

On the Discrepancy of Random Matrices with Many Columns

Cole Franks and Michael Saks

March 23, 2019



Discrepancy

Discrepancy

- **discrepancy** of a matrix: extent to which the rows can be simultaneously split into two equal parts.
- Formally, let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be a norm, and let

$$\text{disc}_*(M) = \min_{v \in \{+1, -1\}^n} \|Mv\|_*$$

(M is an $m \times n$ matrix).

Goal: prove $\text{disc}_*(M)$ is small in certain situations, and find the good assignments v efficiently.

Examples and Applications

-

$$\text{disc}_\infty \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

- Extractors: the best extractor for two independent n -bit sources with min-entropy k has error rate $\text{disc}_\infty(M)$ where M is a
 1. $\binom{2^n}{2^k}^2 \times 2^{2n}$ matrix
 2. with one row for each rectangle $A \times B \subset \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n$ with $|A| = |B| = 2^k$,
 3. each row is a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix with (x,y) entry equal to $\frac{1}{2^{2k}} 1_A(x)1_B(y)$.

number of rows is \gg number of columns, random coloring optimal but useless!

Upper bounds

Definition

$\text{herdisc}(M)$: maximum discrepancy of any subset of columns of M .

Beck-Fiala Theorem: $M_{ij} \in [-1, 1]$ and $\leq t$ nonzero entries per column,

$$\text{herdisc}(M) \leq 2t - 1.$$

Beck-Fiala Conjecture: If M as above,

$$\text{herdisc}(M) = O(\sqrt{t})$$

Komlos Conjecture: M with unit vector columns,

$$\text{herdisc}(M) = O(1)$$

Banachszky's Theorem: If M as above,

$$\text{herdisc}(M) = O(\sqrt{\log m})$$

Discrepancy of random matrices

Let M be a random t -sparse matrix

$$m \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_n$$

Theorem (Ezra, Lovett 2015)

Few columns: If $n = O(m)$, then with probability $1 - \exp(-\Omega(t))$.

$$\text{herdisc}(M) = O(\sqrt{t \log t}).$$

Many columns: If $n = \Omega\left(\binom{m}{t} \log \binom{m}{t}\right)$ then with pr. $1 - \binom{m}{t}^{-\Omega(1)}$,

$$\text{disc}(M) \leq 2$$

Why not **herdisc** for many columns?

General setup

- $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a nondegenerate lattice,
- X is a finitely supported r.v. on \mathcal{L} such that $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} X = \mathcal{L}$.
- n columns of M are drawn i.i.d from X .

Question

How does $\text{disc}_(M)$ behave for various ranges of n ?*

This talk: $n \gg m$

For $n \gg m$ the problem becomes a **closest vector** problem on \mathcal{L} .

Definition

$\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ is the covering radius of \mathcal{L} in the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Fact

$\text{disc}_*(M) \leq 2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ with high probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: For every subset $S \subset \text{supp } X$, pick E_S an *even integer* combination of the elements of $\text{supp } X$ that is $2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ away from $\sum S$. Let B be a bound on all these coefficients. Each element of $\text{supp } X$ appears $B + 1$ times with high probability in n ; remove one of each column that appeared an odd number of times and set the labels on the remaining columns so that they sum to $-E_S$. Naïvely, n has to be huge.
not tight!

Question

For a given random variable X , how large must n be before $\text{disc}_*(M) \leq 2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ with high probability?

t -sparse vectors, ℓ_∞

- \mathcal{L} is $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^m : \sum x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{t}\}$
- $\rho_\infty(\mathcal{L}) = 1$

By fact, $\text{disc}_\infty(M) \leq 2$ eventually.

EL15 showed this happens for $n \geq \Omega\left(\binom{m}{t} \log \binom{m}{t}\right)$. exponential dependence on $t!$

This work: $n = \Omega(m^3 \log^2 m)$

Our results

Our Results

Random t -sparse matrices:

Theorem (FS18)

Let M be a random t -sparse matrix. If $n = \Omega(m^3 \log^2 m)$, then

$$\text{disc}_\infty(M) \leq 2$$

with probability at least $1 - O\left(\sqrt{\frac{m \log n}{n}}\right)$.

Actually usually $\text{disc}_\infty(M) = 1$.

Related work: Hoberg and Rothvoss '18 obtained $\Omega(m^2 \log m)$ for M with i.i.d $\{0, 1\}$ entries.

More generally

\mathcal{L}, M, X as before, and define

1. $L = \max_{v \in \text{supp } X} \|v\|_2$

e.g. \sqrt{t} for t -sparse

2. *distortion* $R_* = \max_{\|v\|_2=1} \|v\|_*$.

e.g. \sqrt{m} for $* = \infty$

3. *spanningness*: $s(X)$ “how far X is from proper sublattice.”

will be $\leq 1/m$ for t -sparse

Theorem (FS18)

Suppose $\mathbb{E}XX^\dagger = I_m$. Then $\text{disc}_*(M) \leq 2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ with probability $1 - O\left(L\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)$ for

$$n \geq N = \text{poly}(m, s(X)^{-1}, R_*, \rho_*(\mathcal{L}), \log \det \mathcal{L}).$$

To apply the theorem to non-isotropic X ,
consider the transformed r.v. $\Sigma^{-1/2}X$, where $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}XX^\dagger$.

Proof outline

Need to show: for *most fixed* M , the r.v. $M\mathbf{y}$, $\mathbf{y} \in_R \{\pm 1\}^n$, gets within $2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$ of the origin with positive probability.

Use local central limit theorem:

1. Intuitively the $M\mathbf{y}$ (sampled at same time) approaches lattice Gaussian:

$$\Pr[M\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}] \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

for $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in M\mathbf{1} + 2\mathcal{L}$

2. For *most* M , $M\mathbf{y}$ also behaves like this!
3. Then done: $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in M\mathbf{1} + 2\mathcal{L}$ contains, near origin, elements of $*$ -norm $2\rho_*(\mathcal{L})$.

Local central limit theorem

We propose an LCLT that takes a matrix parameter M , and show it holds for **most** M .

- Proof of LCLT \approx proof of LCLT in [Kuperberg, Lovett, Peled, '12].
- **Differences:**
 - theirs was for **FIXED** *very wide* matrices.
 - Ours holds for **MOST** *less wide* matrices.

Motivation for our LCLT

Obstruction to LCLTs:

If X lies on a proper sublattice $\mathcal{L}' \subsetneq \mathcal{L}$, in trouble.

Need an *approximate* version of the assumption that this doesn't happen.

Definition

Dual lattice: $\mathcal{L}^* := \{\boldsymbol{\theta} : \forall \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}, \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Definition

$f_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|\langle X, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \bmod 1|^2]}$, where $\bmod 1 \rightarrow [-1/2, 1/2)$

$f_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

$f_X(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx 0 \implies \langle X, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, obstruction is $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ far from \mathcal{L}^* with $f_X(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ small.

Spanningness: recall $f_X(\theta) := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|\langle X, \theta \rangle \bmod 1|^2]}$

Say θ is pseudodual if

$$f_X(\theta) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\theta, \mathcal{L}^*).$$

(Why pseudodual? Near \mathcal{L}^* , $f_X(\theta) \approx d(\theta, \mathcal{L}^*$.)

Spanningness:

$$s(X) := \inf_{\mathcal{L}^* \not\ni \theta \text{ pseudodual}} f_X(\theta).$$

For a matrix M , define the multidimensional Gaussian density

$$G_M(\lambda) = \frac{2^{m/2} \det(\mathcal{L})}{\pi^{m/2} \sqrt{\det(MM^\dagger)}} e^{-2\lambda^\dagger (MM^\dagger)^{-1} \lambda}$$

on \mathbb{R}^m (Gaussian with covariance $\frac{1}{2}MM^\dagger$).

Theorem (FS18)

With probability $1 - O\left(L\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)$ over the choice of M ,

- $\frac{1}{2}nI_m \preceq MM^\dagger \preceq 2nI_m$

- $$\left| \Pr_{y_i \in \{\pm 1/2\}} [M\mathbf{y} = \lambda] - G_M(\lambda) \right| = G_M(0) \cdot O\left(\frac{m^2 L^2}{n}\right)$$

for all $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}M + \mathcal{L}$.

provided $n \geq N_0 = \text{poly}(m, s(X)^{-1}, L, \log \det \mathcal{L})$.

Proof of local limit theorem

Definition (Fourier transform!)

If Y is a random variable on \mathbb{R}^m , $\widehat{Y} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\widehat{Y}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{2\pi i \langle Y, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle}].$$

Fact (Fourier inversion:)

if Y takes values on \mathcal{L} , then

$$\Pr(Y = \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \det(\mathcal{L}) \int_D \widehat{Y}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{-2\pi i \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle} d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Here D is any fundamental domain of the dual lattice \mathcal{L}^ .*

Neat/obvious: true even if Y takes values on an affine shift $\boldsymbol{v} + \mathcal{L}$.

Take Fourier transform

For fixed M , Fourier transform of $M\mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{y} \in_R \{\pm 1/2\}$?

Say i^{th} column is \mathbf{x}_i .

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{M\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y}} \left[e^{2\pi i \langle \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{y_j} [e^{2\pi i y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle}] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \cos(\pi \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Use Fourier inversion

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, to be picked with hindsight (think $n^{-1/4}$)

$$\left| \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{L}} \Pr(My = \lambda) - G_M(\lambda) \right| = \left| \int_D e^{-2\pi i \langle \lambda, \theta \rangle} (\widehat{My}(\theta) - \widehat{G_M}(\theta)) d\theta \right|$$
$$\leq \int_{B(\varepsilon)} |\widehat{My}(\theta) - \widehat{G_M}(\theta)| d\theta \quad (I_1)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus B(\varepsilon)} |\widehat{G_M}(\theta)| d\theta \quad (I_2)$$

$$+ \int_{D \setminus B(\varepsilon)} |\widehat{My}(\theta)| d\theta \quad (I_3)$$

If $D \subset B(\varepsilon)$. D is the Voronoi cell in \mathcal{L}^* .

rest of the proof is to show these are small!

- First two easy from the eigenvalue property.
- $\mathbb{E}_M[I_3] \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2 n}$ if $\varepsilon \leq s(X)$.

Applying the main theorem

Random t -sparse matrices

From now on we just want to bound the spanningness. We'll do it for t -sparse vectors - the framework is that of [KLP12].

Lemma

Let X be a random t -sparse vector. Then $s(X) = \Omega(\frac{1}{m})$.

Framework from [KLP12] for bounding spanningness

Recall what $s(X) \geq \frac{1}{m}$ means. We need to show that if θ is pseudodual, i.e., $f_X(\theta) \leq \|\theta\|/2$ but *not dual*, then $f_X(\theta) \geq \alpha/m$.

Proof outline: (recall $f_X(\theta) := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|\langle X, \theta \rangle \bmod 1|^2]}$)

- if all $|\langle \mathbf{x}, \theta \rangle \bmod 1| \leq 1/4$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \text{supp } X$, then $f_X(\theta) \geq d(\theta, \mathcal{L}^*)$, so θ not pseudodual unless dual.
- X is $\frac{1}{2m}$ -spreading: for all θ ,

$$f_X(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{2m} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \text{supp } X} |\langle \mathbf{x}, \theta \rangle \bmod 1|$$

Together, if θ is pseudodual, then $f_X(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{8m}$. □

Showing X is spreading

1. The argument in [KLP12] shows that X is $\frac{1}{(m \log m)^{3/2}}$ -spreading, but is much more general.
2. A direct proof yields the $\frac{1}{m}$.

Random unit vectors

A result for a non-lattice distribution:

Theorem (FS18)

Let M be a matrix with i.i.d *random unit vector columns*. Then

$$\text{disc } M = O\left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{n}{m^3}}}\right)$$

with probability at least $1 - O\left(L\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)$ provided $n = \Omega(m^3 \log^2 m)$,

Open problems

- Can the colorings guaranteed by our theorems be produced efficiently? The probability a random coloring is good decreases with n as \sqrt{n}^{-m} , which is not good enough.
- As a function of m , how many columns are required such that $\text{disc}(M) \leq 2$ for t -sparse vectors with high probability?

Thank you!