

INFERENCE ON GRAPHS VIA SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING

AFONSO S. BANDEIRA

Inference problems on graphs arise naturally when trying to make sense of network data. Oftentimes, these problems are formulated as intractable optimization programs. This renders the need for fast heuristics to find adequate solutions and for the study of their performance. For a certain class of problems, Javanmard et al [JMRT15] successfully use tools from statistical physics to analyze the performance of semidefinite programming relaxations, an important heuristic for intractable problems.

A particularly interesting class of inverse problems in graphs is that of Synchronization problems [Sin11, BCS15]. These include registration of multiple pictures of the same scene, alignment of signals, community detection, and many others. After associating objects (for example, images or signals) to nodes of a graph, the goal is to estimate labels of the nodes (viewing direction of the image, shift of the signal, or community membership) from pairwise information about labels of nodes sharing edges (obtained, for example, by comparing two images). It is productive to think of the labels as being in a group (for example, of transformations) and the pairwise information being about group ratios (or relative transformations). An important example is synchronization of three-dimensional rotations, which is a crucial step in the reconstruction problem in cryo-Electron Microscopy [SS11].

Community Detection under the Binary Stochastic Block model has recently received significant attention [DKMZ11, MNS14c, MNS14b, Mas14, MNS14a, ABH14]. In this model a random graph is drawn on vertices belonging to two communities of the same size. Each pair of nodes share an edge, independently, with a certain probability: p if they belong to the same community and q otherwise (oftentimes $p > q$). The goal is to recover the community memberships from the observed network. This is an instance of a Synchronization problem over \mathbb{Z}_2 [ABBS14], the group of two elements. Indeed, the existence or not of an edge between two nodes provides information about the relative community membership of the nodes.

A natural approach to efficiently estimate the memberships of the nodes of a graph generated from this model is belief propagation. In a nutshell, the idea is to have each node record its confidence of belonging to one of the communities and, in each iteration, have each node observe the confidences of all its neighbors and update its own confidence accordingly (through Bayes rule). In fact, Decelle et al. [DKMZ11] used tools from statistical physics to make remarkably precise predictions of the performance of belief propagation in Community Detection and, based on those, conjectured the exact location of a phase transition below which it is information theoretically impossible to make an estimate of the community memberships that outperforms an uninformed random guess. This conjectured phase transition was mathematically proved in a recent series of works [MNS14c, MNS14b, Mas14] which also proposed ingenious estimation procedures that provably outperform a random guess above the phase transition. However, the exact performance of belief propagation is yet to be fully rigorously understood (there has, nevertheless, been remarkable progress [MNS13, BLM15, AS15]).

Date: April 4, 2016.

To Appear in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, as a commentary to [JMRT15].
A. S. Bandeira was supported by NSF grant DMS-1317308.

From the point of view of worst-case approximation in theoretical computer science, semidefinite programming (and the so-called sum-of-squares hierarchy [Par00, Las01, Sho87, Nes00]) seems to provide an “off the shelf” hierarchy of optimal algorithms for a large class of problems [BS] (this is related to the famous Unique Games Conjecture [Kho10]). In fact, semidefinite programming has been used to highlight another phase transition [ABH14] in the binary stochastic block model, below which it is information-theoretically impossible to exactly recover the community memberships of all of the nodes (though it may be possible to outperform a random guess). Using estimates in random matrix theory, it was recently shown that the semidefinite programming heuristic succeeds at exact recovery above this threshold [Ban15, HWX14].

This raises the natural question of understanding the performance of the semidefinite programming approach in the sparse regime, where exact recovery is impossible. A first step towards this was taken in [GV], and the results were further improved in [MS15]. However, a precise rigorous understanding of its performance seems outside the scope of our current techniques. Remarkably, Javanmard et al [JMRT15] used non-rigorous tools from statistical physics to make very precise predictions, confirmed with numerical simulations, of the performance of this approach. These predictions suggest that semidefinite programming performs extremely well in this regime.¹ In my view, this is particularly exciting for a few reasons: firstly, it suggests that algorithms from the sum-of-squares hierarchy (the hierarchy of semidefinite programs believed to be optimal from the worst case approximation point of view) may be nearly optimal also for a certain class of inference problems. Secondly, the results in Javanmard et al [JMRT15] suggest that these tools may be used to produce precise predictions for the performance of algorithms in this hierarchy. Finally, this makes a fascinating connection between hierarchies of semidefinite programs (which are often believed in theoretical computer science to yield optimal algorithms for a certain class of problems) and belief propagation (which is tightly connected with the analysis in Javanmard et al [JMRT15] and is often believed in statistical physics to be optimal for certain inference problems). It is worth noting that the predictions suggest that, for a fixed average degree, this algorithm does not fully reach the described phase transition (although getting very close to it). In fact, a recent paper of Moitra et al. provides another argument suggesting that this is the case [MPW].

Javanmard et al [JMRT15] address not only the community detection problem but also a \mathbb{Z}_2 Synchronization problem with Gaussian noise (which can be seen a surrogate of community detection) and Synchronization of rotations of the plane, $U(1)$. There is a semidefinite programming based framework for general synchronization problems [BCS15] and the success of the techniques used to analyze the \mathbb{Z}_2 and $U(1)$ suggest that statistical physics tools may be useful at understanding the performance of this approach to more general settings.

While semidefinite programming is solvable in polynomial time [VB96] it tends to be computationally expensive. Javanmard et al [JMRT15] use a low-rank factorization heuristic proposed over a decade ago in [BM03], to solve fairly large problem instances. Interestingly, they also make use of this factorization in their analysis. With the factorization, the semidefinite program can be seen as an optimization problem where each node is associated a vector variable which makes it more suitable for the statistical physics tools that are used. This suggests that the predictions obtained are valid for the heuristic itself, rendering it extremely effective at solving these types of semidefinite programs. In fact, this was recently backed up by rigorous guarantees for this heuristic in [BBV] and [Mon16].

Acknowledgments. Afonso S. Bandeira was supported by NSF grant DMS-1317308 and acknowledges insightful discussions with Emmanuel Abbe, Gérard Ben Arous, Florent

¹It is worth noting that belief propagation can be viewed as attempting to approximate the marginal distributions of the node memberships and not necessarily directly solving an optimization problem, while the semidefinite program is obtained via the relaxation of an optimization problem.

Krzakala, Dustin G. Mixon, Cristopher Moore, Will Perry, Philippe Rigollet, Alex Wein, and Lenka Zdeborova.

REFERENCES

- [ABBS14] E. Abbe, A. S. Bandeira, A. Bracher, and A. Singer, *Decoding binary node labels from censored edge measurements: Phase transition and efficient recovery*, Network Science and Engineering, IEEE Transactions on **1** (2014), no. 1, 10–22.
- [ABH14] E. Abbe, A. S. Bandeira, and G. Hall, *Exact recovery in the stochastic block model*, Available online at arXiv:1405.3267 [cs.SI] (2014).
- [AS15] E. Abbe and C. Sandon, *Detection in the stochastic block model with multiple clusters: proof of the achievability conjectures, acyclic bp, and the information-computation gap*, Available online at arXiv:1512.09080 [math.PR] (2015).
- [Ban15] A. S. Bandeira, *Random Laplacian matrices and convex relaxations*, Available online at arXiv:1504.03987 [math.PR] (2015).
- [BBV] A. S. Bandeira, N. Boumal, and V. Voroninski, *Guarantees on solving certain semidefinite programs via low-rank factorization*, 2016.
- [BCS15] A. S. Bandeira, Y. Chen, and A. Singer, *Non-unique games over compact groups and orientation estimation in cryo-em*, Available online at arXiv:1505.03840 [cs.CV] (2015).
- [BLM15] C. Bordenave, M. Lelarge, and L. Massoulié, *Non-backtracking spectrum of random graphs: community detection and non-regular ramanujan graphs*, Available online at arXiv:1501.06087 [math.PR] (2015).
- [BM03] S. Burer and R.D.C. Monteiro, *A nonlinear programming algorithm for solving semidefinite programs via low-rank factorization*, Mathematical Programming **95** (2003), no. 2, 329–357.
- [BS] B. Barak and D. Steurer, *Sum-of-squares proofs and the quest toward optimal algorithms*.
- [DKMZ11] A. Decelle, F. Krzakala, C. Moore, and L. Zdeborová, *Asymptotic analysis of the stochastic block model for modular networks and its algorithmic applications*, Phys. Rev. E **84** (2011).
- [GV] O. Guedon and R. Vershynin, *Community detection in sparse networks via grothendieck’s inequality*, Available online at arXiv:1411.4686 [math.ST].
- [HWX14] B. Hajek, Y. Wu, and J. Xu, *Achieving exact cluster recovery threshold via semidefinite programming*, Available online at arXiv:1412.6156 (2014).
- [JMRT15] A. Javanmard, A. Montanari, and F. Ricci-Tersenghi, *Phase transitions in semidefinite relaxations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08769 (2015).
- [Kho10] S. Khot, *On the unique games conjecture (invited survey)*, Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE 25th Annual Conference on Computational Complexity (Washington, DC, USA), CCC ’10, IEEE Computer Society, 2010, pp. 99–121.
- [Las01] J. B. Lassere, *Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments*, SIAM Journal on Optimization **11** (2001), no. 3, 796–817.
- [Mas14] L. Massoulié, *Community detection thresholds and the weak ramanujan property*, Proceedings of the 46th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (New York, NY, USA), STOC ’14, ACM, 2014, pp. 694–703.
- [MNS13] E. Mossel, J. Neeman, and A. Sly, *Belief propagation, robust reconstruction, and optimal recovery of block models*, Available online at arXiv:1309.1380 [math.PR] (2013).
- [MNS14a] ———, *Consistency thresholds for the planted bisection model*, Available online at arXiv:1407.1591v2 [math.PR] (2014).
- [MNS14b] ———, *A proof of the block model threshold conjecture*, Available online at arXiv:1311.4115 [math.PR] (2014).
- [MNS14c] ———, *Stochastic block models and reconstruction*, Probability Theory and Related Fields (to appear) (2014).
- [Mon16] A. Montanari, *A grothendieck-type inequality for local maxima*, Available online at arXiv:1603.04064 [math.OC] (2016).
- [MPW] A. Moitra, W. Perry, and A. S. Wein, *How robust are reconstruction thresholds for community detection?*, Available online at arXiv:1511.01473 [cs.DS].
- [MS15] A. Montanari and S. Sen, *Semidefinite programs on sparse random graphs*, Available online at arXiv:1504.05910 [cs.DM] (2015).
- [Nes00] Y. Nesterov, *Squared functional systems and optimization problems*, High performance optimization **13** (2000), no. 405-440.
- [Par00] P. A. Parrilo, *Structured semidefinite programs and semialgebraic geometry methods in robustness and optimization*, Ph.D. thesis, 2000.
- [Sho87] N. Shor, *An approach to obtaining global extremums in polynomial mathematical programming problems*, Cybernetics and Systems Analysis **23** (1987), no. 5, 695–700.
- [Sin11] A. Singer, *Angular synchronization by eigenvectors and semidefinite programming*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **30** (2011), no. 1, 20 – 36.
- [SS11] A. Singer and Y. Shkolnisky, *Three-dimensional structure determination from common lines in Cryo-EM by eigenvectors and semidefinite programming*, SIAM J. Imaging Sciences **4** (2011), no. 2, 543–572.
- [VB96] L. Vanderberghe and S. Boyd, *Semidefinite programming*, SIAM Review **38** (1996), 49–95.

(Bandeira) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02142, USA (bandeira@mit.edu).