

18.155 Problem Set 7; Parts I and II

Due Wednesday, 11/12/08

In Problem 1, we recapitulate the problems from PS6 so that you can finish them.

1. Recall from PS6 that you showed that for $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(x \pm i0)^z = x_+^z + e^{\pm i\pi z} x_-^z \quad (z \neq -1, -2, \dots)$$

$$(x \pm i0)^{-n-1} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (x \pm i0)^{-1} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (\text{p.v.} \frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi\delta)$$

a) Consider the distributions $u_z(x) = (x+i0)^z$, $h_z(x) = x_+^z/\Gamma(z+1)$. We proved in PS6 that u_z is defined for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$. On PS6 you showed that $h_z(\varphi)$ is a meromorphic function of z , defined except possibly at $z = -1, -2, \dots$. Prove that again by showing that h_z initially defined for $\Re z > -1$ is extended to all values of z by the formula

$$h_z = (d/dx)h_{z+1}$$

In particular confirm that (because we have put in an extra gamma function factor in the denominator with a pole at each negative integer, the expression is well-defined at these values as well. In fact, the limit

$$h_{-k} = \lim_{z \rightarrow -k} h_z = \delta^{(k-1)}$$

where $\delta^{(k-1)}$ is the $k - 1$ st derivative of the delta function.

b) Find the formula for the Fourier transform of $(x + i0)^z$ by computing the coefficient $c(z)$ in the formula

$$\hat{u}_z = c(z)h_{-1-z}$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$, using the procedure outlined as follows. For complex numbers satisfying $\Re z > 0$, the gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$$

Change variables by $y = at$ with $a > 0$, then use analytic continuation to extend the formula to $a - ix$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Then take the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$. Finally, replace z by $-z$. This confirms the formula in the case $\Re z < 0$. Finally, show that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ the expressions

$$u_z(\hat{\varphi}) \quad \text{and} \quad c(z)h_{-1-z}(\varphi)$$

are analytic in z and hence that the equation between them extends to all values of z . Check your formula for $c(z)$ by looking at the cases $z = 1, 2, \dots$.

c) Recall from PS6 that the distribution E on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$ defined in terms of its partial Fourier transform by $\mathcal{F}_2 E = H(t) \sin(t|\xi|)/|\xi|$, satisfies

$$\square E = \delta$$

where \square denotes the wave operator

$$\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta_x$$

Show that E is homogeneous of degree $1 - n$. Denote

$$F_1 = h_{(1-n)/2}(t^2 - |x|^2)H(t)$$

Calculate $\mathcal{F}_2 F_1(t, 0)$ for $t > 0$. Show that

$$F_1 = cE$$

and calculate the constant c . Here is the first half of the argument, which you should repeat in your own words. You showed on PS6 that F_1 is well-defined as a homogeneous distribution of degree $1 - n$, and satisfies $\square F_1 = 0$ outside the origin and hence satisfies $\square F_1 = c\delta$ in all of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . F_1 is obviously rotation invariant. Moreover, on PS6 you found that all homogeneous distributions F of degree $1 - n$ that are rotation-invariant in x and satisfy $\square F = c\delta$ form a three-dimensional family. Since F_1 is the only member of that family that is supported in $t \geq 0$, it must be a multiple of E .

Further hints: To evaluate the constant it helps to know that $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$ and to use the relationship $(d/dx)h_z = h_{z-1}$.

d) Let $f : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$ and $f(p) = 0$. Then for $z \neq 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$WF(u_z(f)) \cap \{p\} \times S^n = \{(p, \nabla f(p)/|\nabla f(p)|)\}$$

What is the analogous result with $u_z(y)$ replaced by $(y - i0)^z$?

Hint: The wave front set projects onto the singular support. Why is p in the singular support of $u_z(f)$? Use the inverse Fourier transform representation of u_z to represent the Fourier transform of $\psi u_z(f)\psi$ (for a suitable cutoff function ψ) as an oscillatory integral (See 3(c)). This can be used to show that every direction besides the direction of $\nabla f(p)$ is not in the wave front set at p .

e) Explain why if $WF(v_1) \cap WF(v_2) = \emptyset$, then $WF(v_1 + v_2) = WF(v_1) \cup WF(v_2)$. Deduce from this and from part (d) the wave front sets of all linear combinations of the distributions

$$F_1 = h_{(1-n)/2}(f)H(t), \quad F_2 = h_{(1-n)/2}(f)H(-t), \quad F_3 = u_{(1-n)/2}(f), \quad (f = t^2 - |x|^2)$$

at all points except the origin $(t, x) = (0, 0)$ ($n > 1$). State the answer for $t > 0$ and $t < 0$ separately, and use the formulas from 1(a) above. (You may also distinguish n even and odd.)

f) Find the sign (according to whether $n > 1$ is even or odd) for which

$$G = (t^2 - |x|^2 + i0)^{(1-n)/2}H(t) \pm (t^2 - |x|^2 - i0)^{(1-n)/2}H(-t)$$

is a homogeneous solution to $\square G = c\delta$. Point to where in your proof you used the correct sign and where the argument would go wrong with the wrong sign. Identify the wave front set of G at points other than the origin $(0, 0)$.

g) Recall that we defined G_{\pm} by

$$\mathcal{F}_2 G_{\pm} = e^{\pm it|\xi|}/|\xi|$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\pm} &= \delta(\tau^2 - |\xi|^2)H(\pm\tau) \\ V &= (\tau^2 - |\xi|^2 + i0)^{-1}H(\tau) + (\tau^2 - |\xi|^2 - i0)^{-1}H(-\tau) \\ W &= \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\tau^2 - |\xi|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Repeating PS6, identify \hat{G}_{\pm} as a linear combination of U_{\pm} . Show that the inverse Fourier transform of U_+ is a linear combination of the three distributions in part (e). Recall that the projection of the scattering wave front set is the cone singular support of the Fourier transform of a distribution: $\pi_2 WF_{sc}(w) = C_{ss}(\hat{w})$. Show that it follows from (e) that $\hat{G} = \alpha U_+$. Use this, the analogous result for $\tilde{G} = G((-t, -x)$, and the calculation of c in part (c) to evaluate the constant α .

h) Put together all these results to identify the Fourier transforms of F_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ and G (for $n > 1$). Figure out, in particular, which distribution has partial Fourier transform $\cos(t|\xi|)/|\xi|$.

j) Use the fact that $WF(P(\partial)v) \subset WF(v)$ to figure out the wave front set of all of the F 's and G 's at the origin. It also helps to remember the projection property concerning the scattering wave front set, and the fact that the wave front set is closed. (Optional: find the scattering wave front set.)

2. We review here some elementary aspects of Fourier series. Recall that for a 2π -periodic function F on \mathbf{R} or, equivalently, on $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$, the Fourier coefficients are defined by

$$a_k = a_k(F) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(x)e^{-inx} dx$$

Lemma (proved in part (c)) If $H \in C(\mathbf{T})$ and $a_k(H) = 0$ for all k , then $H = 0$.

a) Show that if $F \in C^2(\mathbf{T})$, then $|a_k| \leq C/k^2$ so that the series

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_k e^{ikx}$$

converges uniformly. Deduce from the lemma that

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_k e^{ikx}$$

b) The **Poisson summation formula** says that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} f(2\pi k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{f}(n)$$

where $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx$. Prove this by applying the Fourier inversion formula proved in part (a) to the periodized function $F(x) = \sum_k f(x + 2\pi k)$. Write the Poisson summation formula as a statement about the Fourier transform on \mathbf{R} in the sense of distributions of $\sum_K \delta(x - 2\pi k)$.

c) Prove the lemma as follows.

i) For $0 \leq r < 1$, define $P_r(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} e^{ikx}$. Show that

$$P_r(x) = \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - re^{ix}|^2}$$

by summing a geometric series.

ii) Define convolution on \mathbf{T} by

$$f * g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x - y)g(y) dy$$

Show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \max |F * P_r(x) - F(x)| = 0$$

for $F \in C(\mathbf{T})$.

iii) For $H \in C(\mathbf{T})$, show that $H * P_r(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_k(H) r^{|k|} e^{ikx}$ and deduce the lemma.

3. Oscillatory Integrals. Recall the lemma of non-stationary phase from lecture:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{it\varphi(x)} \psi(x) dx \right| \leq C_j t^{-j} \|\psi\|_{C^j} \max_K \left(\frac{1}{|\nabla\varphi|} + \frac{1}{|\nabla\varphi|^{2j}} \right)$$

where ψ is supported in a compact subset $K_1 \subset K$ and C_j depends on $\|\varphi\|_{C^{j+1}(K)}$ and a positive lower bound for the distance from K_1 to the complement of K . All three parts of this exercise follow from this lemma.

The class S^m of symbols is defined as the collection of functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$|(\partial/\partial x)^\alpha (\partial/\partial \theta)^\beta a(x, \theta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\theta|)^{m - |\beta|},$$

for all $(x, \theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^N$.

Consider a real-valued phase function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$ such that $\varphi(x, t\theta) = t\varphi(x, \theta)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\nabla_{x, \theta}\varphi \neq 0$ (for $\theta \neq 0$).

a) Show that the oscillatory integral

$$I = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \eta_k(\theta) a(x, \theta) e^{i\varphi(x, \theta)} d\theta = \sum_k I_k$$

converges in the sense of distributions. Here η_k is a dyadic partition of unity on \mathbf{R}^N :

$$\sum_k \eta_k(\theta) = 1$$

$\text{supp}\eta_0 \subset \{|\theta| < 1\}$, $\text{supp}\eta_k \subset 2^{k-2} < |\theta| < 2^{k+2}$, and $|(\partial/\partial \theta)^\beta \eta_k(\theta)| \leq C_\beta (1 + |\theta|)^{-|\beta|}$. Notice that this means that the functions η_k belong to the symbol class S^0 with bounds independent of k . See Hörmander Vol I, Theorem 7.8.2. Oscillatory integrals are written (in)formally as

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} a(x, \theta) e^{i\varphi(x, \theta)} d\theta$$

Hint: For a test function ψ , write each integral $I_k(\psi)$ as an integral in x and θ rescaling θ to unit size. Then apply the lemma in (x, θ) -space.

b) Show that $\text{ss}(I) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \nabla_\theta \varphi(x, \theta) = 0 \text{ for some } \theta \neq 0\}$. See Hörmander, Vol I, Theorem 7.8.3. Hint: apply the lemma to $I_k(x)$ for fixed x .

c) Show that $WF(I) \subset \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) : \xi = \nabla_x \varphi(x, \theta) / |\nabla_x \varphi(x, \theta)|, \nabla_\theta \varphi(x, \theta) = 0 \text{ for some } \theta \neq 0\}$. (The unit direction ξ can be regarded as being in the sphere at infinity.) Hint: truncate by a smooth cutoff function near x and take the Fourier transform to find an sum of oscillatory integral expression of the form of as in in the variables (x, θ) with parameters ξ . See Hörmander, Vol I, Theorem 8.1.9.

Remark: If the function a vanishes or is rapidly decreasing along with its derivatives in a conical neighborhood in θ , then the corresponding point (x, ξ) is not in the wave front set. This is a general instance of the calculate of the wave front set of $u(f)$ in 1(d).