

5. JAY SHAH: AXIOMATIC APPROACH TO ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY

Question: what is the universal property of K_0 ? Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category with notion of exact sequence. Then $K_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{Z}\{X : X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}\} / \sim$ where $[X] \sim [X'] + [X'']$ if $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$ is an exact sequence of abelian groups.

This satisfies the universal property

$$\text{Hom}_{Ab}(K_0\mathcal{C}, A) \cong \{\varphi : \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A : \varphi(X) = \varphi(X') + \varphi(X'')\}.$$

Consider this in the setting of categories with cofibrations. Then ob and K_0 are both functors $\text{Cat}_{\text{cofib}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. K_0 satisfies:

- (1) Let $E(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of exact sequences in \mathcal{C} . Then there are two functors $E(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, one sending $(X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'')$ to X' , and the other sending it to X'' . Then $K_0(E(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}) \times K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism. (“ K_0 splits exact sequences.”)
- (2) Consider the monoid structure induced by the functor $E(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending $(X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'')$ to X . Then this induces the structure of an abelian group on $K_0(\mathcal{C})$.

K_0 is universal w.r.t. functors satisfying the above two properties, with natural transformations from objects.

This gives a good motivation for considering algebraic K -theory. Pass from the objects of \mathcal{C} to the moduli space $i\mathcal{C}$ of objects of \mathcal{C} . Consider this as an ∞ -category, which you can think of as a category with mapping spaces. I had a map $\text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K_0\mathcal{C}$. Now, I want a map $i\mathcal{C} \rightarrow K\mathcal{C}$.

I’m going to be working with Waldhausen ∞ -categories: an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with a zero object and a subclass of maps (satisfying some properties), which I call the cofibrations. Denote the special maps by \hookrightarrow ; they satisfy:

- closed under composition
- contain the equivalences
- closed under composition, and contain $0 \hookrightarrow X$ for all X
- closed under pushouts: if $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a cofibration, and $X \rightarrow Z$ is another map, you can form the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

and moreover $Z \rightarrow W$ is a cofibration.

Remark 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen ∞ -category. Then $0 \hookrightarrow X$ are cofibrations, and thus I can pushout $0 \hookrightarrow X$ and $0 \hookrightarrow Y$ to obtain the coproduct $X \vee Y$. So in particular, \mathcal{C} always has coproducts.

If all maps in \mathcal{C} are cofibrations, then \mathcal{C} admits pushouts. So all finite colimits.

For example, if \mathcal{C} is the derived category of a ring or ring spectrum, and every map is a cofibration, then $K(\mathcal{C}) = K(D^c(R))$.

I can consider pointed compact objects in local systems $\mathcal{C} = \text{Fun}(X, \text{Top})_*^\omega$; this admits finite colimits, and so the subcategory of cofibrations is maximal. Then $K(\mathcal{C})$ is called the A -theory of X .

If \mathcal{C} is finite pointed sets and cofibrations are the monomorphisms, then $K(\mathcal{C}) = QS^0$ by Barratt-Priddy-Quillen.

Now I want to talk about the category Wald_∞ of Waldhausen ∞ -categories. I've told you the objects; the morphisms are exact functors, as below:

Definition 5.2. $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *exact* if:

- F preserves the zero object;
- F preserves cofibrations;
- F preserves pushouts where one leg is cofibrant.

Wald_∞ has the following features:

- limits and filtered colimits are computed as in Cat .
- Wald_∞ admits direct sums: make $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{D}$ using the fact that these have zero objects.
- Has a zero object
- Compactly generated ∞ -category: any can be written as the direct limit of compact subobjects.

What does $K : \text{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Top}$ satisfy?

- Need to send the zero object to a point
- Need to preserve filtered colimits
- I designed K_0 to split exact sequences, so this should “split cofiber sequences”, and it should be “grouplike” (analogues of properties (1) and (2) in our earlier discussion of K_0).

Instead of cofiber sequences, I should be thinking of 1-step filtered objects.

Definition 5.3. Let $F_1\mathcal{C}$ be a Waldhausen category with objects $X \hookrightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , and morphisms = commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \hookrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

To give this the structure of a Waldhausen category, I need to specify the cofibrations: such a diagram is a cofibration if $X \hookrightarrow X'$ is a cofibration, and the map from the pushout $X' \cup_X Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is a cofibration.

The point is that I can write an exact functor $F_1 : F_1\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; it sends $(X \hookrightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y/X$ (here Y/X means the cofiber). I also have an exact functor $I_{1,0} : F_1\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending $(X \hookrightarrow Y) \mapsto X$. You can also consider the functor $F_1\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{I_{1,1}} \mathcal{C}$ sending $(X \hookrightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y$.

As you might expect from the notation, this will extend to a simplicial object.

Definition 5.4. A reduced finitary functor $\text{Wald}_\infty \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Top}$ is *additive* if:

- (1) $\Phi(F_1\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{(F_1, I_{1,0})} \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \times \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence
- (2) $\Phi(F_1\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{(I_{1,0}, I_{1,1})} \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \times \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence.

Definition 5.5. Algebraic K -theory is an additive functor $\text{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Top}$, which receives a natural transformation from i (the moduli space of objects functor) such that for any additive functor Φ , $\text{Nat}(K, \Phi) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(i, \Phi)$ is an equivalence.

Remark 5.6. i is corepresentable by Fin_* . (Proof: exercise.) So $\text{Nat}(i, \Phi) \simeq \Phi(\text{Fin}_*)$.

Corollary 5.7. If $\Phi = K$, then the space of global operations on K -theory $\text{Nat}(K, K) \simeq K(\text{Fin}_*) \simeq QS^0$ by BPQ.

Note: the domain matters – Blumberg, Gepner, and Tabuada calculate K -theory on stable ∞ -categories, and their global operations is $K(S)$.

You have to define THH on Wald_∞ as an additive functor. (You usually don't think of THH as landing in spaces.) Clark says you can do this. In this case, $THH(\text{Fin}_*) \simeq THH(S) \simeq S$.

Construction of K -theory. I'm looking at additive functors $F^{add}(\text{Wald}, \text{Top}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fin}_*^{filt}(\text{Wald}, \text{Top})$ and trying to prove the existence of a left adjoint. This should remind you of a similar situation: $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ (where Exc means 1-excisive functors). This has a left adjoint P_1 that can be explicitly constructed: $P_1(F) = \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^n F \Sigma^n$.

I want to connect additivity to the property of being excisive.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \\
X \mapsto (X=X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_1\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F_1} & S_1\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \\
(X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto X \downarrow & I_{1,0} & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & *
\end{array}$$

Apply Φ to this diagram. Note that the lefthand composition is the identity (this is a retract diagram).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & * \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Phi F_1\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Phi(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & *
\end{array}$$

The bottom square is a pullback square by additivity; so the top square is too. Additive functors should turn pushout squares into pullback squares.

Extend to simplicial objects. $F_m\mathcal{C}$ has objects $X_0 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow X_m$; $S_m\mathcal{C}$ has objects $0 \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow X_m$. You can come up with some obvious face maps to get simplicial objects $F_\bullet\mathcal{C}$, $S_\bullet\mathcal{C}$. If I apply i to S_\bullet , I get Waldhausen's S_\bullet construction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & * \\
X \mapsto (X=X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_m\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F_m} & S_m\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \\
(X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto X \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & *
\end{array}$$

I have a levelwise pullback square if I consider simplicial diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & * \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Phi F_\bullet\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \Phi S_\bullet\mathcal{C}
\end{array}$$

F_\bullet admits a contracting homotopy, gotten by taking $X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$ to $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$ (this fails to be a contracting homotopy on S_\bullet in the same way that the argument showing EG is contractible doesn't work on BG). So I should expect the bottom left to be trivial. The problem is that something is wrong with geometric realizations in Wald_∞ . But in some enlargement of Wald_∞ I can expect this to be trivial. The idea is to force the S_\bullet construction to actually be the suspension.

So make the enlargement $\text{Wald}_\infty \hookrightarrow D\text{Wald}_\infty$ by formally making geometric realization. Now I want to make a localization, called $D_{fiss}\text{Wald}_\infty$, where the S_\bullet is forced to be the suspension. So the analogy works perfectly: I can form the adjoint to the inclusion of additive functors into filtered functors in the same way as P_1 is defined.

$$P_1\Phi \simeq \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^n \circ \Phi \circ S_\bullet^n.$$

Magic: you might think this colimit is hard to compute. But, you' be wrong: if $\Phi = i$, then this is $\Omega \circ I \circ S_\bullet$. This is exactly Waldhausen's construction. The higher P_n 's are all trivial for i .