

Rainbow Solutions to the Sidon Equation

Jacob Fox*

Mohammad Mahdian[†]

Radoš Radoičić[‡]

Abstract

We prove that for every 4-coloring of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with each color class having cardinality more than $\frac{n+1}{6}$, there exists a solution of the equation $x + y = z + w$ with x, y, z and w belonging to different color classes. The lower bound on a color class cardinality is tight.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers, and for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \leq j$, let $[i, j]$ denote the set $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ (with $[n]$ abbreviating $[1, n]$ as usual). One of the earliest results in Ramsey theory [8] is Schur's theorem (1916) [17]: for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every k -coloring of $[n]$ contains a monochromatic solution of the equation $x + y = z$. Another classical result in combinatorial number theory is due to van der Waerden (1927) [21]: for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an integer $n_0 = n_0(m, k)$, such that every k -coloring of $[n]$, $n \geq n_0$, contains a monochromatic m -term arithmetic progression (abbreviated as $AP(m)$ throughout). This statement was further generalized to sets of positive upper density in the celebrated work of Szemerédi [19] (see also [20]). Canonical versions of van der Waerden's theorem were discovered by Erdős and others [7].

More than seven decades after Schur's result, Alekseev and Savchev [1] considered what Bill Sands calls an *un-Schur* problem [9]. They proved that for every equinumerous 3-coloring of $[3n]$ (i.e., a coloring in which different color classes have the same cardinality), the equation $x + y = z$ has a solution with x, y and z belonging to different color classes. Such solutions will be called *rainbow* solutions. Esther Klein and George Szekeres asked whether the condition of equal cardinalities for three color classes can be weakened [18]. Indeed, Schönheim [16] proved that for every 3-coloring of $[n]$, such that every color class has cardinality greater than $n/4$, the equation $x + y = z$ has rainbow solutions. Moreover, he showed that $n/4$ is optimal.

Inspired by the *un-Schur* problem, Jungić et al. [10] sought a rainbow counterpart of van der Waerden's theorem. Namely, given positive integers m and k , what conditions on k -colorings of $[n]$ guarantee the existence of an $AP(m)$, all of whose elements have distinct colors? If every integer in $[n]$ is colored by the largest power of three that divides it, then one immediately obtains a k -coloring of $[n]$ with $k \leq \lfloor \log_3 n + 1 \rfloor$ and without a rainbow $AP(3)$. So, while Szemerédi's theorem states that a large cardinality in only one color class ensures the existence of a monochromatic $AP(m)$, one needs *all* color classes to be “large” to force a rainbow $AP(m)$. In [10], it was proved that every 3-coloring of \mathbb{N} with the upper density of each color class greater than $1/6$ yields a rainbow $AP(3)$. Using some tools from additive number theory, they obtained similar (and stronger) results

*Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, E-mail: jacobfox@math.princeton.edu.

[†]Microsoft Research Theory group, One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052, USA, E-mail: mahdian@gmail.com.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Baruch College, CUNY, New York, NY 10010. E-mail: radosrr@gmail.com. Research supported by NSF grant DMS-0503184.

for 3-colorings of \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_p , some of which were recently extended by Conlon [5]. The more difficult *interval* case was studied in [11], where it was shown that every equinumerous 3-coloring of $[3n]$ contains a rainbow $AP(3)$, that is, a rainbow solution to the equation $x + y = 2z$. Finally, Axenovich and Fon-Der-Flaass [2] cleverly combined the previous methods with some additional ideas to obtain the following theorem, conjectured in [10].

Theorem 1 *For every $n \geq 3$, every partition of $[n]$ into three color classes \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{G} with $\min\{|\mathcal{R}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{G}|\} > r(n)$, where*

$$r(n) := \begin{cases} \lfloor (n+2)/6 \rfloor & \text{if } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ (n+4)/6 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

contains a rainbow $AP(3)$.

The colorings

$$c(i) := \begin{cases} R & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ B & \text{if } i \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \\ G & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{c}(i) := \begin{cases} R & \text{if } i \leq \frac{n+1}{3} \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ B & \text{if } i \geq \frac{2n+2}{3} \text{ and } i \text{ is even} \\ G & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

show that Theorem 1 is the best possible for the cases $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, respectively. It is interesting to note that similar statements about the existence of rainbow $AP(k)$ in k -colorings of $[n]$, $k \geq 4$, do not hold [2, 6]. For example, the equinumerous 4-coloring $\lambda : [n] \mapsto \{R, B, G, Y\}$

$$\lambda(i) := \begin{cases} R & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m \\ B & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m \\ G & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i \leq 4m \\ Y & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m, \end{cases}$$

for every $i \in [n]$, $n = 8m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), contains no rainbow $AP(4)$.

There are many directions and generalizations one can consider, such as searching for rainbow counterparts of other classical theorems in Ramsey theory [8, 12], increasing the number of colors or the length of a rainbow AP , or proving the existence of more than one rainbow AP . Some positive and negative results in these directions were obtained in [3, 4, 10].

In this paper, we study one such direction and consider the existence of rainbow solutions to other linear equations, imitating Rado's theorem about the monochromatic analogue. Rado [15] called a rational matrix A (or a system $Ax = 0$) *k-partition regular* if there exists an n for which every k -coloring of $[n]$ has a monochromatic solution to the system of linear homogeneous equations $Ax = 0$. Furthermore, A is called *partition regular* if it is k -partition regular for all k . Rado's "columns condition" completely determines the matrices (or systems) which are partition regular. A special case of this theorem states that a single linear homogeneous equation $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is partition regular if and only if some nonempty subset of the a_i s sums to zero.

In particular, "the Sidon equation" $x + y = z + w$, a classical object in additive number theory [13, 14] is partition regular. In this note, we prove a rainbow analogue of this result.

Theorem 2 *For every $n \geq 4$, every partition of $[n]$ into four color classes \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{Y} , with $\min\{|\mathcal{R}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{Y}|\} > \frac{n+1}{6}$, contains a rainbow solution of $x + y = z + w$. Moreover, this result is tight.*

One should contrast Theorem 2 with the aforementioned result of Conlon et al. [6], which states that there nevertheless exist equinumerous 4-colorings of $[n]$ with no rainbow $AP(4)$, i.e., with no rainbow solution of the system $x + y = z + w$, $x + w = 2z$.

2 Proof of Theorem 2

We prove Theorem 2 for $n \geq 5$. Given partition of $[n]$ into four color classes \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{Y} , with $\min\{|\mathcal{R}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{Y}|\} > \frac{n+1}{6}$, let $c : [n] \mapsto \{R, G, B, Y\}$ be the corresponding coloring of $[n]$, i.e., $\mathcal{R} = |[n] \cap \{i : c(i) = R\}|$, and similarly for \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{Y} . Suppose that there is no rainbow solution of the equation $x + y = z + w$.

We say that there is a *string* $\mathbf{s} = c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \in \{R, G, B, Y\}^m$ at a position i if $c(i) = c_1$, $c(i+1) = c_2, \dots, c(i+m-1) = c_m$. We say that there is a string \mathbf{s} in the coloring c if there is \mathbf{s} at some position i . We call a string *bichromatic* if it contains exactly two colors. A bichromatic string is *complete* if it cannot be extended (on either side) and still be bichromatic. Notice that since each color is used at least once, there are at least three complete bichromatic strings.

Since c does not contain a rainbow solution of $x + y = z + w$, then there are no integers a, b, d , such that $a, b + d, b, a + d$ form a rainbow solution. In what follows, this observation will be denoted as the *Q-property*.

A particular color is called *dominant* if every bichromatic string contains that color. Clearly, if such a color exists, it will be unique. The first step in our proof is to establish the following claim.

Lemma 1 *c contains a dominant color.*

Proof: Consider the first two complete bichromatic strings, i.e., those with the least initial position. They share a common color. Without loss of generality, assume that the first bichromatic string contains colors R and B , and the second bichromatic string contains colors R and Y . In particular, R and B , as well as R and Y , occur next to each other. There exists at least one element of $[n]$ colored by G , and this element is contained in a bichromatic string. If the other color in the string is B (Y), then G and B (Y) appear next to each other within this string. Since R and Y (B) are consecutive, we have a contradiction by the Q-property with $d = 1$. Therefore, every bichromatic string that contains G also contains R .

Finally, suppose there is a bichromatic string with colors B and Y . Then B and Y appear next to each other, and since G and R appear next to each other as well, we obtain a contradiction with the Q-property for $d = 1$. We conclude that every bichromatic string contains R , and, therefore, R is the dominant color. \square

Now, we can assume that R is the dominant color in c . Let d be the minimum distance between two differently colored non-red integers, that is

$$d = \min\{|x - y| : c(x) \neq c(y) \text{ and } x, y \notin \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Note that because R is the dominant color, we have $d \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, assume that there exist two elements of $[n]$, distance d apart, that are colored by B and Y respectively. By the Q-property, there do not exist two elements of $[n]$, distance d apart, that are colored by R and G respectively. Next, we prove that every complete bichromatic string with colors R and G (B) has a special structure.

Lemma 2 *Let $X \in \{G, B\}$. Every complete bichromatic string with colors R and X is d -periodic with exactly one element colored by X within every substring of length d .*

Proof: Consider a complete bichromatic string \mathbf{s} of length m at a position i , with colors R and G . The underlying interval $I = [i, i + m - 1]$ is the disjoint union of I_k , $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$, where

$I_k = \{j \in [i, i + m - 1] \mid j \equiv k \pmod{d}\}$. By the Q-property, for every $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$, either all elements of I_k are colored by G or all elements of I_k are colored by R .

Assume that $i \neq 1$. The case $i + m - 1 \neq n$ is symmetric and handled similarly. Let g denote the smallest element of I colored by G . If $g - d \geq i$ then $\{g, g - d\} \subseteq I_k$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 1\}$. So, $c(g - d) = G$, which contradicts our choice of g . Thus, $g - d < i$. Since \mathbf{s} is complete, $c(i - 1) \in \{B, Y\}$ and $g - (i - 1) \leq d$. Therefore, $g - d = i - 1$. Now, since $c(g - d) \in \{B, Y\}$, $c(g) = G$ and all the integers between $g - d$ and g are colored by R , we conclude that all the elements of I_k , for $k \equiv g \pmod{d}$, are colored by G , while for all other values of $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, all the elements of I_k are colored by R .

Hence, from the above argument we see that every complete bichromatic string with colors R and G has the following structure: it is d -periodic with exactly one element colored by G within every substring of length d . Moreover, since $c(g - d) \in \{B, Y\}$ and $g - d = i - 1$, it follows that we can assume, without loss of generality, that there exist two elements of $[n]$, distance d apart, that are colored by G and, say, Y , respectively. The previous argument then implies that every complete bichromatic string with colors R and B is d -periodic with exactly one element colored by B within every substring of length d . \square

In particular, since R is the dominant color, we obtain:

Corollary 1 *Strings GG and BB do not appear in c .*

Now, the following claim is clear:

Lemma 3 *String YY appears in c .*

Proof: Suppose that YY does not appear in c . Then, by Corollary 1, at least one in every pair of consecutive integers in $[n]$ would be colored by R . Therefore, $|\mathcal{R}| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and $3\min\{|\mathcal{Y}|, |\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} \leq |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{B}| = n - |\mathcal{R}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. So $\min\{|\mathcal{Y}|, |\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{R}|\} \leq \frac{n+1}{6}$, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Lemma 4 $d = 2$.

Proof: Indeed, suppose that $d \geq 3$. By Lemma 2, we have $|\mathcal{R}| \geq (d - 1)(|\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{B}| - 1)$. Then for $n \geq 5$, $n = |\mathcal{R}| + |\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{Y}| \geq (d - 1)(\frac{n+2}{6} + \frac{n+2}{6} - 1) + \frac{n+2}{2} > n$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2 and Lemma 4 imply the following claim:

Corollary 2 *Let $X \in \{G, B\}$. There exist two integers in $[n]$, with difference 2, that are colored by X and Y , respectively. Furthermore, elements of every bichromatic string with colors R and X alternate in color.*

Lemma 5 *Strings BRG and GRB do not appear in c .*

Proof: Since (by Lemma 3) there is a string of at least two consecutive Y s and since R is the dominant color in c , there are two integers in $[n]$, distance two apart, that are colored by Y and R . The claim now follows from the Q-property. \square

Lemma 6 *At least one of the strings GRG and BRB appears in c .*

Proof: Suppose that there is no GRG nor BRB in c . Let us consider four consecutive integers $i, i + 1, i + 2, i + 3$ in $[n]$. If $c(i + 1) = G$, then $c(i) = c(i + 2) = R$, by the dominance of color R and Corollary 1. Furthermore, $c(i + 3) \in \{R, Y\}$, by Lemma 5. If $c(i) = G$, then $c(i + 1) = R$, by the dominance of color R and Corollary 1. Since $c(i) = G$ and $c(i + 1) = R$ belong to a bichromatic string with colors R and G (which alternates in color by Corollary 2), if we assume that GRG does not appear in c , then $c(i + 2) = Y$, by Lemma 5. It follows that $c(i + 3) \in \{R, Y\}$.

Therefore, at most one integer in every string of length four can be colored by B or G . We obtain $|\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$, and for $n \geq 5$, $\min\{|\mathcal{R}|, |\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{Y}|\} \leq \min\{|\mathcal{G}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} \leq \frac{n+3}{8} \leq \frac{n+1}{6}$. This violates our condition on the minimum of color class cardinalities. \square

By Lemma 6 we can assume that GRG appears in c . By Lemma 3 there exists p , the smallest positive integer with the property that there is $i \in [n]$ such that $c(i) \in \{G, B\}$ and at least one of the following is true:

- (a) $c(i + p) = c(i + p + 1) = Y$; $c(i + p - 1) = R$; $c(i + j) \in \{R, Y\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$ with $R \in \{c(i + j), c(i + j + 1)\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p - 2$;
- (b) $c(i - p) = c(i - p - 1) = Y$; $c(i - p + 1) = R$; $c(i - j) \in \{R, Y\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$ with $R \in \{c(i - j), c(i - j - 1)\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p - 2$.

Next, suppose that there is $i \in [n]$ such that $c(i) = B$ and that, say, (a) is true. Let m be such that $c(i + p + j) = Y$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and, if $i + p + m + 1 \in [n]$, $c(i + p + m + 1) = R$. Let $k \in [n]$ be such that $c(k) = c(k + 2) = G$. We note that $k \notin [i, i + p + m + 1]$. Suppose $k > i + p + m + 1$. If $c(k - p) = R$, then $i, i + p, k - p, k$ contradict the Q-property. If $c(k - p) \in \{B, G, Y\}$, then $c(k - p + 1) = R$ and $i, i + p + 1, k - p + 1, k + 2$ contradict the Q-property.

Now, suppose that there is no $i \in [n]$ such that $c(i) = B$ and that either (a) or (b) is true. Thus, there is $i \in [n]$ such that $c(i) = G$ and that, say, (a) is true. Let m be as above and let ℓ be an element from \mathcal{B} such that between ℓ and $[i, i + p + m + 1]$ there are no other elements from \mathcal{B} . Suppose this time that $\ell < i$. If $c(\ell + p) = R$, then $\ell, \ell + p, i, i + p$ contradict the Q-property. If $c(\ell + p) \in \{G, Y\}$, then $c(\ell + p + 1) = R$ and $\ell, \ell + p + 1, i, i + p + 1$ contradict the Q-property (if $c(\ell + p) = Y$, then $c(\ell + p + 1) = R$, because of the minimality of p and the assumption from the beginning of this paragraph).

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 2, we present a 4-coloring of $[n]$ with the minimum size of a color class equal to $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{6} \rfloor$ and no rainbow solution of $x + y = z + w$:

$$c(i) := \begin{cases} B & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ G & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \\ Y & \text{if } i \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \\ R & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3 Concluding remarks

It is curious to note that the minimal ‘‘density’’ for the color classes is $\frac{1}{6}$ in Theorem 2, as well as in Theorem 1. It is also interesting to note that a dominant color exists when one studies the existence of rainbow solutions to equations $x + y = 2z$ or $x + y = z$ in the 3-colorings of $[n]$ [2, 10, 11]. For

what other systems of equations does a rainbow-free coloring, under certain cardinality constraints, must have a dominant color?

The question of *rainbow partition regularity* is an interesting one. It would be exciting to provide a complete rainbow analogue of Rado's theorem (which classified the partition regular matrices [15]). Theorem 2 is a small step in this direction.

We say a vector is *rainbow* if every entry of the vector is colored differently. A matrix A with rational entries is called *rainbow partition k -regular* if for all n and every equinumerous k -coloring of $[kn]$ there exists a rainbow vector x such that $Ax = 0$. We say that A is *rainbow regular* if there exists k_1 such that A is rainbow partition k -regular for all $k \geq k_1$. For example, Theorem 2 shows that the following matrix is rainbow partition 4-regular:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We let the *rainbow number* of A , denoted by $r(A)$, be the least k for which A is rainbow partition k -regular. It is not difficult to see that every $1 \times n$ matrix A with nonzero entries is rainbow partition regular if and only if not all the entries in A are of the same sign. It would be interesting to study the rainbow number $r(A)$. Furthermore, we somewhat boldly conjecture the following characterization of rainbow regularity.

Conjecture 1 *Matrix A with integer entries is rainbow regular if and only if the rows of A are linearly independent and there exists a vector u with positive integer entries such that $Au = 0$.*

Jungić et al. [10] prove that for every $k \geq 3$, $\lfloor \frac{k^2}{4} \rfloor < r(A) \leq \frac{k(k-1)^2}{2}$, where A is the following $(k-1) \times (k+1)$ matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Acknowledgments

We thank anonymous referees for helpful comments and a simplification of our proof.

References

- [1] V. E. Alekseev and S. Savchev. Problem M. 1040. *Kvant*, 4:23, 1987.
- [2] M. Axenovich and D. Fon-Der-Flaass. On rainbow arithmetic progressions. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 11:R1, 2004.
- [3] É. Balandraud. Coloured solutions of equations in finite groups. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, in press, 2007.
- [4] P. Cameron, J. Cilleruelo, and O. Serra. On monochromatic solutions of equations in groups. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, in press, 2007.

- [5] D. Conlon. Rainbow solutions of linear equations over \mathbb{Z}_p . *Discrete Math.*, 17:2056–2063, 2006.
- [6] D. Conlon, V. Jungić, and R. Radoičić. On the existence of rainbow 4-term arithmetic progressions. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, in press, 2007.
- [7] P. Erdős. My joint work with richard rado. In C. Whitehead, editor, *Surveys in Combinatorics (New Cross, 1987)*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 123, pages 53–80. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [8] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer. *Ramsey Theory*. Wiley, 1990.
- [9] R. K. Guy. *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. Springer, 1994.
- [10] V. Jungić, J. Licht, M. Mahdian, J. Nešetřil, and R. Radoičić. Rainbow Arithmetic Progressions and Anti-Ramsey Results. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 12:599–620, 2003.
- [11] V. Jungić and R. Radoičić. Rainbow 3-term Arithmetic Progressions. *Integers, the Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, 3:A18, 2003.
- [12] B. Landman and A. Robertson. *Ramsey Theory on the Integers*. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [13] K. O’Byrant. A complete annotated bibliography of work related to Sidon sequences. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, DS11:39, 2004.
- [14] C. Pomerance and A. Sárközy. Combinatorial number theory. In *Handbook of Combinatorics, Vol. 1, 2*, pages 967–1018, Amsterdam, 1995. Elsevier.
- [15] R. Rado. Studien zur Kombinatorik. *Math. Zeit.*, 36:242–280, 1933.
- [16] J. Schönheim. On partitions of the positive integers with no x, y, z belonging to distinct classes satisfying $x+y = z$. In R. A. Mollin, editor, *Number Theory: Proceedings of the First Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association, Banff 1988*, pages 515–528. de Gruyter, 1990.
- [17] I. Schur. Über die Kongruenz $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$. *Jahresb. Deutsche Math. Verein*, 25:114–117, 1916.
- [18] G. Székely. *Contests in Higher Mathematics, Miklós Schweitzer Competition 1962-1991*. Problem Books in Mathematics. Springer, 1995.
- [19] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975.
- [20] T. Tao and V. H. Vu. *Additive Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [21] B. L. van der Waerden. Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, 15:212–216, 1927.