| 18.438 Advanced Combinatorial Optimization | September 24, 2009 |
|--|--------------------|
| Lecture 5 | |
| Lecturer: Michel X. Goemans | Scribe: Yehua Wei |

In this lecture, we establish the connection between nowhere-zero (nwz) k-flow and nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k flows. Then, we present several theorems of relations between edge-connectivity of a graph, and the existence of nowhere-zero flows.

1 Nowhere-zero k-flow

Let us first recall some definitions from the previous lecture.

Definition 1 Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph, and Γ be an abelian group. A nowhere-zero Γ -flow is $\phi : E \to \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sum_{e \in \delta^{-}(v)} \phi(e) = \sum_{e \in \delta^{+}(v)} \phi(e) \qquad (flow \ conservation \).$$

If G is undirected, then we say that it has a nowhere-zero Γ flow if the graph admits a nowhere-zero Γ flow after giving an orientation to all the edges.

As we saw, if one orientation works then any does, since inverses exist in abelian groups.

Definition 2 Let G be an undirected graph. For integer $k \geq 2$, a nowhere-zero k-flow ϕ is an assignment $\phi: E \to \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that for some orientation of G flow conservation is achieved, i.e.,

$$\sum_{e \in \delta^-(v)} \phi(e) = \sum_{e \in \delta^+(v)} \phi(e)$$

for all $v \in V$.

It is convenient to fix an orientation and let ϕ take values in $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm (k-1)\}$.

Theorem 1 (Tutte 1950) Let G be an undirected graph. Then G has a nowhere-zero k-flow $\iff G$ has a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) : By definition of nowhere-zero flows.

(\Leftarrow): Let ϕ be a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow, define $e(v) = \sum_{e \in \delta^+(v)} \phi(e) - \sum_{e \in \delta^-(v)} \phi(e)$ for all $v \in V$, under group operation in \mathbb{Z} . Observe that all e(v)'s are multiples of k. Without loss of generality, we can assume ϕ is the nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow such that $\sum_{v \in V} |e(v)|$ is minimized.

Suppose $\sum_{v \in V} |e(v)| = 0$, then we have obtained a nowhere-zero k-flow. Otherwise, let $S = \{v : e(v) > 0\}$ and $T = \{v : e(v) < 0\}$. Since $\sum_{v \in V} |e(v)| > 0$ and $\sum_{v \in V} e(v) = 0$, we have that both S and T are nonempty. Let U be the set of vertices reachable from S. If $U \cap T = \emptyset$, then $0 < \sum_{v \in U} e(v) = \sum_{e \in \delta^+(U)} \phi(e) - \sum_{e \in \delta^-(U)} \phi(e)$. But $\delta^+(U) = \emptyset$, and

thus implies $\sum_{e \in \delta^+(U)} \phi(e) - \sum_{e \in \delta^-(U)} \phi(e) \le 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we must have $U \cap T \ne \emptyset$, which implies we can find a directed path P from some $s \in S$ to $t \in T$.

Now, we "revert" path P to create another nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow. More formally, for each arc $e \in P$, reverse the direction of e, and name it as \overleftarrow{e} , and define

$$\phi'(\overleftarrow{e}) = k - \phi(e) \qquad \forall e \in P$$

$$\phi'(e) = \phi(e) \qquad \forall e \notin P$$

Then ϕ' is also a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow. Let $e'(v) = \sum_{e \in \delta^+(v)} \phi'(e) - \sum_{e \in \delta^-(v)} \phi'(e)$ for all $v \in V$. Observe that for any $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$, e'(v) = e(v). And e'(s) = e(s) - k, e'(t) = e(t) + k. This implies $\sum_{v \in V} |e'(v)| < \sum_{v \in V} |e(v)|$, contradicting the minimality of ϕ . Therefore, we have $\sum_{v \in V} |e(v)| = 0$, which implies we also have a nowhere-zero k-flow. \square

Theorem 1 implies that if G has a nowhere-zero k-flow then G has a nowhere-zero k'-flow, for any integer $k' \geq k$. Combine with the theorem from previous lecture which states that the existence of a nowhere-zero Γ -flow depends not on the group structure of Γ , but only on the size of Γ , we have obtained the following corollary:

Corollary 2 G has a nowhere-zero Γ -flow \Longrightarrow G has a nowhere-zero Γ' flow for any $|\Gamma'| \geq |\Gamma|$.

2 Nowhere-zero Flow and Edge Connectivity

Now, we discuss some open problems and known results of the relation between a graph's edge connectivity and the existence of its nowhere-zero flows. We begin with a famous conjecture of Tutte.

Conjecture 1 Every 4-edge-connected graph has a nowhere-zero 3-flow.

And here is a weaker version of this conjecture.

Conjecture 2 There exists a positive integer k such that every k-edge-connected graph has a nowhere-zero 3-flow.

Now, we present some of the results that is known about nowhere-zero flow and edge connectivity.

Theorem 3 (Jaeger) If an undirected graph G = (V, E) is 4-edge-connected, then G has a nowhere-zero 4-flow (or nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow).

It is also shown that there is a efficient algorithm for finding a nowhere-zero 4-flow of any given graph G.

Theorem 4 (Jaeger) If an undirected graph G = (V, E) is 2-edge-connected, then G has a nowhere-zero 8-flow (or nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow).

Theorem 5 (Seymour) If G is 2-edge-connected, then G has a nowhere-zero 6-flow.

The proofs for all three theorems stated are constructive. Seymour also conjectured that if G is 2-edge-connected, then G has a nowhere-zero 5-flow.

Here, we will present the proofs of the two theorems by Jaeger. Before we present the proofs, we first state and prove a very useful proposition.

Proposition 6 Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), G has a nowhere-zero 2^p -flow \iff there exists $F_1, F_2, ..., F_p \subset E$, such that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^p F_i$, and for any $1 \le i \le p$, F_i is an even graph (every vertex of F_i has even degree).

Proof: (\Leftarrow): Given $F_1, ..., F_p$ define a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ circulation ϕ as:

$$[\phi(e)]_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in F_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^p F_i$, ϕ is a nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow.

(⇒): Let ϕ be a nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow of G (which exists since G has a nowhere zero 2^p -flow). For $1 \leq i \leq p$, define

$$F_i = \{e | e \in E \text{ and } [\phi(e)]_i = 1\}$$

This means F_i is an even graph for any i and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^p F_i$.

We also make use of a theorem by Nash and Williams, which we will state without providing its proof.

Theorem 7 (Nash-Williams) If an undirected graph G = (V, E) is 2k-edge-connected, then G has k-edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Now, we have enough tools to present the proofs of Jaeger.

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 3] By the theorem of Nash-Williams, we can find two edge disjoint spanning trees of G, and name them as T_1 , T_2 .

Claim 8 $\exists A_1 \subset T_1$, such that $(E \setminus T_1) \cup A_1$ is even (again, by even, we mean for all $v \in V$, v has even degree in $(E \setminus T_1) \cup A_1$).

Proof: [Proof of the Claim]: We prove the claim by describe an algorithm to find A_1 . Start the algorithm with $U = E \setminus T_1$, $A_1 = \emptyset$ and $T = T_1$. At every iteration, find a leaf vertex v of T. If the degree of v in U is even, leave U as it is, and if degree of v in U is odd, add the edge of T incident to v into U and A_1 . Next, delete v, update T and start another iteration. At the end of the algorithm (when T has exactly one vertex left), we have that U has all even degrees except possibly at that last vertex. But the sum of degrees (in U) of all vertices must be even, so all vertices in U must have even degrees. So we have $U = (E \setminus T_1) \cup A_1$ and U is even.

By similar argument, there also exists $A_2 \subset T_2$, such that $(E \setminus T_2) \cup A_2$ is even. Now let $F_1 = (E \setminus T_1) \cup A_1$, $F_2 = (E \setminus T_2) \cup A_2$. Then $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$ implies that $F_1 \cup F_2 = E$. By proposition 6, we have that G has a nowhere-zero 4-flow.

Now, we prove Theorem 4, which is similar to the previous proof.

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 4] Suppose G is 2-edge-connected but not 3-edge-connected. Then, there exists a cut $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that, in our orientation of G, e_1 and e_2 disagree in orientation within the cut $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Let $G' = G/e_1$, and by induction (on the number of vertices), G' has a nowhere-zero 8-flow ϕ' . Define

$$[\phi(e)] = \begin{cases} \phi'(e) & \text{if } e \neq e_1\\ \phi'(e_2) & \text{if } e = e_1 \end{cases}$$

Then $\phi(e)$ is a nowhere-zero 8-flow of G (by flow conservation across the cut $\{e_1, e_2\}$).

Now, suppose G is 3-edge-connected, then we duplicate every edge of G to create G' = (V, E'). Clearly, G' is 6-edge-connected. Again, by Nash-Williams, there exists pairwise disjoint sets $T'_1, T'_2, T'_3 \subset E'$, such that $T'_1 \cup T'_2 \cup T'_3 = E'$. Define $T_i = \{e | e \text{ is in } T'_i \text{ or the duplicate of } e \text{ is in } T'_i \}$. Then $T_1, T_2, T_3 \subset E$, such that for any $e \in E$, there is i such that $e \notin T_i$. By similar arguments as the last proof, we can find A_1, A_2, A_3 such that $(E \setminus T_i) \cup A_i$ is even. Let $F_i = (E \setminus T_i) \cup A_i$. Since $\forall e \in E$, there is some i such that $e \notin T_i$ (and thus $e \in F_i$), we have $E = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$. Now, the proof is completed by applying Proposition 6.

3 Finding Nowhere-zero k-flow

The proof we gave of Tutte's theorem stating that the existence of a nowhere zero Γ -flow in G depends only on $|\Gamma|$ is non-constructive. In this section, we prove it algorithmically by going from any nowhere zero Γ -flow to a nowhere zero Γ -flow where $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'|$. This does not seem to be known (or at least widely known); Jensen and Toft [1, p. 210] write:

No constructive proof has been published so far as we know. However, the arguments given by Minty [1967] for the case k=4 seem to provide a key to a constructive proof also in general.

For this purpose, we use the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups which says that any finite abelian group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_\ell}$ where the q_i 's are prime powers (the constructions below will work even for general q_i 's). Throughout this section, we consider a directed graph G = (V, E), so when we talk about a nowhere zero k-flow ϕ , we assume that ϕ takes values in $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm (k-1)\}$.

As a warm-up, we show algorithmically how to find a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_4 -flow from a nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow. Let ϕ be a nowhere-zero $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -flow. Then, let $F_i = \{e | [\phi(e)]_i \neq 0\}$, for i = 1, 2. This implies (V, F_i) has a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_2 -flow for i = 1, 2. Then, we can find $\phi_i : F_i \to \{-1, 1\}$, where ϕ_i is a 2-flow (under operations in \mathbb{Z}) for $i = \{1, 2\}$, and we can extend it with 0 values to E. Finally, define

$$\phi' = 2\phi_1 + \phi_2$$
.

Then ϕ' satisfies the flow conservation and $\forall e \in E, -3 \le \phi'(e) \le 3$, and $\phi'(e) \ne 0$. This shows ϕ' is a nowhere-zero 4-flow, and taking values modulo 4, we get a \mathbb{Z}_4 -flow of G.

We can extend this procedure to all finite abelian groups, that is, for any group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_\ell}$, where q_i 's are prime powers for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Let $k = (q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot ... \cdot q_\ell)$,

given a nowhere-zero Γ -flow ϕ , we can find a nowhere-zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow ϕ' algorithmically in the following way. The *i*th coordinate of ϕ is a \mathbb{Z}_{q_i} -flow and thus, we can transform it into a q_i -flow (over \mathbb{Z}) (instead of changing the orientations as we did in the proof of Theorem 1, we can simply decrease the flow value along P by q_i):

$$\phi_i: E \to \{-(q_i-1), ..., -1, 0, 1, ..., (q_i-1)\}$$

for each $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$. Observe that, by construction, for every e, there exists i such that $\phi_i(e) \neq 0$. Then define

$$\phi'(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_j) \phi_i(e)$$

for each $e \in E$. Then, we claim that ϕ' is a nowhere-zero k-flow in G. Indeed, by induction on h, we have that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{h} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_j \right) \phi_i(e) \right| \le \left(\prod_{j=1}^{h} q_j \right) - 1,$$

implying that (i) $\phi'(e) \neq 0$ (by considering the largest i with $\phi_i(e) \neq 0$) and (ii) $|\phi'(e)| < k$.

The converse can also be done. From a nowhere zero \mathbb{Z}_k -flow, one can construct a nowhere zero Γ -flow for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_\ell}$ where $k = (q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot ... \cdot q_\ell)$. For this, we show how to get a nowhere zero $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2}$ flow ϕ' from a nowhere zero $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1q_2}$ -flow ϕ for any q_1, q_2 . First, define $\phi_e \equiv \lambda_e \pmod{q_1}$ for all $e \in E$. Thus, λ is a \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} -flow; it takes value 0 on the edges of $E_1 = \{e : \phi_e \equiv 0 \pmod{q_1}\}$. As before, by possibly replacing λ_e by $\lambda_e - q_1$, we can get a q_1 -flow ϕ_1 which is nowhere zero on $E \setminus E_1$. Let μ_1 be the \mathbb{Z}_{q_1} -flow obtained by taking the flow values ϕ_1 modulo q_1 . Since ϕ and ϕ_1 are both flows (over \mathbb{Z}), we have that $\phi - \phi_1$ is also a flow, and every flow value is a multiple of q_1 . Thus $\phi_2 = \frac{1}{q_1}(\phi - \phi_1)$ is also a integer-valued flow. Taking ϕ_2 modulo q_2 , we get a \mathbb{Z}_{q_2} -flow. Observe now that if $\mu_1(e) = 0$ then $\mu_2(e) \neq 0$ since otherwise $\phi(e)$ would be 0. Thus, (μ_1, μ_2) constitutes a nowhere zero $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2}$ -flow on G.

References

[1] T.R. Jensen and B. Toft, "Graph Coloring Problems", Wiley, 1995.