

## 1 The Erdős-Stone theorem

We can ask more generally, what is the maximum number of edges in a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, which does not contain a given subgraph  $H$ ? We denote this number by  $ex(n, H)$ . For graphs  $G$  on  $n$  vertices, this question is resolved up to an additive error of  $o(n^2)$  by the Erdős-Stone theorem. In order to state the theorem, we first need the notion of a *chromatic number*.

**Definition 1.** For a graph  $H$ , the chromatic number  $\chi(H)$  is the smallest  $c$  such that the vertices of  $H$  can be colored with  $c$  colors with no neighboring vertices receiving the same color.

The chromatic number is an important parameter of a graph. The graphs of chromatic number at most 2 are exactly bipartite graphs. In contrast, graphs of chromatic number 3 are already hard to describe and hard to recognize algorithmically. Let us also mention the famous *Four Color Theorem* which states that any graph that can be drawn in the plane without crossing edges has chromatic number at most 4.

The chromatic number of  $H$  turns out to be closely related to the question of how many edges are necessary for  $H$  to appear as a subgraph.

**Theorem 1** (Erdős-Stone). For any fixed graph  $H$  and fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0$  such that for any  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi(H) - 1} - \epsilon \right) n^2 \leq ex(n, H) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\chi(H) - 1} + \epsilon \right) n^2.$$

In particular, for *bipartite graphs*  $H$ , which can be colored with 2 colors, we get that  $ex(n, H) \leq \epsilon n^2$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$ , so the theorem only says that the extremal number is very small compared to  $n^2$ . We denote this by  $ex(H, n) = o(n^2)$ . For graphs  $H$  of chromatic number 3, we get  $ex(n, H) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + o(n^2)$ , etc. Note that this also matches the bound we obtained for  $H = K_{t+1}$  ( $\chi(K_{t+1}) = t + 1$ ), where we got the exact answer  $ex(n, K_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{t})n^2$ .

First, we prove the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Fix  $k \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1/k$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Then there is  $n_0(k, \epsilon, t)$  such that any graph  $G$  with  $n \geq n_0(k, \epsilon, t)$  vertices and  $m \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1/k + \epsilon)n^2$  edges contains  $k + 1$  disjoint sets of vertices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k+1}$  of size  $t$ , such that any two vertices in different sets  $A_i, A_j$  are joined by an edge.

*Proof.* First, we will find a subgraph  $G' \subset G$  where all degrees are at least  $(1 - 1/k + \epsilon/2)|V(G')|$ . The procedure to find such a subgraph is very simple: as long as there is a vertex of degree smaller than  $(1 - 1/k + \epsilon/2)|V(G)|$ , remove the vertex from the graph. We just have to prove that this procedure terminates before the graph becomes too small.

Suppose that the procedure stops when the graph has  $n_0$  vertices (potentially  $n_0 = 0$ , but we will prove that this is impossible). Let's count the total number of edges that we have removed

from the graph. At the point when  $G$  has  $\ell$  vertices, we remove at most  $(1 - 1/k + \epsilon/2)\ell$  edges. Therefore, the total number of removed edges is at most

$$\sum_{\ell=n_0+1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \ell = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) (n - n_0) \frac{n + n_0 + 1}{2} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n^2 - n_0^2}{2} + \frac{n - n_0}{2}.$$

At the end,  $G$  has at most  $\frac{1}{2}n_0^2$  edges. Therefore, the number of edges in the original graph must have been

$$|E(G)| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n^2 - n_0^2}{2} + \frac{n - n_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2}n_0^2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n_0^2}{2} + \frac{n - n_0}{2}.$$

On the other hand, we assumed that  $|E(G)| \geq (1 - \frac{1}{k} + \epsilon) \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Combining these two inequalities, we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n_0^2}{2} - \frac{n_0}{2} \geq \frac{\epsilon n^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2}.$$

Thus if we want to get  $n_0$  large enough, it's sufficient to choose  $n$  appropriately larger (roughly  $n \simeq n_0/\sqrt{\epsilon k}$ ).

From now on, we can assume that all degrees in  $G$  are at least  $(1 - 1/k + \epsilon/2)n$ . We prove by induction on  $k$  that there are  $k + 1$  sets of size  $t$  such that we have all edges between vertices in different sets. For  $k = 0$ , there is nothing to prove.

Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $s = \lceil t/\epsilon \rceil$ . By the induction hypothesis, we can find  $k$  disjoint sets of size  $s$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  such that any two vertices in two different sets are joined by an edge. Let  $U = V \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k)$  and let  $W$  denote the set of vertices in  $U$ , adjacent to at least  $t$  points in each  $A_i$ . Let us count the edges *missing* between  $U$  and  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ . Since every vertex in  $U \setminus W$  is adjacent to less than  $t$  vertices in some  $A_i$ , the number of missing edges is at least

$$\tilde{m} \geq |U \setminus W|(s - t) \geq (n - ks - |W|)(1 - \epsilon)s.$$

On the other hand, any vertex in the graph has at most  $(1/k - \epsilon/2)n$  missing edges, so counting over  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ , we get

$$\tilde{m} \leq ks(1/k - \epsilon/2)n = (1 - k\epsilon/2)sn.$$

From these inequalities, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |W|(1 - \epsilon)s &\geq (n - ks)(1 - \epsilon)s - (1 - k\epsilon/2)sn \\ &= \epsilon(k/2 - 1)sn - (1 - \epsilon)ks^2. \end{aligned}$$

Everything else being constant, we can make  $n$  large enough so that  $|W|$  is arbitrarily large. In particular, we make sure that

$$|W| > \binom{s}{t}^k (t - 1).$$

We know that each vertex  $w \in W$  is adjacent to at least  $t$  points in each  $A_i$ . Select  $t$  specific points from each  $A_i$  and denote the union of all these  $kt$  points  $T_w$ . We have  $\binom{s}{t}^k$  possible sets  $T_w$ ; by the pigeonhole principle, at least one of them is chosen for at least  $t$  vertices  $w \in W$ . We define these  $t$  vertices to constitute our new set  $A_{k+1}$ , and the respective  $t$ -tuples of vertices connected to it  $A'_i \subset A_i$ . The collection of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}$  satisfies the property that all pairs of vertices from different sets form edges.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the Erdős-Stone theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $\chi(H) = k + 1$ . The Turán graph  $T_{n,k}$  has chromatic number  $k$ , hence it cannot contain  $H$ . This proves  $ex(n, H) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1/k)n^2$  whenever  $n$  is a multiple of  $k$ . Therefore,

$$ex(n, H) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) (n - k)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{2k}{n}\right) n^2.$$

On the other hand, fix  $t = |V(H)|$  and consider a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m \geq (1 - 1/k + \epsilon) \frac{n^2}{2}$  edges. If  $n$  is large enough, then by Lemma 1,  $G$  contains sets  $A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}$  of size  $t$  such that all edges between different sets are present.  $H$  is a graph of chromatic number  $k + 1$  and therefore its vertices can be embedded in  $A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}$  based on their color. We conclude that  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$  and hence

$$ex(n, H) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \epsilon\right) n^2$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . □