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A **black hole** is an object whose gravitational field is so strong that not even light can escape.

Since we cannot observe the electromagnetic radiation of black holes, how to detect them?

### Indirect methods

- Use the effect of the gravitational field of the black hole on nearby objects, such as stars
- Do not provide accurate information about the parameters of the black hole, such as mass or angular momentum

We want to get more information about a particular black hole...
Gravitational waves

Theory
- Gravitational waves are perturbations of the curvature of the spacetime, caused by a major cosmic event, such as creation or merging of black holes.
- Their frequencies, called quasi-normal modes, depend only on the black hole itself, not on the perturbation.

Practice
- Indirect evidence that gravitational waves exist: Hulse–Taylor binary system (1993 Nobel Prize).
- Gravitational wave detectors: GEO 600, LIGO, MiniGRAIL, VIRGO, . . .
Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory
Quasi-normal modes (QNMs) are the frequencies of the gravitational waves emitted by a black hole.

Properties of QNMs

- They are complex numbers: real part = rate of oscillation, negative imaginary part = rate of exponential decay
- They characterize the black hole much like the electromagnetic spectrum characterizes a star

Benefits of computing QNMs and detecting gravitational waves

- Precise information about any particular black hole
- One more verification of general relativity
There are many works by physicists on quasi-normal modes; however, there have been only a handful of attempts to put these works on a mathematical foundation: Bachelot ’91, Bachelot–Motet-Bachelot ’93, Sá Barreto–Zworski ’97, Bony–Häfner ’07, Melrose–Sá Barreto–Vasy ’08, . . .

A black hole is represented as a Lorentzian metric on a 4D spacetime; gravitational waves (in the simplest case of scalar perturbations) are approximated by solutions to the wave equation

$$\Box u = 0$$

We study solutions to this equation for large time using scattering theory.
Overview of previous work

Scattering theory strategy

- Take the Fourier transform in time: $\Box u = 0$ becomes

$$P(\omega)\hat{u}(\omega) = f(\omega), \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $P(\omega)$ is a certain operator on the space slice, and $f$ depends on the initial conditions.

- Prove the existence of a meromorphic family $R(\omega), \ \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, of operators on the space slice, such that

$$\hat{u}(\omega) = R(\omega)f(\omega).$$

This family is called the scattering resolvent. It is a right inverse to $P(\omega)$, with outgoing boundary conditions.
Overview of previous work

Scattering theory strategy, continued

- Study the distribution of poles of $R(\omega)$, also known as resonances.
- Use contour deformation and estimates on $R(\omega)$ in the nonphysical half-plane to obtain the asymptotic resonance decomposition as $t \to \infty$:

$$u(t, x) \sim \sum_j t^{k_j} e^{-i\omega_j t} u_j(x)$$

Here $\omega_j$ are resonances.
- Conclude that Quasi-Normal Modes = Resonances.
Overview of previous work

**Schwarzschild–de Sitter black hole**

The scattering theory strategy has been implemented by Sá Barreto–Zworski and Bony–Häfner in the case of Schwarzschild–de Sitter metric, corresponding to a spherically symmetric black hole with positive cosmological constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sá Barreto–Zworski ’97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Used the theorem of Mazzeo–Melrose ’87 to construct the scattering resolvent $R(\omega)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Used <em>semiclassical analysis</em> and <em>complex scaling</em> to show that QNMs approximately lie on a lattice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bony–Häfner ’07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Proved an estimate on $R(\omega)$ for bounded $\text{Im} \omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Established the resonance decomposition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The next logical step after Schwarzschild–de Sitter is to study the rotating black hole given by the Kerr–de Sitter metric. This is the object of study of the presented research; the goals are:

- Construct the scattering resolvent and establish its connection to the wave equation
- Make the physicists’ definitions of QNMs rigorous
- Study the asymptotic distribution of QNMs and compare it with the physicists’ results
- Establish a resonance decomposition of linear waves

The paper [D ’10] achieves the first two goals, and makes partial progress on the last one; namely, exponential local energy decay of solutions to the wave equation.
Kerr–de Sitter black hole

Kerr–de Sitter metric

\[
g = -\rho^2 \left( \frac{dr^2}{\Delta r} + \frac{d\theta^2}{\Delta \theta} \right) \\
- \frac{\Delta \theta \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \alpha)^2 \rho^2} (a \, dt - (r^2 + a^2) \, d\varphi)^2 \\
+ \frac{\Delta r}{(1 + \alpha)^2 \rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta \, d\varphi)^2.
\]

Here \( a \) is the angular momentum; \( \rho(r, \theta) \) and \( \Delta \theta(\theta) \) are nonzero functions, and \( \Delta_r(r) \) is a fourth degree polynomial. The metric is defined on \( \mathbb{R}_t \times (r_-, r_+) \times S_{\theta, \varphi}^2 \), where \( r_\pm \) are two roots of the equation \( \Delta_r = 0 \). The surfaces \( \{ r = r_\pm \} \) are event horizons.
Features of the metric

- Positive cosmological constant
- Two *asymptotically hyperbolic* event horizons
- Stationary (\(\partial_t\) is a Killing field)
- Invariant under axial rotation (\(\partial_\phi\) is a Killing field)
- The field \(\partial_t\) is not timelike inside the two ergospheres, located close to the event horizons. Inside the ergospheres, the operator \(P(\omega)\) is not elliptic

Picture courtesy of Wikipedia.
Existence and exponential decay

**Theorem**

Fix a compact region $K \subset (r_-, r_+) \times S^2$. If the angular momentum $a$ is small enough, depending on $K$, then:

- $1_K R(\omega) 1_K$, where $R(\omega)$ is the scattering resolvent $R(\omega)$, is a meromorphic family of operators on $\mathbb{C}$.
- There are no resonances in $\{ \text{Im } \omega \geq 0, \ \omega \neq 0 \}$.
- There is a **resonance free strip** $\{-\nu < \text{Im } \omega < 0\}$.
- Any solution $u$ to the wave equation with initial data in $H^{3/2+\varepsilon}_0(K) \oplus H^{1/2+\varepsilon}_0(K)$ and orthogonal to the resonant state at zero has $\|u\|_{L^2(K)} \leq Ce^{-\nu t}$ as $t \to +\infty$.

The presence of the compact set $K$ can be interpreted as construction of the resolvent away from the ergospheres.
There are numerous results on decay of linear waves on both spherically symmetric and rotating black holes: Bony–Häfner ’07, ’10, Dafermos–Rodnianski ’07, ’08, ’09, Donninger–Schlag–Soffer ’09, Finster–Kamran–Smoller–Yau ’09, Marzuola–Metcalfe–Tataru–Tohaneanu ’08, Tataru ’09, Tataru–Tohaneanu ’08. . .

However, most of these results deal with the case of zero cosmological constant, when there is an asymptotically flat infinity. In this case, the global meromorphy of the scattering resolvent $R(\omega)$ is unlikely, and the rate of decay is only polynomial in time.
Statement of results

Distribution of resonances (work in progress)

- $a = 0$: QNMs lie asymptotically on a lattice [Sá Ba–Zw]

$$\omega \sim [\pm(l+1/2) - i(m+1/2)] \frac{\sqrt{1 - 9\Lambda M^2}}{3\sqrt{3}M}; \quad l, m = 0, 1 \ldots (1)$$

Spherical symmetry $\rightarrow$ each QNM has multiplicity $2l + 1$

- $a \neq 0$: analogue of the Zeeman effect: each QNM in (1) splits into $2l + 1$ QNMs, each corresponding to its own value of the $\varphi$-angular momentum in the range $-l, \ldots, l$. 
For $\Lambda = 0$, $l = 2, \ldots, 6$, $m = 0$, $a = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, we compare our first degree approximation of QNMs, given by a certain Bohr–Sommerfeld condition, with QNMs computed in by Berti–Cardoso–Starinets (see http://phy.olemiss.edu/~berti/qnms.html). Each line on the graph displays the QNMs for fixed angular momentum and varying $a$. 
Ingredients

- Instead of Mazzeo–Melrose theorem, use Teukolsky separation of variables and a customized version of complex scaling
- Obtain resolvent estimates in the low energy regime and use them to prove the meromorphy of the resolvent
- Normally hyperbolic trapping $\rightarrow$ use the result of Wunsch–Zworski ’10 to get a resonance free strip

Problems

- The angular operator given by the separation of variables is nonselfadjoint and depends on $\omega$
- Complex scaling fails at low energy $\rightarrow$ use analyticity to get boundary conditions away from the event horizons
Separation of variables

The operator $P(\omega)$ is invariant under the axial rotation $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + s$. Take $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $D'_k = \text{Ker}(D_\varphi - k)$ be the space of functions with angular momentum $k$; then

$$\rho^2 P(\omega)|_{D'_k} = P_r(\omega, k) + P_\theta(\omega)|_{D'_k},$$

where $P_r$ is a differential operator in $r$ and $P_\theta$ is a differential operator on $S^2$. For $a = 0$, $P_\theta$ is independent of $\omega$ and is just the negative Laplace–Betrani operator on the round sphere.

$$P_r(\omega, k) = D_r(\Delta_r D_r) - \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{\Delta_r} ((r^2 + a^2)\omega - ak)^2,$$

$$P_\theta(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_\theta(\Delta_\theta \sin \theta D_\theta) + \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{\Delta_\theta \sin^2 \theta} (a \omega \sin^2 \theta - D_\varphi)^2.$$
We need to invert the operator $\rho^2 P(\omega)|_{\mathcal{D}_k'} = P_r(\omega, k) + P_\theta(\omega)|_{\mathcal{D}_k'}$.

**Problems for $a \neq 0$**

- $P_\theta$ is not self-adjoint $\rightarrow$ complete system of eigenfunctions?
- $P_\theta$ depends on $\omega$

**Solution**

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, construct $R_r(\omega, k, \lambda) = (P_r(\omega, k) + \lambda)^{-1}$ and $R_\theta(\omega, \lambda) = (P_\theta(\omega) - \lambda)^{-1}$ and write

$$R(\omega)|_{\mathcal{D}_k'} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} R_r(\omega, k, \lambda) \otimes R_\theta(\omega, \lambda)|_{\mathcal{D}_k'} d\lambda.$$ 

Here $\gamma$ is a contour separating the poles of $R_r$ from those of $R_\theta$. 
Nonstandard complex contour deformation

After a Regge–Wheeler change of variables $r \to x$ mapping $r_{\pm} \mapsto \pm \infty$, the operator $P_r + \lambda$ is roughly equivalent to

$$
P_x = D_x^2 + V(x; \omega, \lambda, k), \quad V(x) \sim (\omega - ak)^2 - \lambda e^{\pm x}, \quad \pm x \gg 1.
$$

We need to study the scattering problem for $P_x$ in the low energy regime $|\lambda| \gg |\omega|^2 + |ak|^2$.

- Standard complex scaling fails (no ellipticity near $x = \pm \infty$).
- Let $u$ be an outgoing solution to $P_x u = f \in L^2_{\text{comp}}$; extend it analytically to a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{C}$.
- Use semiclassical analysis on two circles to get control on $u$ at two distant, but fixed, points $z_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$.
- Formulate a BVP for the restriction of $u$ to a certain contour between $z_-$ and $z_+$, and get $\|u\| \lesssim |\lambda|^{-1} \|f\|$. 
Trapping

The trapping in our situation is **normally hyperbolic**. It features:
- **incoming tail** (codim=1)
- **outgoing tail** (codim=1)
- **trapped set** (codim=2)

**Example of normally hyperbolic trapping in 1D**

**Wunsch–Zworski ’10**: for normally hyperbolic trapping and under suitable assumptions at the boundary, there is a resonance free strip, with a polynomial resolvent estimate. We use the method of Wunsch–Zworski together with complex scaling to get the resonance free strip and exponential local energy decay in our case.
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