## HOMEWORK 8 FOR 18.745, FALL 2012 DUE FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2 BY 3PM.

The base field is algebraically closed of characteristic zero and the Lie algebras are finite dimensional unless stated otherwise.

- (1) Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be a maximal toral subalgebra in a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^* | \alpha \neq 0 \& \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$ , so that, as has been shown in class,  $\Sigma \subset E := \mathbb{Q} \cdot \Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is a root system, where the form on E comes from the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
  - (a) Recall that for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  we have an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  triple  $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ ,  $f_{\alpha} \in g_{-\alpha}$ ,  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Set  $\Sigma' = \{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ . Show that  $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{Q} \cdot \Sigma' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong E^*$  is also a root system.
  - (b) Let  $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sp}_6$ . The root system  $\Sigma'$  is not isomorphic to  $\Sigma$ , but it is isomorphic to  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}')$  for another semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . Describe  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and prove this statement.

[Here we say that two irreducible root systems  $\Sigma_1 \subset E_1$ ,  $\Sigma_2 \subset E_2$  are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : E_1 \cong E_2$  sending  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_2$  and satisfying  $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = C(x, y)$  for some constant c].

[Hint: You may want to check that  $\Sigma'$  is isomorphic to the root system  $\Sigma = \{\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha,\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Sigma\}.$ 

- (2) Check that for any nontrivial representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  the corresponding trace form is nondegenerate.
- (3) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semi-simple Lie algebra and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a semi-simple element. Show that the centralizer of x is a reductive Lie algebra.

[Hint: Let  $\mathfrak t$  be a maximal toral subalgebra containing x. Then the centralizer is the sum of  $\mathfrak t$  and  $\mathfrak g_\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha(x)=0$ . Recall Cartan criterion and use the previous problem to show that the kernel of the Killing form of the centralizer is contained in the subspace of  $y \in \mathfrak t$ , s.t.  $\alpha(y)=0$  for  $\alpha$  as above.]

- (4) (Optional) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any Lie algebra.
  - (a) Show that the tensor product of two semi-simple  ${\mathfrak g}$  modules is semi-simple.
  - (b) Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the intersection of kernels of all irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$  is reductive, and that it is a maximal reductive quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (i.e. for any ideal  $\mathfrak{k}'$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}'$  is reductive we have:  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}'$ ).

[Hint: use the optional problem from the previous problem set.]

(5) (Optional) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can not be positive definite.<sup>1</sup>

[Hint: Pick  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and consider the eigenvalues of ad(x) acting on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . If there exists an eigenvalue with a nonzero real part deduce that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains and ad nilpotent element y, then  $\kappa(y,y)=0$ . Otherwise all eigenvalues of ad(x) are purely imaginary, so  $kappa(x,x) \leq 0$ .]

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It may however be negative definite.