

# VERY ROUGH NOTES ON SPECTRAL DEFORMATION THEORY

## 1. CLASSICAL DEFORMATION THEORY

I want to begin with some classical deformation theory, before moving on to the spectral generalizations that constitute Lurie's work.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $k$  be a field. A **formal moduli problem** is a functor

$$F : \mathbf{Art}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Groupoids}$$

such that  $F(k) = *$ . Here,  $\mathbf{Art}_k$  is the category of local Artinian  $k$ -algebras and continuous homomorphisms.

**Remark 1.2.** The prototypical local Artinian  $k$ -algebra is the dual numbers  $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ . Any local Artinian  $k$ -algebra arises from  $k$  by a series of square-zero extensions. A more complicated example is

$$k[x, y]/(x^2, y^3, xy^2) \cong k \oplus kx \oplus ky \oplus kxy \oplus ky^2.$$

**Example 1.3.** Fix a finite height formal group law  $f(x, y)$  over a perfect field of characteristic  $k$ . One can define a functor

$$Def_\star(-) : \mathbf{Art}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Groupoids}$$

that takes  $A$  to the collection of pairs  $(g(x, y), i)$ , where  $g(x, y)$  is a formal group law over  $A$  and  $i$  is a strict isomorphism of the reduction of  $g$  with  $f$ .

The kind of theorem Lurie seeks to generalize is as follows:

**Theorem 1.4.** (*Lubin–Tate*) *There exists a complete local ring  $E$ , with residue field  $k$ , such that for any Artinian  $k$ -algebra  $A$  continuous maps from  $E$  into  $A$  represents the same functor as  $\pi_0 Def_\star(A)$ .*

Before discussing the generalization, let's briefly review how one might prove representability theorems classically. Here's a theorem with much too restrictive hypothesis, just to give you an idea of the kind of things involved.

**Theorem 1.5.** (*Schlessinger*) *Suppose that  $F : \mathbf{Art}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  is a  $\mathbf{Sets}$  valued moduli problem, such as  $\pi_0$  of some other moduli problem. Suppose that  $F$  satisfies the following criteria:*

- (1) *If  $A', A'' \longrightarrow A$  are two surjections, then  $F(A' \times_A A'') \simeq F(A') \times_{F(A)} F(A'')$ .*
- (2)  *$F$  is formally smooth, meaning that for any surjection  $A' \longrightarrow A$ , the map  $F(A') \longrightarrow F(A)$  is surjective.*
- (3) *The tangent space to  $F$ ,  $F(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$  is of finite dimension  $g$ .*

*Then the functor  $F$  is pro-representable.*

**Remark 1.6.** I should clarify what I mean when I say that  $F(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$  has finite dimension  $g$ . After all, this tangent space is a priori just a set. The idea is to use the natural map  $k \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{Art}_k}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2, k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$  that sends  $a$  to  $\alpha_a(x + y\epsilon) = x + ay\epsilon$ . Applying  $F$  to  $\alpha_a$  gives a candidate for scalar multiplication by  $a$ , that in fact forms a vector space structure.

**Remark 1.7.** Condition (1) is a gluing condition, and so is only reasonable when the set-valued fiber product agrees with the groupoid fiber product. In fact,  $Def_*(A)$  happens to be a discrete groupoid, so there is no real difference between  $\pi_0(Def_*(A))$  and  $Def_*(A)$ . Many classical deformation problems are similarly discrete, such as deformations of elliptic curves or more general abelian varieties.

*Proof of Schlessinger's theorem.* Choose a basis  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_g$  of  $F(k[t]/t^2)$ . Since  $F$  is formally smooth, we may lift each  $a_i$  to an element in  $W(k[[t]]/(p^2, t^2))$ . In the limit, this gives elements

$$\alpha_i \in F(W(k[[t]]) = \lim_n F(W(k[[t]]/(p^n, t^n)).$$

Here, we **define**  $F$  on the non-Artinian  $W(k[[t]])$  by the filtered limit formula. Since  $F$  is product preserving, we get a single element

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in F(W(k[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_g]]).$$

By Yoneda, that is the same data as a morphism of functors

$$(1) \quad \text{Hom}(W(k[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_g]], -) \longrightarrow F(-)$$

We would like to show that this map of functors is an equivalence, as it is by construction on  $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ . We prove that (1) is an equivalence on all  $A$  by induction on the length of  $A$ . To this end, suppose that  $A$  is an Artinian  $k$ -algebra, with a non-zero  $x \in A$  annihilated by the maximal ideal in  $A$ , such that (1) is an equivalence when evaluated on  $A/x$ . We will show that (1) is an equivalence when evaluated on  $A$ .

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(W(k[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_g]], A) & \longrightarrow & F(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(W(k[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_g]], A/x) & \longrightarrow & F(A/x). \end{array}$$

We will show that the fibers of each vertical surjection of sets map bijectively onto one another.

To this end, consider the pull-back square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A \times_{A/x} A) & \longrightarrow & F(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(A) & \longrightarrow & F(A/x) \end{array}$$

Notice that  $A \times_{A/x} A \cong A \times_k k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ , via the isomorphism that sends  $\epsilon$  to  $x$  and  $A$  to the diagonal. The addition of  $x$  map thus exhibits  $A$  as a  $(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ -torsor over  $A/x$ . Since  $F$  is product-preserving, and  $F(A)$  surjects onto  $F(A/x)$ , it follows that  $F(A)$  is naturally a torsor for  $F(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$  over  $F(A/x)$ . The same is true for the functor  $\text{Hom}(W(k[[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_g]], -)$ .  $\square$

## 2. SPECTRAL DEFORMATIONS OF $p$ -DIVISIBLE GROUPS

Through the next few talks, we are going to greatly extend the above story in several directions:

- (1) We replace the field  $k$  with a more general Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$  algebra  $R_0$ .
- (2) We will replace the formal group law  $f(x, y)$  with an arbitrary  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{G}_0$  over  $R_0$ .
- (3) We replace the category of local Artinian  $k$ -algebras with the much more general category of all adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra.

Fix for the remainder of the talk a Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R_0$ , as well as a  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{G}_0$  over  $R_0$ . What does it mean to give a deformation of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  to an arbitrary adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A$ ?

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be an adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring and  $\mathbb{G}$  a  $p$ -divisible group over  $A$ . A  $\mathbb{G}_0$ -tagging of  $\mathbb{G}$  is a triple  $(I, \mu, \alpha)$ , where  $I \subset \pi_0(A)$  is an ideal of definition,  $\mu : R_0 \rightarrow \pi_0(A)/I$  is a ring homomorphism, and

$$\alpha : (\mathbb{G}_0)_{\pi_0(A)/I} \simeq \mathbb{G}_{\pi_0(A)/I}$$

is an isomorphism of  $p$ -divisible groups over the commutative ring  $\pi_0(A)/I$ .

A pair of  $\mathbb{G}_0$ -taggings  $(I, \mu, \alpha)$  and  $(I', \mu', \alpha')$  are equivalent if there exists a finitely generated ideal of definition  $J \subset \pi_0(A)$ , containing both  $I$  and  $I'$ , for which the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(A)/I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(A)/I' & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(A)/J \end{array}$$

commutes, and  $\alpha$  agrees with  $\alpha'$  upon restriction to  $(\mathbb{G}_0)_{\pi_0(A)/J}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Giving a  $\mathbb{G}_0$ -tagging of  $\mathbb{G}$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{G}_0$ -tagging of the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{G}_{\pi_0(A)/I}$ , so this is all really a  $\pi_0$  construction.

**Definition 2.3.** A *deformation* of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  over an adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A$  consists of a  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{G}$  over  $A$  together with an equivalence class of  $\mathbb{G}_0$ -taggings of  $A$ . This can be organized into an  $\infty$ -category

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\mathbb{G}_0}(A) = \mathrm{colim}_I \mathrm{BT}^p(A) \times_{\mathrm{BT}^p(\pi_0(A)/I)} \mathrm{Hom}(R_0, \pi_0(A)/I),$$

where  $I$  ranges over all finitely generated ideals of definition  $I \subset \pi_0(A)$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $\pi_0(A)$  is Noetherian, then  $A$  admits a largest ideal of definition  $I \subset \pi_0(A)$ , and so the above filtered colimit collapses.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  denote a deformation of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  over an adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$ . We will say that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a *universal deformation* if, for every **complete** adic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A$ , the extension of scalars map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathrm{cpl}}^{\mathrm{ad}}}(R, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Def}_{\mathbb{G}_0}(A)$$

is an equivalence.

**Remark 2.6.** This universal property guarantees that a universal deformation  $(R, \mathbb{G})$ , if it exists, is unique up to contractible choice.

We do not expect a universal deformation to always exist. For example, we need an analogue of the classical condition that the field  $k$  be perfect.

**Definition 2.7.** The  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R_0$  is  $F$ -finite if the Frobenius homomorphism  $\phi : R_0 \rightarrow R_0$  makes  $R_0$  into a finitely presented  $R_0$ -module.

That's the analogue of a field  $k$  being perfect. Now, if  $R_0$  is much bigger than a field, we can think of the formal group  $\mathbb{G}_0$  as already being a sort of deformation of its reductions at the residue fields of  $R_0$ . We need to know that this  $R_0$  deformation is in some sense as non-trivial as possible, and that we haven't already ruined the universality of our deformation.

**Construction 2.8.** Suppose  $x \in |\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)|$  and  $d$  is a derivation

$$d : R_0 \longrightarrow \kappa(x),$$

where  $\kappa(x)$  denotes the residue field of  $R$  at  $x$ . Then the projection  $\beta_0 : R_0 \rightarrow \kappa(x)$  extends to a map

$$\beta : R_0 \longrightarrow \kappa(x)[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2),$$

given by the formula  $\beta(t) = \beta_0(t) + \epsilon dt$ . Let  $\mathbb{G}_d$  be obtained by  $\mathbb{G}_0$  via extension of scalars along  $\beta$ . We say that  $\mathbb{G}_d$  is trivial iff it is isomorphic to extension along the composite

$$\beta_0 : R_0 \longrightarrow \kappa(x) \longrightarrow \kappa(x)[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2).$$

**Definition 2.9.** The  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is **non-stationary** if, for all choices of  $\kappa(x)$  and non-zero derivations  $d$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_d$  is non-trivial

**Example 2.10.** Suppose that  $R_0$  is semiperfect, meaning that the Frobenius  $\phi : R_0 \rightarrow R_0$  is surjective. Then, for every  $R_0$ -module  $M$ , every derivation  $d : R_0 \rightarrow M$  is trivial. This is just because  $d(x^p) = px^{p-1}dx = 0$ . Thus, in this case  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is automatically non-stationary.

We can state the main theorem of the next few talks

**Theorem 2.11** (Lurie). *Let  $R_0$  denote an  $F$ -finite Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and  $\mathbb{G}_0$  a non-stationary  $p$ -divisible group over  $R_0$ . Then there is a universal deformation  $(R, \mathbb{G})$ , where  $R = R_{\mathbb{G}_0}^{un}$  is connective and Noetherian. Furthermore, the natural map  $R \rightarrow R_0$  induces a surjective homomorphism  $\pi_0(R) \rightarrow R_0$ , with kernel an ideal of definition for  $R$ .*

To apply a Schlessinger’s criterion argument, we need to roughly:

- (1) Know some ‘formal smoothness’ property for  $\text{Def}_{\mathbb{G}_0}(-)$ .
- (2) Obtain a complete understanding of the ‘tangent space’ to  $\text{Def}_{\mathbb{G}_0}$ . We ought not just understand deformations over  $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ , but also deformations in ‘derived directions’. We need to show that this tangent space is in some sense finite.

I won’t talk about formal smoothness much in this talk, but maybe we’ll talk about it in future talks. I will try to explain first a bit about (2), which is where the condition of  $F$ -finiteness becomes necessary.

The formal group law  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is given by a map  $\text{Spec}(R_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{BT}$ . The notion of tangent space is replaced with the formalism of the relative cotangent complex

$$L_{\text{Spec}(R_0)/\mathcal{M}_{BT}}.$$

We will want to talk about the theory of cotangent complexes in more detail in future talks. Let me get started now, and eventually move to explain why the  $F$ -finiteness condition is relevant.

### 3. COTANGENT COMPLEXES OF RINGS

Suppose that  $A$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum. Then its cotangent complex  $L_A$  is an  $A$ -module with the following universal property

For any  $A$ -module  $M$ ,

$$\text{Der}(A, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{-rings}/A}(A, A \oplus M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}}(L_A, M)$$

This is a categorification of the notion of Kahler differential, which captures the usual cotangent space in algebraic geometry.

If  $B \rightarrow A$  is a map of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra, there is also a relative version

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-modules}}(L_{A|B}, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty\text{-rings}/A}^{B/A}(A, A \oplus M)$$

We want to know when a certain (relative) cotangent complex has a finiteness property. The correct notion of finiteness is ‘almost perfection’.

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose that  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring. Then a perfect  $R$ -module is a compact object in the category of  $R$ -modules. The perfect  $R$ -modules are those generated from finitely many copies of  $R$  under shifts, extensions, and retracts.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose that  $R$  is a classical Noetherian commutative ring, and that  $M$  is a classical finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  admits a resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M,$$

where each  $P_i$  is free and finitely generated over  $R$ . However,  $M$  is only perfect if we can take the  $P_i$  to be 0 for  $i \gg 0$ . This is not always the case.

**Definition 3.3.** If  $R$  is a coherent  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum (meaning that  $R$  is connective,  $\pi_0(R)$  is coherent, and each  $\pi_n(R)$  is finitely presented), the category of almost perfect  $R$ -modules is the smallest one closed under retracts, shifts, finite colimits, and containing any geometric realization  $|P_\bullet|$  of finite rank free  $R$ -modules.

**Example 3.4.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an almost perfect  $\mathbb{S}$ -module.

In a future talk, we will discuss the following:

**Theorem 3.5** (Lurie). *Let  $R$  be a classical Noetherian  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Then  $R$  is  $F$ -finite if and only if the absolute cotangent complex  $L_R$  is almost perfect as an  $R$ -module. Furthermore, in the case that  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is non-stationary,  $L_{\text{Spec}(R)|_{\mathcal{M}_{BT}}}$ , is 1-connected and almost perfect.*