Problem Set 1

Reading: Read the course description, especially the discussion of the different applications of Fourier analysis.

Problems:

1. Let \( f(x) \) be defined as follows: if \( 0 \leq x < \pi \), then \( f(x) = 1 \); if \( \pi \leq x < 2\pi \), then \( f(x) = 0 \); \( f \) extends to be \( 2\pi \)-periodic on \( \mathbb{R} \). (This is the function that we discussed in the first lecture.)

Compute the Fourier coefficients \( \hat{f}(n) \). (Recall \( \hat{f}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)e^{-inx}dx \).)

Analysis cobwebs: From time to time in the psets, we will review important ideas from 18.100. In this pset, we review uniform convergence and continuity.

Recall that a sequence of functions \( f_n \) converges uniformly to a function \( f \) if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( N \) so that for all \( n \geq N \) and all \( x \), \( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \). In the first analysis course, you learned the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.** If \( f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \) are continuous functions and \( f_n \) converges uniformly to \( f \), then \( f \) is also continuous.

Read over the following proof. Some of the exercises below are based on this proposition and/or its proof.

**Proof.** For any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have to find some \( \delta > 0 \) and we have to prove that whenever \( |x - x'| < \delta \), \( |f(x) - f(x')| < \epsilon \).

By uniform continuity, there exists an \( N \) so that for all \( x' \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
|f_N(x') - f(x')| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.
\]

(1)

Since \( f_N \) is continuous, there exists a \( \delta > 0 \) so that whenever \( |x - x'| < \delta \),

\[
|f_N(x') - f_N(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.
\]

(2)

Now, whenever \( |x - x'| < \delta \), we can bound \( |f(x) - f(x')| \) using (1) and (2):

\[
|f(x) - f(x')| \leq |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(x')| + |f_N(x') - f(x')| < \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} < \epsilon.
\]

\( \square \)

2. Consider a trigonometric series \( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx} \). In this sum, \( n \) ranges over all the integers, and for each \( n \), \( a_n \) is a complex number. Suppose that \( \sum_{n} |a_n| \) is finite.
This implies that for each \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), the sum converges. Therefore, the sum defines a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \),

\[
f(x) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}.
\]

Prove that \( f \) is continuous.

3. Let \( f \) be as in Problem 2: \( f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx} \), where \( \sum_n |a_n| \) is finite. Recall that \( \hat{f}(n) \) is defined as

\[
\hat{f}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.
\]

Prove that \( \hat{f}(n) = a_n \).

This exercise is a step in our proof that Fourier series converge.

4. One of the themes in this course is proving quantitative estimates. In a first course on real analysis, we might prove that a function is continuous. Saying that a function is continuous is a qualitative statement about the function. In this course, we will often be interested in quantitative statements. To start to get a feel for going from qualitative statements to quantitative statements, we now consider a quantitative problem related to Proposition 1.

Suppose that \( f_n : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C} \) and \( f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C} \) are functions that obey the following inequalities. Suppose that

\[
|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{n}.
\]  

Also suppose that each \( f_n \) obeys the following estimate: for any \( x, x' \in [0, 1] \),

\[
|f_n(x) - f_n(x')| \leq n|x - x'|.
\]  

The first inequality implies that \( f_n \) converges to \( f \) uniformly and the second inequality implies that each function \( f_n \) is continuous. By Proposition 1, it follows that \( f \) is continuous. But in this problem, we are interested in quantitative estimates about the continuity of \( f \). Prove that the limiting function \( f \) obeys the following estimate:

\[
|f(x) - f(x')| \leq 1000|x - x'|^{1/2}.
\]
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