

# ALGEBRAIC SURFACES, LECTURE 1

KARTIK VENKATRAM

## 1. CASTELNUOVO'S CRITERION FOR RATIONALITY

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a surface with  $q = h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , and  $p_2 = h^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes 2}) = 0$ . Then  $X$  is rational.*

*Note.* Every rational surface satisfies these: they are birational invariants which vanish for  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Reduction 1: Let  $X$  be a minimal surface with  $q = p_2 = 0$ . It is enough to show there is a smooth rational curve  $C$  on  $X$  with  $C^2 \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, observe that  $2g(C) - 2 = -2 = C \cdot C(C + K)$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}C(C - K)$ . Since  $p_2 = 0$ ,  $p_1 = h^0(X, \omega) = h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ . Since  $h^2(C) = h^0(K - C) \leq h^0(K) = 0$ ,  $h^0(C) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2}C(C - K)$ , so  $h^0(C) \geq 2 + C^2 \geq 2$ . Choose a pencil inside this system containing  $C$ , i.e. a subspace of dimension 2. The pencil has no fixed component (the only possibility is  $C$ , but  $C$  moves in the pencil): after blowing up finitely many base points, we get a morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  with a fiber isomorphic to  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . Therefore  $\tilde{X}$  is ruled over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\tilde{X}$  is rational (as is  $X$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Reduction 2: Let  $X$  be a minimal surface with  $q = p_2 = 0$ . It is enough to show that  $\exists$  an effective divisor  $D$  on  $X$  s.t.  $|K + D| = \emptyset$  and  $K \cdot D < 0$ .*

*Proof.* This implies that some irreducible component  $C$  of  $D$  satisfies  $K \cdot C < 0$ . Clearly,  $|K + C| \subset |K + D|$ . Using Riemann-Roch for  $K + C$  gives  $0 = h^0(K + C) + h^2(K + C) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2}(K + C) \cdot C = g(C)$ . We thus obtain a smooth, rational curve  $C$  on  $X$ :  $-2 = 2g - 2 = C(C + K)$  and  $C \cdot K < 0 \implies C^2 \geq -1$ . Since  $X$  is minimal,  $C^2 \neq -1$ , so  $C^2 \geq 0$  as desired.  $\square$

We now prove our second reduction: there are three cases.

$K^2 = 0$ : Riemann-Roch applied to  $-K$  gives

$$(1) \quad h^0(-K) = h^0(-K) + h^2(-K) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2}K \cdot 2K = 1 + K^2 = 1 \implies |-K| \neq \emptyset$$

Take a hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$ . Then there is an  $n \geq 0$  s.t.  $|H + nK| \neq \emptyset$  but  $|H + (n+1)K| = \emptyset$ . Let  $D \in |H + nK|$ : then  $|D + K| = \emptyset$  and  $K \cdot D = K(H + nK) = K \cdot H < 0$  since  $-K$  is effective,  $H$  very ample.

$K^2 < 0$ : It is enough to find an effective divisor  $E$  on  $X$  s.t.  $K \cdot E < 0$ . Then some component  $C$  of  $E$  will have  $K \cdot C$ . The genus formula gives  $-2 \leq 2g - 2 = C(C + K) \implies C^2 \geq -1$ .  $C^2 = -1$  is impossible since  $X$  is minimal, so  $C^2 \geq 0$ . Now  $(C + nK) \cdot C$  is negative for  $n \gg 0$ , so  $C + nK$  is not effective for  $n \gg 0$  by previous method.  $\exists n$  s.t.  $|C + nK| \neq \emptyset$  but  $|C + (n+1)K| = \emptyset$ . Choosing  $D \in |C + nK|$  gives the desired divisor.

We show find the claimed  $E$ . Again, let  $H$  be a hyperplane section: if  $K \cdot H < 0$ , we can take  $E = H$ ; if  $K \cdot H = 0$ , we can take  $K + nH$  for  $n \gg 0$ ; so assume  $K \cdot H > 0$ . Let  $\gamma = \frac{-K \cdot H}{K^2} > 0$  so that  $(H + \gamma K) \cdot K = 0$ . Also,

$$(2) \quad (H + \gamma K)^2 > H^2 + 2\gamma(H \cdot K) + \gamma^2 K^2 = H^2 + \frac{(K \cdot H)^2}{(-K^2)} > 0$$

So take  $\beta$  rational and slightly larger than  $\gamma$  to get  $(H + \beta K) \cdot K < (H + \gamma K) \cdot K = 0$  ( $K^2 < 0$ ) and  $(H + \beta K)^2 > 0$ . Therefore,  $(H + \beta K) \cdot H > 0$ . Write  $\beta = \frac{r}{s}$ . Then

$$(3) \quad (rH + sK)^2 > 0, (rH + sK) \cdot K < 0, (rH + sK) \cdot H > 0$$

by equivalent facts for  $\beta$ . Let  $D = rH + sK$ . For  $m \gg 0$ , by Riemann-Roch we get  $h^0(mD) + h^0(K - mD) \geq \frac{1}{2}mD(mD - K) + 1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $K - mD$  is not effective for  $m \gg 0$  since  $(K - mD) \cdot H = (K \cdot H) - m(D \cdot H)$ . Thus,  $mD$  is effective for large  $m$ , and we can take  $E \in |mD|$ .  $K^2 > 0$  Assume that there is no such  $D$ , i.e.  $K \cdot D \geq 0$  for every effective divisor  $D$  s.t.  $|K + D| = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Under this assumption:*

- (1)  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is generated by  $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K)$ , and the anticanonical bundle is ample. In particular,  $X$  doesn't have any rational curves.
- (2) Every divisor of  $|-K|$  is an integral curve of arithmetic genus 1.
- (3)  $(K^2) \leq 5, b_2 \geq 5$ .

*Proof.* First, let us see that every element  $D$  of  $|-K|$  is an irreducible curve. If not, let  $C$  be a component of  $D$  s.t.  $K \cdot C < 0$ . If  $D = C + C'$ ,  $|K + C| = |-D + C| = |-C'| = \emptyset$  since  $C'$  is effective. Thus,  $C \cdot K < 0$ , contradicting the hypothesis. So  $D$  is irreducible, and similarly  $D$  is not a multiple. Furthermore,  $p_a(D) = \frac{1}{2}D(D + K) + 1 = 1$ , showing (2).

Next, we claim that the only effective divisor s.t.  $|D + K| = \emptyset$  is the zero divisor. Assume not, i.e.  $\exists D > 0$  s.t.  $|K + D| = \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in D$ : then since  $h^0(-K) \geq 1 + K^2 \geq 2$ , there is a  $C \in |-K|$  passing through  $x$ .  $C$  is an integral curve, and cannot be a component of  $D$  since then  $|K + D| \supset |K + C| = |0| \neq \emptyset$ . So  $C \cdot D > 0$  since they meet at least in  $x$ . Then  $K \cdot D = -C \cdot D < 0$ , contradicting the hypothesis.

As an aside, we claim that  $p_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ : we know that  $p_2 = 0 \implies p_1 = 0$ ; if  $3K$  were effective then  $2K$  would be too since  $-K$  is effective, which contradicts  $p_2 = 0 \implies p_3 = 0$  and by induction  $p_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

We claim that adjunction terminates: if  $D'$  is any divisor on  $X$ , then there is an integer  $n_D$  s.t.  $|D + nK| = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq n_D$ . To see this, note that  $(D + nK) \cdot (-K)$  will eventually become negative.  $-K$  is represented by an irreducible curve of positive self-intersection, so by the useful lemma  $D + nK$  is not effective for  $n \gg 0$ . Now, let  $\Delta$  be an arbitrary effective divisor. Then  $\exists n \geq 0$  s.t.  $|\Delta + nK| \neq \emptyset$  but  $|\Delta + (n+1)K| = \emptyset$ . Take  $D \in |\Delta + nK|$  effective.  $|D + K| = \emptyset \implies D = 0$  from above. Since any divisor is a difference of effective divisors,  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is generated by  $K$ . If  $H$  is a hyperplane section on  $X$ , then  $H \sim -nK$  with  $k > 0$ , implying that  $-K$  is ample. Let  $C$  be any integral curve on  $X$ : then  $C \sim -mK$  for some  $m \geq 1$ .  $p_a(C) = \frac{1}{2}(-mK)(-mK + K) + 1 = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)K^2 + 1 \geq 1$  so there is no smooth rational curve on  $X$ , completing (1).

We are left to prove (3). Assume that  $(K^2) \geq 6$ . Then  $h^0(-K) \geq 1 + K^2 \geq 7$ . Fix points  $x$  and  $y$  on  $X$ : we claim that  $\exists C \in |-K|$  with  $x$  and  $y$  singular points of  $C$ . This would be a contradiction, since  $p_a(C) = 1 \implies p_a(\tilde{C}) < 0$  which is absurd. So  $K^2 \leq 5$ . To see the existence of this  $C$ , let

$$(4) \quad I_x = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2), I_y = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,y}/\mathfrak{m}_y^2)$$

Then we get

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K) \otimes I_x \otimes I_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K) \rightarrow k^6 \rightarrow 0$$

since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2, \mathcal{O}_{X,y}/\mathfrak{m}_y^2$  have dimension 3 over  $k$ . Taking the long exact sequence, we find that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K) \otimes I_x \otimes I_y) \neq 0$ , and get a nonzero section of that sheaf. It is a divisor of zero passing through  $x$  and  $y$  with multiplicity at least 2, giving us the claimed curve.

Finally, by Noether's formula,  $1 = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{1}{12}(K^2 + e(X))$ , where  $e(X) = 2 - 2b_1 + b_2$ .  $b_1 = 2q$  by hodge theory, so  $10 = K^2 + b_2 \implies b_2 \geq 5$ .  $\square$

We now show that no surface has these properties. In characteristic 0, the Lefschetz principle allows us to reduce to  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Taking the cohomology of the exponential exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{an} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X^{an})^* \rightarrow 1$  gives

$$(6) \quad H^0(\mathcal{O}_X^{an}) \rightarrow H^1((\mathcal{O}_X^{an})^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X^{an}) \rightarrow \dots$$

By Serre's GAGA,  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X^{an}, \mathcal{F}^{an})$  for an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $q = p_g = 0$ ,  $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X^{an}) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_X^{an}) = 0$ , and  $H^1((\mathcal{O}_X^{an})^*) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_X^*) = \text{Pic}X \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . This implies that  $b_2 = \text{rk}H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rkPic}X = 1$  contradicting  $b_2 \geq 5$ . For positive characteristic, we will sketch a proof: the first proof was

given by Zariski, and the second using etale cohomology by Artin and by Kurke. Our proof will be by reduction to characteristic 0.