiently small.

We now come to the main goal of this lecture:

**Proposition 8.** Theorem 1 is true in the case where the projection  $\pi : M \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a regular (but not necessarily smooth with respect the smoothing of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ ).

*Proof.* We will show that, after adjusting the smooth structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by a PD isotopy, we can arrange that  $\pi$  is a smooth submersion; the desired result will then follow from Lemma 2. First, choose a smooth Riemannian metric on  $X = M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon : X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a smooth function such that each of the closed balls  $B_{\epsilon(x)}(x) \subseteq X$  of radius  $\epsilon(x)$  around x is compact. Let  $v : X \to T_X$  be a smooth tangent field which is transverse to  $\pi$ . Rescaling v, we can assume that each v(x) has unit length.

Choose a smooth function  $\delta: X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$D_{v(x)}(f) > \delta(x)$$

for  $x \in X$ . Let  $\delta' : X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be another smooth function such that if  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $\delta'(x) \leq \delta(y)$ . Using the previous Lemma, we can choose a smooth map  $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

$$D_{v(x)}(g) > \frac{\delta(x)}{2}$$
$$\pi(x) - g(x) < \epsilon(x) \frac{\delta'(x)}{2}$$

In particular,  $\lambda(x) = D_{v(x)}(g)$  is a smooth function of x satisfying  $\pi(x) - g(x) < \epsilon(x)\lambda(y)$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \epsilon(x)$ .

Since v is a unit vector field and each of the  $\epsilon(x)$ -balls around x is compact, the flow along the vector field v gives a well-defined map

$$F: \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : |t| < \epsilon(x)\} \to X.$$

Moreover, for fixed x, F(x,t) stays in a ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around x. It follows that the t-derivative of g(F(x,t)) coincides with  $\lambda(F(x,t)) > \frac{f(x)-g(x)}{\epsilon(x)}$ . Consequently, for  $s \in [0,1]$ , we can find a unique t = t(x,s) such that  $g(F(x,t)) - g(x) = s(\pi(x) - g(x))$ . We now define a map  $h_s : X \to X$  by the formula

$$h_s(x) = F(x, t(x, s)).$$

The family  $\{h_s : X \to X\}_{s \in [0,1]}$  is then a PD isotopy from X to itself, where  $h_0$  is the identity and  $g \circ h_1 = f$ , so that f is smooth with respect to the smooth structure on X determined by  $h_1$ .