

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan David Jerison

Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Lie Groups and Geometry
Guanajuato
August 27–31 2012

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Outline

Realizing smooth
representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

Introduction

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the hyperbolic Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Holomorphic discrete series for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Conclusion

Gelfand's abstract harmonic analysis

Lie group G acts on manifold X , have **questions about X** .

Step 1. Attach to X Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(X)$ (e.g. $L^2(X)$).

Questions about X \rightsquigarrow questions about $\mathcal{H}(X)$.

Step 2. Find finest G -invt decomp $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$. **Questions about $\mathcal{H}(X)$ \rightsquigarrow questions about each \mathcal{H}_{α} .**

Each \mathcal{H}_{α} is **irreducible unitary representation of G** : indecomposable action of G on a Hilbert space.

Step 3. Understand $\widehat{G}_U =$ all irreducible unitary representations of G : **unitary dual problem**.

Step 4. Answers about irr reps \rightsquigarrow **answers about X** .

Today: technical problems in **Steps 1** and **3**...

Say **Question** \iff **eigenfns of G -invt diff op Δ_X** .

Problem with **Step 1**: **eigenfunctions not in $L^2(X)$** .

Problem with **Step 3**: try $\mathbb{H}_{\alpha} =_{\text{def}}$ **eigenspace of Δ_X** .
But **no Hilbert space structure**.

How to address these problems

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

Problems arise because eigenspace

$$V_\lambda = \{f \in C^{-\infty}(X) \mid \Delta_X f = \lambda f\}$$

is **inconveniently large**.

For example, can't complete V_λ to Hilbert space without imposing additional **growth conditions** on f .

Solution: consider instead **dual space**

$$V^\lambda = C_c^\infty(X) / (\Delta_X - \lambda)C_c^\infty(X).$$

Wasn't that easy?

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

One concrete example

$G = U(p, q)$ group of Herm form $\langle, \rangle_{p,q}$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

$$Y^n = Y = \{\text{complete flags in } \mathbb{C}^n\} \quad (\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^n) = \binom{n}{2})$$

$$= \{0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n = \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim E_i = i\}$$

Open orbits of $U(p, q)$ on $Y \leftrightarrow$

$$\{(p_i, q_i) \mid p_i + q_i = i, p_i \text{ incr}, q_i \text{ incr}\} \leftrightarrow$$

$$\{S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid |S| = p\}$$

$X_S =$ open orbit corr to $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Complex mfd X_S has cplx $K = U(p) \times U(q)$ orbit

$$Z_S = \{(E_i) \in X_S \mid E_i = (E_i \cap \mathbb{C}^p) \oplus (E_i \cap \mathbb{C}^q)\} \simeq Y^p \times Y^q.$$

Orbit method: $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X_S \overset{?}{\rightsquigarrow}$ unitary reps of G .

Problem. Cpt cplx $Z_S \subset X_S \implies X_S$ not Stein; not enough holom secs of \mathcal{L} .

Soln: Dolbeault cohom $H^{0,s}(X_S, \mathcal{L})$, $s = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Z_S)$.

Problem. Dolbeault cohom too big for invt Herm form.

Soln: see below, we hope.

Classical Fourier analysis

$G = \mathbb{R}$ acts on \mathbb{R} by translation, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Delta_X = \frac{d}{dt}$.

Eigenspace representation

$$V_\lambda = \{T \in C^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \frac{dT}{dt} = \lambda T\}$$

Of course V_λ is one-diml, basis $e^{\lambda t}$; **never** in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Consider instead **dual space**

$$V^\lambda = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \left\{ \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \lambda\phi \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Subspace by which we divide is equal to

$$\left\{ \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{t\lambda} dt = 0 \right\},$$

so **closed**; so topology on V^λ **Hausdorff**.

First advantage: have trivially **quotient map**

$$\gamma(\lambda): C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow V^\lambda, \quad \phi \mapsto \hat{\phi}(\lambda):$$

this is **Fourier trans at λ** on dense $C_c^\infty \subset L^2$.

Unitary structure

$$V^\lambda = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \left\{ \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \lambda\phi \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

(pre)Unitary structure is \mathbb{R} -invt Hermitian form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\lambda: V^\lambda \times V^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Schwartz kernel theorem: such pairing (lifted to $C_c^\infty \times C_c^\infty$) given by **distn kernel**

$$K_\lambda \in C^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \langle \phi, \psi \rangle^\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} K_\lambda(s, t) \phi(s) \overline{\psi(t)}.$$

Form descends to $V^\lambda \iff \frac{\partial K_\lambda}{\partial s} = \lambda K, \quad \frac{\partial K_\lambda}{\partial t} = \bar{\lambda} K.$

Soln is $K_\lambda(s, t) = C e^{\lambda s} e^{\bar{\lambda} t}$ $ds dt$, but we don't care.

Form transl invt $\iff K_\lambda(s + x, t + x) = K_\lambda(s, t).$

Transl invt **compatible** with diff eqn $\iff \lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0;$
then it's **consequence** of diff eqn.

Plancherel theorem

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

$$V^\lambda = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) / \left\{ \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \lambda\phi \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$$

Fourier transform $\widehat{\cdot}(\lambda): C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow V^\lambda$.

If $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ there's invt Herm form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\lambda$ on V^λ ;
normalize kernel to be $ds dt$ near identity.

Plancherel theorem:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(t)|^2 dt = c \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle \widehat{\phi}(\lambda), \widehat{\phi}(\lambda) \rangle d\lambda.$$

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Laplacian on \mathbb{H}^2

$$X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z|^2 < 1\}, \quad ds^2 = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2}(dx^2 + dy^2)$$

unit disk model of two-diml hyperbolic space.

$$G = SU(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \mid |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\} \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$$

acts on X by linear fractional transformations:

$$g \cdot z = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\bar{\beta} z + \bar{\alpha}} \quad \left(z \in X, g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in G \right).$$

Laplace-Beltrami operator commutes with action of G :

$$\Delta_X = (1 - |z|^2)^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (z = x + iy \in X)$$

Long tradition of studying **eigenspaces**

$$V_\lambda = \{T \in C^{-\infty}(X) \mid (\Delta_X - \lambda)T = 0\} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$$

E.g. V_0 = harm fns on X . Always **V_λ = repn of G** .

Traditional boundary values

Alg homog space $X = G/H \rightsquigarrow$ proj alg $\bar{X} = X \cup \partial X$.
(noncpt analysis on X) \rightsquigarrow (easier cpt analysis on \bar{X}).

Our $X \simeq \left\{ \text{lines } \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid |z|^2 - 1 < 0 \right\}$ compactifies to

$\bar{X} \simeq \left\{ \text{lines } \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid |z|^2 - 1 \leq 0 \right\}$, $\partial X \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Idea of bdry value map: eigenfn $\phi(z) \in V_\lambda$ on $X \rightsquigarrow$

$$\phi^\infty(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} c_\lambda(r) \phi(re^{i\theta}).$$

Problem: limit is terrible (hyperfunction); certainly doesn't exist pointwise, except under strong addl hyps on eigenfn ϕ .

Eigenspace too big.

Traditional harmonic analysis. . .

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

Describe eigenspaces like $V_0 =$ harmonic fns on disk

Poisson kernel $P_0(z, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|z-e^{i\theta}|^2}$ writes harmonic $\phi(z)$ in terms of bdry value $\phi^\infty(\theta)$:

$$\phi(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_0(z, e^{i\theta}) \phi^\infty(\theta) d\theta.$$

Reason: $P_0(\cdot, e^{i\theta})$ is harmonic with bdry value $\delta_{e^{i\theta}}$.

Fourier analysis (using radially symm eigenfn ϕ_λ)

$$\widehat{f}(\lambda, hK) = \int_X f(gK) \phi_\lambda(g^{-1}h) d(gK) \in V_\lambda$$

extracts from nice f on X its “ λ -eigenvalue part.”

Fourier synthesis reassembles (using Plancherel measure $d\mu(\lambda)$):

$$f(gK) = \int_{\text{some } \lambda} \widehat{f}(\lambda, gK) d\mu(\lambda).$$

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the hyperbolic Laplacian

Holomorphic discrete series for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Casting out eigenspaces

Replace eigenspace V_λ by (smaller!) dual space

$$V^\lambda = C_c^\infty(X, dx) / (\Delta_X - \lambda)C_c^\infty(X, dx).$$

1st gain: **Fourier analysis** is trivial:

$$\widehat{\phi}(\lambda, \cdot) = \phi + (\Delta_X - \lambda)C_c^\infty(X, dx) \quad (\phi \in C_c^\infty(X, dx)).$$

2nd gain: V^λ has **G -invt sesq form**. Reason...

Any sesq pairing lifts to $C_c^\infty(X, dx) \times C_c^\infty(X, dx)$;
comes from **Schwartz distribution kernel**:

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle^\lambda = \int_{(s,t) \in X \times X} K^\lambda(s, t) \phi(s) \overline{\psi}(t).$$

Condition for pairing to descend to V^λ :

$$(\Delta_X(s) - \lambda)K^\lambda(s, t) = 0, \quad (\Delta_X(t) - \bar{\lambda})K^\lambda(s, t) = 0.$$

Cond for G -invariance of pairing: $K^\lambda(g \cdot s, g \cdot t) = K^\lambda(s, t)$.

THM. V^λ has **invt sesq form** iff $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$; normalize
 $K^\lambda(gK, hK) = \phi_\lambda(g^{-1}h)$ by $K^\lambda(0, 0) = 1$.

Again ϕ_λ is unique radial eigenfn with $\phi_\lambda(0) = 1$.

Holomorphic line bundles on X

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

Isotropy at 0 for $g \cdot z = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\beta z + \bar{\alpha}}$ action on unit disk is

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \mid |\alpha|^2 = 1 \right\}$$
$$\subset G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \mid |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

So $X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z|^2 < 1\} \simeq G/K$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, **eqvt line bundle**

$$\mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow X, \quad C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_n) \simeq \{f \in C^\infty(G) \mid f(gk) = \alpha^{-n} f(g)\}.$$

Right action of complexified Lie algebra element

$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ defines **Cauchy-Riemann operator**

$$\bar{\partial}: C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_n) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_{n+2}).$$

Get rep of G on **holomorphic sections of \mathcal{L}_n**

$$W_n = \{T \in C^{-\infty}(X, \mathcal{L}_n) \mid \bar{\partial}T = 0\}.$$

$W_n \rightsquigarrow$ **discrete spectrum of Laplacian** on \mathcal{L}_{n+2k} .

For that, need (pre)**Hilbert space structure** on $W_n \dots$

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Traditional holomorphic discrete series

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

$$X \simeq \left\{ \text{lines } \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{L}_n(z) = \left[\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{\otimes n}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n \text{ has nowhere zero holom sec } \tau_n(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n}.$$

So **holom secs of $\mathcal{L}_n = (\text{holom fns}) \cdot \tau_n$** : $W_n \simeq W_0 \cdot \tau_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot \tau_n)(z) &=_{\text{def}} g \cdot (\tau_n(g^{-1} \cdot z)) \\ &= g \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}z - \delta}{-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma} \right)^{\otimes n} \quad \left(g = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \delta \\ \bar{\delta} & \bar{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= (-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma)^{-n} \cdot g \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}z - \delta}{-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma} \right)^{\otimes n} \\ &= (-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma)^{-n} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} = (-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma)^{-n} \cdot \tau_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

$W_n \simeq W_0 \cdot \tau_n \rightsquigarrow$ (rep on W_n) \simeq (multiplier rep on W_0):

$$(g \cdot_n f)(z) = (-\bar{\delta}z + \gamma)^{-n} f(g^{-1} \cdot z) \quad (f \text{ holom on } X).$$

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Unitary structure on W_n

Seeking **unitary representation** of G related to W_n .

G -invt **Herm str** on \mathcal{L}_n , $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} \right\|^2 = (1 - |z|^2)^n$.

Unitary structure on W_n (?):

$$\|\tau\|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} \int_X \|\tau(z)\|^2 \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \quad (\tau \in W_n).$$

Using $W_n \simeq W_0 \cdot \tau_n$, rewrite as

$$\|f\tau_n\|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} \int_X |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{n-2} dz d\bar{z} \quad (f \text{ holomorphic}).$$

Polar coords $\rightsquigarrow \int_0^1 (1 - r)^{n-2} dr$.

Problem: if $n \leq 1$, converges only for $f = 0$.

Problem: *never* converges for all f .

Solution: (Hermitian) DUAL SPACE...

$$W^n = C_c^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_n) / \partial(C_c^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_{n+2})).$$

Denominator is densities **vanishing on hol secs** of \mathcal{L}_n ; \exists lots because of Cauchy integral formula, etc.

Unitary structure on W^n

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

$$W^n = C_c^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_n) / \partial(C_c^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_{n+2})).$$

Sesq pairing on $W^n \iff$ **Schwartz distn kernel**

$$K^n(s, t) \in C^{-\infty}(X \times X, \mathcal{L}_{-n} \times \mathcal{L}_n)$$

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle^n = \int_{X \times X} K^n(s, t) \phi(s) \overline{\psi(t)} ds dt.$$

Pairing $\downarrow W^n \iff K^n$ antiholom in s , holom in t .

Pairing G -invt $\iff K^n(g \cdot s, g \cdot t) = K^n(s, t)$.

\rightsquigarrow fn κ on $G \iff$ hol sec of \mathcal{L}_n on **right and left**.

Unique soln corrs to nowhere zero sec τ_n :

$$\kappa^n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{-n}$$

THM. W^n has **invt sesq form**; $K^n(gK, hK) = \tau_n(g^{-1}k)$.

Form is **pos def** if $n > 0$; semidef if $n \geq 0$.

Unitary rep $W^n \rightsquigarrow$ disc spec of $\mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow X \iff n > 1$.

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

Where can you go from here?

Realizing smooth representations

David Vogan,
David Jerison

G reductive Lie $\supset L = G^T$, cpt torus T .

$X = G/L$ cplx mfld; Cauchy-Riemann eqns \leftrightarrow

\mathfrak{u} = pos eigspaces of $T \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Holom bdl $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \leftrightarrow$ smooth rep E of L .

Traditional rep of G : Dolbeault cohom of \mathcal{E} :

$$W_E = H^{0,p}(X, \mathcal{E}) = H^p(\mathfrak{u}, C^{-\infty}(G, E)^L)$$

Often unitary E for $L \rightsquigarrow$ "ought-to-be-unitary" W_E .

Problem: W_E too big to carry invt sesq form.

Solution: (Hermitian) DUAL SPACE...

$$W^{E^h} = H_{\text{cpt}}^{n, n-p}(X, \mathcal{E}^h) = H_p(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}, C_c^\infty(G, E^h)_L)$$

Easy: unitary $E \rightsquigarrow$ invt Herm form on W^E . **OPEN:**

Geometric proof of **UNITARITY** of W^E .

FOURIER TRANSFORM: $C_c^\infty(G/H) \xrightarrow{?} W^E$.

HARMONIC ANALYSIS: e.g., $W^E \xrightarrow{?} L^2(G)$

Introduction

Case of \mathbb{R}

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the
hyperbolic
Laplacian

Holomorphic
discrete series for
 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Conclusion

References

Dual of holom fns: chap 8 in [A. Andreotti](#), *Nine lectures on complex Analysis*, in book *Complex Analysis*, F. Gherardelli, ed, pub 1974.

Dolbeault cohom realizations of representations: [W. Schmid](#), *Homogeneous complex manifolds and representations of semisimple Lie groups*, in book *Rep Theory and Harm Analysis on Semisimple Lie Gps*, P. Sally *et al.*, eds, pub 1989.

Representations on compactly supported Dolbeault cohomology: [D. Vogan](#), *Unitary representations and complex analysis*, in book *Representation Theory and Complex Analysis*, A. D'Agnolo, ed, pub 2008.

Spectral theory on symm spaces: [S. Helgason](#), *Geom Analysis on Symm Spaces*, pub 1994.