HOMEWORK 1 FOR 18.706, FALL 2012 DUE WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22.

(1) Show that the converse to Schur Lemma is false by constructing a three dimensional algebra A over \mathbb{C} and a two dimensional module M over A, such that M is reducible but $End_A(M) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Can a module whose endomorphisms form a division ring be decomposable?

- (2) Let R be the subring in $Mat_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $R = \{(a_{ij}) \mid a_{21} = 0, a_{22} \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Show that R is left Artinian and Noetherian but it is neither right Artinian nor right Noetherian.
- (3) This problem illustrates that a finite dimensional algebra may have indecomposable modules of arbitrarily large dimension.
 - Let k be a field and $I \subset k[x,y]$ be the ideal generated by x,y. Let $A = k[x,y]/I^2$. Show that $M_n = I^n/I^{n+2}$ is an indecomposable A-module. Construct an example of an infinite dimensional indecomposable A-module.
- (4) Describe the socle and co-socle filtration of the free rank one module for the following rings.
 - (a) $R = \mathbb{Z}/72$.
 - (b) $R = k[D_4]$, where k is a field of characteristic two, and D_4 denotes the dihedral group of order 8 (the group of symmetries of the square).
- (5) Let Q be a quiver, i.e. a finite oriented graph. Let A(Q) be the path algebra of Q over a field k, i.e. the algebra whose basis is formed by paths in Q (compatible with orientations, and including paths of length 0 from a vertex to itself), and multiplication is concatenation of paths (if the paths cannot be concatenated, the product is zero).
 - (a) Represent the algebra of upper triangular matrices as A(Q).
 - (b) Show that A(Q) is finite dimensional iff Q is acyclic, i.e. has no oriented cycles.
 - (c) For any acyclic Q, decompose A(Q) (as a left module) in a direct sum of indecomposable modules, and classify the simple A(Q)-modules.
 - (d) Find a condition on Q under which A(Q) is isomorphic to $A(Q)^{op}$, the algebra A(Q) with opposite multiplication. Use this to give an example of an algebra A that is not isomorphic to A^{op} .
- (6) This problem provides examples showing that the conclusion of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem does not hold without the finiteness assumption on the module.

1

- (a) Let R be a Dedekind domain which is not a principal ideal domain (e.g. $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ or $R = \mathbb{C}[x,y]/(y^2-x^3-1)$). Show that the conclusion of Krull-Schmidt Theorem does not hold for finitely generated projective R modules.
 - (Hint: you can use that $I \oplus J \cong R \oplus IJ$ for nonzero ideals $I, J \subset R$).
- (b) Let A be the algebra of smooth real functions on the real line, such that a(x+1) = a(x). Let M be the A-module of smooth functions on the line such that b(x+1) = -b(x).
 - Show that M is indecomposable and not isomorphic to A, and that $M \oplus M \cong A \oplus A$ as a left A-module. Thus the conclusion of Krull-Schmidt theorem does not hold in this case.

 $^{^{1}}$ Recall that this means that R is a Noetherian (commutative) domain where every ideal is a product of prime ideals. Rings of integers in number fields provide important examples of Dedekind domains. Another class of examples comes from coordinates rings of smooth affine algebraic curves over a field.