

1. APPLICATIONS OF MARTINGALES

Throughout, $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto a(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is a non-negative definite symmetric matrix valued function which admits a uniformly Lipschitz continuous square root. The map $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{P}_x \in \mathbf{M}_1(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is the one corresponding to L . In particular, for any function $f \in C_b^{1,2}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R})$, if

$$M_f(t, \psi) \equiv f(t, \psi(t)) - \int_0^t (\partial_\tau + L)f(\tau, \psi(\tau)) d\tau,$$

then $(M_f(t), \mathcal{B}_t, \mathbb{P}_x)$ is a martingale for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(1): Given an open $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, set $\zeta^G(\psi) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \psi(t) \notin G\}$ denote. Assuming that $f \in C^{1,2}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R})$ has the property that $\sup_{(t,y) \in [0, \infty) \times G} |(\partial_t + L)f(t, y)| < \infty$, then $(M_f(t \wedge \zeta^G), \mathcal{B}_t, \mathbb{P}_x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. To check this, choose a bump function $\eta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N; [0, 1])$ such that $\eta = 1$ on G , replace $f(t, y)$ by $\eta(y)f(t \wedge T, y)$, and apply Doob's stopping time theorem.

(2): Assume that $\|a(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda < \infty$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, set $f_\xi(y) = e^{(\xi, y)_{\mathbb{R}^N}}$, and observe that $Lf_\xi(y) \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2$. Using this together with (1), one sees that

$$\left(\exp\left((\xi, \psi(t \wedge \zeta_R))_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \frac{\Lambda|\xi|^2}{2}(t \wedge \zeta_R) \right), \mathcal{B}_t, \mathbb{P}_0 \right)$$

is a non-negative supermartingale where $\zeta_R = \zeta^{B(0, R)}$. In particular, this combined with Fatou's lemma shows that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_0} \left[e^{(\xi, \psi(t))_{\mathbb{R}^N}} \right] \leq e^{\frac{\Lambda|\xi|^2 t}{2}}.$$

Now set $A = \frac{1}{\Lambda t} \mathbf{I}$, integrate both sides with respect to $\gamma_{0, A}$, and conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_0} \left[\exp\left(\frac{|\psi(t)|^2}{4\Lambda t} \right) \right] \leq 2^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

(3): Continuing (2), show that, for each $T > 0$

$$\left(\exp\left(\frac{|\psi(t \wedge T)|^2}{8\Lambda T} \right), \mathcal{B}_t, \mathbb{P}_0 \right)$$

is a square integrable submartingale, and use Doob's inequality, conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_0} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\|\psi\|_{[0, T]}^2}{4\Lambda T} \right) \right] \leq 2^{\frac{N}{2} + 2}.$$

Finally, using the translation invariance of the hypotheses, arrive at

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_x} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\|\psi - x\|_{[0, T]}^2}{4\Lambda T} \right) \right] \leq 2^{\frac{N}{2} + 2} \text{ for all } (T, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(4): Let $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, and assume that $(\omega, a(x)\omega)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \geq \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\zeta_s(\psi) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : (\omega, \psi(t))_{\mathbb{R}^N} = s\}$, then, for each $\alpha, r, R > 0$,

$$\left(\exp\left(\alpha(\omega, \psi(t \wedge \zeta_r \wedge \zeta_{-R}))_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \frac{\epsilon \alpha^2 t \wedge \zeta_r \wedge \zeta_{-R}}{2} \right), \mathcal{B}_t, \mathbb{P}_x \right)$$

is a submartingale, and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_x} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon \alpha^2 (t \wedge \zeta_{-R} \wedge \zeta_r)}{2} \right) \right] \geq \exp(-\alpha((\omega, x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + r))$$

Hence, after first letting t and R tend to infinity and then letting $\alpha \searrow 0$, one sees that $\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta_r < \infty) = 1$. In particular, this proves that, \mathbb{P}_x -almost surely, $\psi(\cdot)$ will escape any affine half space of the form $\{(\omega, y)_{\mathbb{R}^N} < r\}$ and therefore any bounded open set.

2. Homogeneous Chaos

Let \mathcal{W} be Wiener measure on $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\{g_j : j \geq 1\}$ an orthonormal basis in $L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$. Although it is not essential, it is convenient to assume that the g_j 's are continuously differentiable and the $\int_0^\infty (1 + \tau) |\dot{g}_j(\tau)| d\tau < \infty$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For example, one can take $g_j(t) = ((2j)! \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_{2j} j(t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$, where $\{H_m : m \geq 0\}$ are the Hermite polynomials described below. The advantage of such a choice is that $I_{g_j}(w) = \int_0^\infty g_j(\tau) dw(\tau)$ can be taken to be a well defined Riemann Stieltjes integral for all $w \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R})$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\mathcal{A}_m = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha\| = m\}$, and, for $m, N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ set $\mathcal{A}_m(N) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m : S(\alpha) \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}\}$. Also, for $\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}$, set $\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} = \tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}(\infty)$.

Theorem 2.1. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathcal{A}_m = \{\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha\|_1 = m\}$ is an orothogonal basis in $Z^{(m)}$.*

Proof. What we need to show is that, for each $f \in L^2([0, i]; \mathbb{R})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\tilde{I}_{f^{\otimes m}}(\infty)$ is in the $L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$ -closure L_m of $\{\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha\|_1 = m\}$. To this end, set $f_N = \sum_{n=1}^N (f, g_j)_{L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})} g_j$. Then $\tilde{I}_{f^{\otimes m}}(\infty) - \tilde{I}_{f_N^{\otimes m}}(\infty) = m \tilde{I}_{(f-f_N) \otimes f^{\otimes (m-1)}}(\infty)$ and therefore its $L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$ -norm is a multiple of $\|f - f_N\|_{L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})}$. Thus, we need only show that $\tilde{I}_{f_N^{\otimes m}}(\infty) \in L_m$.

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m$, let \mathcal{K}_α be the set of $\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^m$ such that $\text{card}(\{\ell : k_\ell = j\}) = \alpha_j$ for each $j \in S(\alpha)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{f_N^{\otimes m}}(\infty) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^m (f, g_{k_\ell})_{L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})} \right) I_{g_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{k_m}}(\infty) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \left(\prod_{j \in S(\alpha)} (f, g_j)_{L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})}^{\alpha_j} \right) \frac{\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}}{\alpha!} \in L_m. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $H_m = e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \partial_x^m e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, define $H_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S(\alpha) = \emptyset \\ \prod_{j \in S(\alpha)} H_j(x_j) & \text{if } S(\alpha) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then $(H_\alpha, H_\beta)_{L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}; \mathbb{R})} = \alpha! \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$. Now use \mathfrak{G} to denote the basis $\{g_j : j \geq 1\}$ and set $\mathbf{I}_\mathfrak{G} = (I_{g_1}, \dots, I_{g_N}, \dots)$. Then if $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = H_\alpha(\mathbf{I}_\mathfrak{G})$, $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\beta)_{L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})} = \alpha! \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Theorem 2.2. *For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}$.*

Proof. Given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, set $g_\xi = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j g_j$. Then, just as in the proof of Lemma 3.5.2,

$$e^{I_{g_\xi}(\infty) - \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{g_\xi}^{\otimes m},$$

and, just as in the proof of Theorem 2.1,

$$I_{g_\xi}^{\otimes m} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \xi^\alpha \frac{\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}}{\alpha!},$$

where $\xi^\alpha = \prod_{j \in S(\alpha)} \xi_j^{\alpha_j}$. Hence

$$(*) \quad e^{I_{g_\xi}(\infty) - \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \xi^\alpha \frac{\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}}{\alpha!}.$$

At the same time, because $e^{\xi x - \frac{\xi^2}{2}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta^m \frac{H_m(x)}{m!}$,

$$(**) \quad e^{I_{g_\xi}(\infty) - \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}} = \prod_{j=1}^N e^{\xi_j I_{g_j} - \frac{\xi_j^2}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \xi^\alpha \frac{\mathcal{H}_\alpha}{\alpha!}.$$

Since the series in both (*) and (**) converge in $L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$, we have that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m: S(\alpha) \subseteq [0, N]} \xi^\alpha \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} \Phi]}{\alpha!} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \xi^\alpha \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} \Phi]}{\alpha!}.$$

Furthermore, the series on both sides of this equation are absolutely convergent. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \left| \xi^\alpha \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha} \Phi]}{\alpha!} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}^2]}{\alpha!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \frac{|\xi^\alpha|^2}{\alpha!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^m}{\sqrt{m!}}, \end{aligned}$$

and essentially the same computation proves the absolute convergence of the series on the right hand side. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \tilde{I}_{G_\alpha}$, for all $\alpha \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m(N)$. \square

Let Π_m denote orthogonal projection onto $Z^{(m)}$, take $D(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of $\Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$ for which

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 \|\Pi_m \Phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})}^2 < \infty,$$

and define \mathcal{L} to be the operator with domain $D(\mathcal{L})$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \Pi_m \Phi.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{L} is a non-positive self-adjoint operator whose spectrum is $\{-m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for which $Z^{(m)}$ is the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $-m$. That is,

$\Phi \in Z^{(m)} \iff \Phi \in D(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}\Phi = -m\Phi$. For this reason, $-\mathcal{L}$ is called the **number operator**.

It turns out that \mathcal{L} can be interpreted as the infinite dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator. To understand this interpretation, note that $H_m''(x) - xH_m'(x) = -mH_m(x)$, and therefore that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\partial_j^2 - x_j \partial_j) H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = -\|\alpha\|_1 H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Now define $D(L)$ to be the set of $\varphi \in L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}; \mathbb{R})$ with the property that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} \frac{(\varphi, H_{\alpha})_{L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}; \mathbb{R})}^2}{\alpha!} < \infty,$$

and define the operator L with domain $D(L)$ by

$$L\varphi = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} \frac{(\varphi, H_{\alpha})_{L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}; \mathbb{R})}}{\alpha!} H_{\alpha}$$

When $\varphi = f(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ for some $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R})$,

$$L\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\partial_j^2 - x_j \partial_j) f(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

To transfer this operator to $L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$, for $\Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$ set

$$\varphi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{(\Phi, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha})_{L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})}}{\alpha!} H_{\alpha},$$

and observe that $\varphi \in D(L)$ if and only if $\Phi \in D(\mathcal{L})$, in which case $\mathcal{L}\Phi = L\varphi \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}$.

One can take advantage of this interpretation to derive a remarkable property of the semi-group $\{\mathcal{P}_t : t \geq 0\}$ of contraction operators given by

$$\mathcal{P}_t \Phi = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{W}}[\Phi] + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-mt} \Pi_m \Phi.$$

Namely, if, for $(t, w) \in (0, \infty) \times W(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{P}(t, w, \cdot)$ is the distribution under \mathcal{W} of $v \in W(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto e^{-t}w + (1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}v \in W(\mathbb{R})$, then $(t, w) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(t, w, \cdot) \in M_1(W(\mathbb{R}))$ is a transition probability function and, for bounded $\mathcal{B}_{W(\mathbb{R})}$ -measurable Φ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_t \Phi(w) = \int_{W(\mathbb{R})} \Phi(v) \mathcal{P}(t, w, dv) \text{ for } \mathcal{W}\text{-a.e. } w \in W(\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, this means that if $\Phi \geq 0$ (a.s., \mathcal{W}), then $\mathcal{P}_t \Phi \geq 0$ (a.s., \mathcal{W}).

To prove (2.1), introduce the transition probability function

$$P(t, x, dy) = (2\pi(1 - e^{-2t}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - e^{-t}x)^2}{2(1 - e^{-2t})}\right) dy,$$

and check that if $f \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is slowly increasing (i.e., has at most polynomial growth) and $u_f(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) P(t, x, dy)$, then $\dot{u}_f(t, x) = (\partial^2 - x\partial)u_f(t, x)$ and

$u_f(t, \cdot) \rightarrow f$ as $t \searrow 0$. Now use this to see that $u_{H_m}(t, x) = e^{-mt}H_m$ and therefore that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{z^+}} H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} P(t, x_j, dy_j) = e^{-\|\alpha\|_1 t} H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Hence, if $\Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})$ is $\sigma(\{I_{g_j} : 1 \leq j \leq N\})$ -measurable and

$$f = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{W}}[\Phi] + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \frac{(\Phi, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha})_{L^2(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{R})}}{\alpha!} H_{\alpha},$$

then f is an element of $L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^N; \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_t \Phi &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-mt} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m(N)} \frac{(f, H_{\alpha})_{L^2(\gamma_{0,1}^N; \mathbb{R})}}{\alpha!} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^N P(t, I_{g_j}, dy_j). \end{aligned}$$

Next note that $\prod_{j=1}^N P(t, x_j, \cdot)$ is the distribution under $\gamma_{0,1}^N$ of $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto e^{-t}\mathbf{x} + (1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{y}$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{P}_t \Phi(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^N P(t, I_{g_j}(w), dy_j) = \int_{W(\mathbb{R})} \Phi(v) \mathcal{P}(t, w, dv)$$

for \mathcal{W} -a.e. $w \in W(\mathbb{R})$.

3. General Itô's Formula

Referring to Theorem 4.3.1, let $\mu_A(\cdot, \omega)$ be the Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$ determined by the non-decreasing function $\text{Trace}(A(\cdot, \omega))$, and observe that, for any bounded, progressively measurable function η , the function

$$(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow \int_0^t \eta(\tau, \omega) \mu_A(d\tau, \omega)$$

is again progressively measurable. Next, define $\mu_A \times \mathbb{P}$ to be the measure on $\mathcal{B}_{[0, \infty)} \times \mathcal{F}$ given by

$$\mu_A \times \mathbb{P}(\Gamma) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{[0, \infty)} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma}(\tau, \omega) \mu_A(d\tau, \omega) \right) \mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

The goal here is to show that there exists a progressively measurable, symmetric non-negative definite matrix valued function $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow a(t, \omega)$ such that $\text{Trace}(a(t, \omega)) = 1$ and

$$(3.1) \quad A(t, \omega) = \int_0^t a(\tau, \omega) d\tau \text{ for } \mu_A \times \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e. } (t, \omega).$$

In particular, if

$$(3.2) \quad L(t, \omega)\varphi(x, y) = \text{Trace}(\nabla_{(2)}^2 \varphi(x, y) a(t, \omega)),$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \text{Trace} \left(\nabla_{(2)}^2 \varphi(\mathbf{V}(\tau, \omega) \mathbf{M}(\tau, \omega)), dA(\tau, \omega) \right) \\ &= \int_0^t L(t, \omega) \varphi(\mathbf{V}(\tau, \omega), \mathbf{M}(\tau, \omega)) \mu_A(d\tau, \omega) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \mu_A \times \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e. } (t, \omega).$$

To prove the existence of $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow a(t, \omega)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N_2$, let $\mu_{i,j}(\cdot, \omega)$ denote the signed measure determined by $A(\cdot, \omega)_{i,j}$. Clearly $|\mu_{i,j}|(\cdot, \omega) \leq \mu_A(\cdot, \omega)$ and $\mu_{i,i}(\cdot, \omega)_{i,i} \geq 0$ for all (i, j) . Take $a(\cdot, \omega)_{i,j}$ to be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mu_{i,j}(\cdot, \omega)$ with respect to $\mu_A(\cdot, \omega)$. Using Jessen's Theorem (cf. Theorem 5.2.20 in [20]) for constructing Radon-Nikodym derivatives, one sees $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow a(\cdot, \omega)_{i,j}$ can be chosen to be progressively measurable.

Clearly $a(\cdot, \omega)_{i,j}$ can be chosen so that $|a(t, \omega)_{i,j}| \leq 1$ and $a(t, \omega) \geq 0$. In addition, since $\mu_A(\cdot, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \mu_{i,i}(\cdot, \omega)$, we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{N_2} a(t, \omega)_{i,i} = 1$. Now let $a(t, \omega)$ be the matrix whose (i, j) th entry is $a(t, \omega)_{i,j}$. Given $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$,

$$\int_0^t (\xi, a(\tau, \omega) \eta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N_2}} \mu_A(d\tau, \omega) = (\xi, A(t, \omega) \eta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N_2}},$$

and so $(\xi, a(\cdot, \omega) \eta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N_2}}$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to $\mu_A(\cdot, \omega)$ for the measure determined by $(\xi, A(\cdot, \omega) \eta)_{\mathbb{R}^{N_2}}$. In particular, for $\mu_A \times \mathbb{P}$ -a.e. (t, ω) , this means that $(\xi, a(t, \omega) \xi)_{\mathbb{R}^{N_2}} \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$, and so we can take $a(t, \omega)$ to be non-negative definite.