## Solutions to Math 103 Final

1. Prove that there exists a Schwartz function  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  with the following property. If  $f_1$  is any Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with supp  $\hat{f}_1 \subset [0, 1]$  and  $f_2$  is any Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with supp  $\hat{f}_2 \subset [2, 3]$ , then  $(f_1 + f_2) * h = f_1$ .

You don't need to write an exact formula for h. Just explain why the function h exists.

(This problem is related to how a radio works. Each radio station sends out a radio signal with frequency in a different range. The antennae of a radio receives a signal which is the sum of all of these contributions. To locate the signal from a single station, we need to find the part of the incoming signal in a given frequency range.)

Solution to 1: Let  $g(\omega)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  smooth function with  $g(\omega) = 1$  for  $\omega \in [0, 1]$ and with the support of g contained in [-1/2, 3/2]. Let h be the inverse Fourier transform of g. Then  $g = \hat{h}$ . Since g is Schwartz, h is also Schwartz.

Now we consider  $F := (f_1 + f_2) * h$ . We consider the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{F} = (\hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2)\hat{h} = (\hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2)g.$$

Since  $\hat{f}_1$  is supported in [0,1],  $\hat{f}_1g = \hat{f}_1$ . Since  $\hat{f}_2$  is supported in [2,3],  $\hat{f}_2g = 0$ . Therefore,  $\hat{F} = \hat{f}_1$ . Since  $f_1$  and F are Schwartz, we get by Fourier inversion that  $F = f_1$ . In other words,  $(f_1 + f_2) * h = f_1$  as desired.

2. Suppose that f is a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 = 1$  and that  $\hat{f}$  is supported in [-1, 1]. Prove that for any points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le 1000|x - y|.$$

Solution to 2: First, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_{x}^{y} f'(z) dz \right| \le |x - y| \max_{z} |f'(z)|$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|f'(z)| \leq 1000$ . Next we study f' using the Fourier transform. Let g = f'. Then  $\hat{g} = 2\pi i \omega \hat{f}$ . By Fourier inversion we get

$$f'(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi i \omega \hat{f}(\omega) e^{2\pi i \omega z} d\omega.$$

Since  $\hat{f}$  is supported in [-1, 1], we get

$$|f'(z)| \le 2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} |\omega| |\hat{f}(\omega)| d\omega \le 2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} |\hat{f}(\omega)| d\omega.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz and then Plancherel we see that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |\hat{f}(\omega)| \cdot 1d\omega \le \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega\right)^{1/2} \cdot (2)^{1/2} = 2^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx\right) = 2^{1/2}.$$

So for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|f'(z)| \le 2\pi \cdot 2^{1/2} \le 100$  as desired.

3. Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Let

$$g = f * e^{-|x|^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) e^{-|x-y|^2} dy.$$

Prove that

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} g(x) = 0.$$

Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . We claim that there exists some  $R < \infty$  so that  $\int_{|y|>R} |f(y)| dy < \epsilon$ . To prove the claim, we observe by the monotone (or Lebesgue dominated) convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} |f(y)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)| dy.$$

The integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)| dy$  is finite, and so

$$\int_{|y|>R} |f(y)|dy = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|dy\right) - \left(\int_{-R}^{R} |f(y)|dy\right) \to 0.$$

Now we estimate g(x) for large x. In particular, for x > R, we see that

$$|g(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) e^{-|x-y|^2} dy \right| \le \left| \int_{|y| \le R} f(y) e^{-|x-y|^2} dy \right| + \left| \int_{|y| > R} f(y) e^{-|x-y|^2} dy \right|.$$

The second term on the right-hand side is bounded by  $\int_{|y|>R} |f(y)| dy < \epsilon$ . To bound the first term on the right-hand side, we note that since  $|y| \leq R$  and x > R,  $e^{-|x-y|^2} \leq e^{-(x-R)^2}$ . Therefore, the first term is bounded by  $e^{-(x-R)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|$ . All together, if x > R, we have

$$|g(x)| \le \epsilon + e^{-(x-R)^2} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

If x is sufficiently large, we see that  $|g(x)| < 2\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we see that  $\lim_{x \to +\infty} g(x) = 0$ .

4. Suppose that  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable set with m(E) = 1. Prove that there exists an open interval I with

$$m(E \cap I) \ge \frac{9}{10}m(I).$$

Solution to 4: Since m(E) is finite, E can be well approximated by a finite union of intervals. More precisely, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite union of intervals Fso that  $m(E \Delta F) < \epsilon$ . Any finite union of intervals can be rewritten as a finite union of disjoint intervals in a unique way. So F is a finite disjoint union of intervals  $I_j$ . If  $\epsilon < (1/100)$ , then we claim that for one of these intervals  $I_j$ ,

$$m(E \cap I_j) \ge \frac{9}{10}m(I_j).$$
  
Note that  $m(F \cap E) \ge m(E) - m(F \triangle E) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{100} = \frac{99}{100}.$  So

$$\sum_{j} m(E \cap I_j) = m(F \cap E) \ge \frac{99}{100}$$

On the other hand,  $m(F) \leq m(E) + m(F \triangle E) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{100} = \frac{101}{100}$ . So

$$\sum_{j} m(I_j) \le \frac{101}{100}.$$

Combining the last two equations, we see that

$$\sum_{j} m(E \cap I_j) \ge \frac{99}{101} \sum_{j} m(I_j)$$

Therefore, there must be some j so that

$$m(E \cap I_j) \ge \frac{99}{101}m(I_j) \ge \frac{9}{10}m(I_j).$$

5. Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$  and  $2\pi$  periodic. Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f = 1$$
$$\max_x |f'(x)| \le 9/10$$

Let  $g_k$  be f convolved with itself k times. In other words,  $g_1 := f$  and  $g_k := g_{k-1} * f$ . (Here we use convolution for  $2\pi$ -periodic functions:  $f * g := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y)g(x-y)dy$ .) Prove that  $g_{100}$  is strictly positive.

We study the Fourier series of f, and use it to study the Fourier series of  $g_k$ .

The first equation tells us that  $\hat{f}(0) = 1$ . We use the inequality  $|f'(x)| \leq 9/10$  to bound the other Fourier coefficients. For  $n \neq 0$ , integrating by parts gives us

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{-in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

Therefore,

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \le |n|^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(x)| dx \le \frac{9}{10} |n|^{-1}$$

Next we consider how  $\hat{g}_k$  relates to  $\hat{f}$ . By definition  $g_k = g_{k-1} * f$ . Therefore,  $\hat{g}_k = \hat{g}_{k-1}\hat{f}$ . Since  $g_1 = f$ , clearly  $\hat{g}_1 = \hat{f}$ . Therefore, we see that  $\hat{g}_k = (\hat{f})^k$ . In particular, we see that

$$g_k(0) = 1.$$
  
 $|\hat{g}_k(n)| \le \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^k |n|^{-k}.$ 

^ (O)

If k is large, then  $\hat{g}_k(n)$  becomes small for all  $n \neq 0$ . By Fourier inversion, we can write  $g_k$  in terms of its Fourier series as

$$g_k(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_k(n) e^{inx} = 1 + \sum_{n \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_k(n) e^{inx}.$$

If k is large then the term 1 dominates the remaining term. In fact

$$\sum_{n \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_k(n) e^{inx} \bigg| \le \sum_{n \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}_k(n)| \le 2 \cdot (9/10)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n|^{-k}$$

If k = 100, then it follows easily that this last expression is at most 1/2. Therefore, we get

 $|g_{100}(x) - 1| \le 1/2.$ 

Since  $g_{100}$  is real, we see that  $g_{100}(x) > 0$  for all x.

4

6. Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a Schwartz function with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 = 1$  and with  $\sup \hat{f} \subset [1, 2]$ . Suppose that u(x, t) solves the Schrödinger equation  $\partial_t u = i \partial_x^2 u$  with initial conditions u(x, 0) = f(x), (and that u is Schwartz uniform).

Suppose in addition that

(1) 
$$|f(x)| \le 100(1+|x|)^{-3}$$

Prove that for all t > 0,

$$|u(0,t)| < 10^{100} t^{-1}.$$
(\*)

Physical interpretation: The solution to the Schrodinger equation models a quantum mechanical particle. The integral  $\int_a^b |u(x,t)|^2 dx$  gives the probability that the particle lies in the interval [a, b] at time t. Therefore,  $|u(x,t)|^2$  can be interpreted as the 'probability density' that the particle is at the point x at time t. The condition that supp  $\hat{f} \subset [1, 2]$  says that the particle has "momentum" between 1 and 2. Equation 1 implies that at time 0, the particle lies fairly close to 0 with high probability. Since the particle has momentum in the range [1, 2], physical intuition suggests that it is unlikely to be near zero when t is large.

Solution to 6: We consider the Fourier transform of u. As we learned in class,  $\hat{u}(\omega, t)$  obeys the differential equation

$$\partial_t \hat{u}(\omega, t) = -4\pi^2 i\omega^2 \hat{u}(\omega, t).$$

We have the initial condition  $\hat{u}(\omega, 0) = \hat{f}(\omega)$ , and therefore

$$\hat{u}(\omega,t) = e^{-4\pi^2 i\omega^2 t} \hat{f}(\omega).$$

By Fourier inversion, we see that

$$u(0,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-4\pi^2 i\omega^2 t} \hat{f}(\omega) d\omega.$$

Next we want to use the hypotheses about f to control  $\hat{f}$ . First of all, since  $\hat{f}$  is supported in [1, 2], we can write

$$u(0,t) = \int_{1}^{2} e^{-4\pi^{2}i\omega^{2}t} \hat{f}(\omega)d\omega.$$
(1)

The bound  $|f(x)| \leq 100(1+|x|)^{-3}$  allows us to bound both  $\hat{f}(\omega)$  and its derivative. First we bound  $|\hat{f}(\omega)|$ .

$$|\hat{f}(\omega)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx \le 100 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|)^{-3} dx \le 1000.$$

To bound the derivative of  $\hat{f}(\omega)$ , we first recall that

$$\frac{d}{d\omega}\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-2\pi ix)f(x)e^{-2\pi i\omega x}dx.$$

Using the estimate  $|f(x)| \le 100(1+|x|)^{-3}$ , we get the bound

$$\left|\frac{d}{d\omega}\hat{f}(\omega)\right| \le 100 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\pi|x|)(1+|x|)^{-3} dx \le 10^4.$$

Now we use these estimates for  $\hat{f}$  and its derivative to control the integral in (1). We want to prove that the oscillation in the factor  $e^{-4\pi^2 i\omega^2 t}$ , together with the regularity of  $\hat{f}$ , leads to cancellation in the integral. Because the oscillatory term has the form  $e^{-4\pi^2 i\omega^2 t}$  we change variables to  $\eta = \omega^2$ . We have  $d\eta = 2\omega d\omega$ , and so  $d\omega = (1/2)\eta^{-1/2}d\eta$ . Therefore, the integral (1) becomes

$$u(0,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{4} e^{-4\pi^{2}i\eta t} \hat{f}(\eta^{1/2}) \eta^{-1/2} d\eta$$

We abbreviate  $g(\eta) = \hat{f}(\eta^{1/2})\eta^{-1/2}$ . Then we get

$$u(0,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{4} g(\eta) e^{-4\pi^{2}i\eta t} d\eta.$$

We will control this integral by integrating by parts. We integrate by parts with  $u = g(\eta)$  and  $dv = e^{-4\pi^2 i\eta t} d\eta$ . We note that g is a  $C^1$  function on [1,4], and that g vanishes at the endpoints of [1,4]. Because of this vanishing, the boundary terms vanish when we integrate by parts, and we get

$$u(0,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{4} g(\eta) e^{-4\pi^{2}i\eta t} d\eta = -\frac{1}{-8\pi^{2}it} \int_{1}^{4} g'(\eta) e^{-4\pi^{2}i\eta t} d\eta$$

Therefore, we get

$$|u(0,t)| \le t^{-1} \max_{\eta \in [1,4]} |g'(\eta)|.$$

It just remains to bound  $|g'(\eta)|$ . Using the Liebniz rule and the chain rule, we see that

$$g'(\eta) = \left(\hat{f}(\eta^{1/2})\eta^{-1/2}\right)' = \hat{f}'(\eta^{1/2}) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-1/2}\right)\eta^{-1/2} + \hat{f}(\eta^{1/2})\left(\frac{-1}{2}\eta^{-3/2}\right)$$

When  $\eta \in [1, 4]$ , negative powers of  $\eta$  are at most 1. Using our bounds  $|\hat{f}(\omega)| \le 10^3$ and  $|\hat{f}'(\omega)| \le 10^4$ , we see that

$$\max_{\eta \in [1,4]} |g'(\eta)| \le 10^4 + 10^3 \le 10^5.$$

Therefore, we get all together

$$|u(0,t)| \le 10^5 t^{-1}.$$

Final remarks: If f decays faster, we can prove even better decay for |u(0,t)|. Given a bound of the form

$$|f(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^{-m}$$

we can bound  $|\hat{f}(\omega)|$  and we can bound the derivatives  $|\frac{d^k}{d\omega^k}\hat{f}(\omega)|$  for  $1 \le k \le m-2$ . Following the same strategy and integrating by parts m-2 times, we can prove the following stronger bound for |u(0,t)|:

$$|u(0,t)| \le C' t^{-(m-2)}.$$