

# Paths and stability number in digraphs

Jacob Fox\*

Benny Sudakov†

## Abstract

The Gallai-Milgram theorem says that the vertex set of any digraph with stability number  $k$  can be partitioned into  $k$  directed paths. In 1990, Hahn and Jackson conjectured that this theorem is best possible in the following strong sense. For each positive integer  $k$ , there is a digraph  $D$  with stability number  $k$  such that deleting the vertices of any  $k - 1$  directed paths in  $D$  leaves a digraph with stability number  $k$ . In this note, we prove this conjecture.

## 1 Introduction

The Gallai-Milgram theorem [7] states that the vertex set of any digraph with stability number  $k$  can be partitioned into  $k$  directed paths. It generalizes Dilworth's theorem [4] that the size of a maximum antichain in a partially ordered set is equal to the minimum number of chains needed to cover it. In 1990, Hahn and Jackson [8] conjectured that this theorem is best possible in the following strong sense. For each positive integer  $k$ , there is a digraph  $D$  with stability number  $k$  such that deleting the vertices of any  $k - 1$  directed paths in  $D$  leaves a digraph with stability number  $k$ . Hahn and Jackson used known bounds on Ramsey numbers to verify their conjecture for  $k \leq 3$ . Recently, Bondy, Buchwalder, and Mercier [3] used lexicographic products of graphs to show that the conjecture holds if  $k = 2^a 3^b$  with  $a$  and  $b$  nonnegative integers. In this short note we prove the conjecture of Hahn and Jackson for all  $k$ .

**Theorem 1** *For each positive integer  $k$ , there is a digraph  $D$  with stability number  $k$  such that deleting the vertices of any  $k - 1$  directed paths leaves a digraph with stability number  $k$ .*

To prove this theorem we will need some properties of random graphs. As usual, the random graph  $G(n, p)$  is a graph on  $n$  labeled vertices in which each pair of vertices forms an edge randomly and independently with probability  $p = p(n)$ .

**Lemma 1** *For  $k \geq 3$ , the random graph  $G = G(n, p)$  with  $p = 20n^{-2/k}$  and  $n \geq 2^{15k^2}$  a multiple of  $2k$  has the following properties.*

- (a) *The expected number of cliques of size  $k + 1$  in  $G$  is at most  $20 \binom{k+1}{2}$ .*
- (b) *With probability more than  $\frac{2}{3}$ , every induced subgraph of  $G$  with  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices has a clique of size  $k$ .*

**Proof:** (a) Each subset of  $k + 1$  vertices has probability  $p \binom{k+1}{2}$  of being a clique. By linearity of expectation, the expected number of cliques of size  $k + 1$  is

$$\binom{n}{k+1} p \binom{k+1}{2} = \binom{n}{k+1} 20 \binom{k+1}{2} n^{-k-1} \leq 20 \binom{k+1}{2}.$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Princeton, Princeton, NJ. Email: [jacobfox@math.princeton.edu](mailto:jacobfox@math.princeton.edu). Research supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and a Princeton Centennial Fellowship.

†Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Email: [bsudakov@math.ucla.edu](mailto:bsudakov@math.ucla.edu). Research supported in part by NSF CAREER award DMS-0812005 and by USA-Israeli BSF grant.

(b) Let  $U$  be a set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of  $G$ . We first give an upper bound on the probability that  $U$  has no clique of size  $k$ . For each subset  $S \subset U$  with  $|S| = k$ , Let  $B_S$  be the event that  $S$  forms a clique, and  $X_S$  be the indicator random variable for  $B_S$ . Since  $k \geq 3$ , by linearity of expectation, the expected number  $\mu$  of cliques in  $U$  of size  $k$  is

$$\mu = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_S X_S \right] = \binom{\frac{n}{2k}}{k} p^{\binom{k}{2}} \geq \frac{n^k}{2(2k)^k k!} 20^{\binom{k}{2}} n^{1-k} \geq 2n.$$

Let  $\Delta = \sum \Pr[B_S \cap B_T]$ , where the sum is over all ordered pairs  $S, T$  with  $|S \cap T| \geq 2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \sum_{|S \cap T|=i} \Pr[B_S \cap B_T] = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \sum_{|S \cap T|=i} p^{2\binom{k}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \binom{n-k}{k-i} p^{2\binom{k}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} n^{2k-i} p^{k(k-1) - \binom{i}{2}} \leq 20^{k^2} \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} n^{2-i+i(i-1)/k} \leq k 20^{k^2} n^{2/k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that  $i(i-1)/k - i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$  clearly achieves its maximum when  $i = 2$  or  $i = k-1$ .

Using that  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2^{15k^2}$ , it is easy to check that  $\Delta \leq n$ . Hence, by Janson's inequality (see, e.g., Theorem 8.11 of [2]) we can bound the probability that  $U$  does not contain a clique of size  $k$  by  $\Pr[\wedge_S \bar{B}_S] \leq e^{-\mu + \Delta/2} \leq e^{-n}$ . By the union bound, the probability that there is a set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of  $G(n, p)$  which does not contain a clique of size  $k$  is at most  $\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2k}} e^{-n} \leq 2^n e^{-n} < 1/3$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1 combines the idea of Hahn and Jackson of partitioning a graph into maximum stable sets and orienting the graph accordingly with Lemma 1 on properties of random graphs.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2^{15k^2}$ . By Markov's inequality and Lemma 1(a), the probability that  $G(n, p)$  with  $p = 20n^{-2/k}$  has at most  $2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  cliques of size  $k+1$  is at least  $1/2$ . Also, by Lemma 1(b), we have that with probability at least  $2/3$  every set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of this random graph contains a clique of size  $k$ . Hence, with positive probability (at least  $1/6$ ) the random graph  $G(n, p)$  has both properties. This implies that there is a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices which contains at most  $2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  cliques of size  $k+1$  and every set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$ . Delete one vertex from each clique of size  $k+1$  in  $G$ . The resulting graph  $G'$  has at least  $n - 2 \cdot 20^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \geq 3n/4$  vertices and no cliques of size  $k+1$ . Next pull out vertex disjoint cliques of size  $k$  from  $G'$  until the remaining subgraph has no clique of size  $k$ , and let  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  be the vertex sets of these disjoint cliques of size  $k$ . Since every induced subgraph of  $G$  of size at least  $\frac{n}{2k}$  contains a clique of size  $k$ , then  $|V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_t| \geq \frac{3n}{4} - \frac{n}{2k} \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . Define the digraph  $D$  on the vertex set  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_t$  as follows. The edges of  $D$  are the nonedges of  $G$ . In particular, all sets  $V_i$  are stable sets in  $D$ . Moreover, all edges of  $D$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  with  $i < j$  are oriented from  $V_i$  to  $V_j$ . By construction, the stability number of  $D$  is equal to the clique number of  $G'$ , namely  $k$ . Also any set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of  $D$  contains a stable set of size  $k$ . Note that every directed path in  $D$  has at most one vertex in each  $V_i$ . Hence, deleting any  $k-1$  directed paths in  $D$  leaves at least  $|D|/k \geq \frac{n}{2k}$  remaining vertices. These remaining vertices contain a stable set of size  $k$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that in order to prove Theorem 1, we only needed to find a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with no clique of size  $k+1$  such that every set of  $\frac{n}{2k}$  vertices of  $G$  contains a clique of size  $k$ . The existence of such graphs were first proved by Erdős and Rogers [6], who more generally asked to estimate the minimum  $t$  for which there is a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with no clique of size  $s$  such that every set of

$t$  vertices of  $G$  contains a clique of size  $r$ . Since then a lot of work has been done on this question, see, e.g., [9, 1, 10, 5]. Although most result for this problem used probabilistic arguments, Alon and Krivelevich [1] give an explicit construction of an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  with no clique of size  $k + 1$ , such that every subset of  $G$  of size  $n^{1-\epsilon_k}$  contains a  $k$ -clique. Since we only need a much weaker result to prove the conjecture of Hahn and Jackson, we decided to include its very short and simple proof to keep this note self-contained.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Adrian Bondy for stimulating discussions and generously sharing his presentation slides. We also are grateful to Noga Alon for drawing our attention to the paper [1]. Finally, we want to thank the referee for helpful comments.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich, Constructive bounds for a Ramsey-type problem, *Graphs Combin.* **13** (1997), 217–225.
- [2] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, 3rd ed., Wiley, 2008.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, X. Buchwalder, and F. Mercier, Lexicographic products and a conjecture of Hahn and Jackson, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **23** (2009), 882–887.
- [4] R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, *Ann. of Math.* **51** (1950), 161–166.
- [5] A. Dudek and V. Rödl, On  $K_s$ -free subgraphs in  $K_{s+k}$ -free graphs and vertex Folkman numbers, submitted.
- [6] P. Erdős and C. A. Rogers, The construction of certain graphs, *Can. J. Math.* **14** (1962), 702–707.
- [7] T. Gallai and A. N. Milgram, Verallgemeinerung eines graphentheoretischen Satzes von Rédei, *Acta. Sci. Math.* **21** (1960) 181–186.
- [8] G. Hahn and B. Jackson, A note concerning paths and independence number in digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **82** (1990), 327–329.
- [9] M. Krivelevich, Bounding Ramsey numbers through large deviation inequalities, *Random Structures Algorithms* **7** (1995), 145–155.
- [10] B. Sudakov, Large  $K_r$ -free subgraphs in  $K - s$ -free graphs and some other Ramsey-type problems, *Random Structures Algorithms* **26** (2005), 253–265.