

1.1. **Why we care.** Start with a ring  $A$  and look at the category  $P_A$  of finitely generated projective modules. Then  $(|P_A|, \oplus)$  (where  $|P_A|$  means classifying space of the category) is a coherently commutative (i.e.  $E_\infty$ ) monoid. Then  $K(A)$  is the group completion of this. There are many explicit constructions. Here's one:  $K(A) = BGL(A)^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

This can be extended to Waldhausen categories (categories with a notion of weak equivalence and cofibrations). Because of the group completion above,  $\pi_0 K(A)$  is the (algebraic) group completion of the monoid of finitely-generated projective  $A$ -modules (up to isomorphism), under direct sums. The idea is that it's sometimes easier to understand the group completion of something than the starting monoid.

**Examples 1.1.**

- If you take  $K$ -theory of the category of pointed sets, you get the sphere spectrum. (This is Barratt-Priddy-Quillen.)
- If you take  $K$ -theory of the category of vector bundles over  $X$ , you get topological  $K$ -theory  $K(X)$ .
- “ $K(\mathbb{Z})$  knows about arithmetic” – it's related to conjectures in number theory.
- $K(\Sigma^\infty \Omega M_+) \simeq \Sigma^\infty M_+ \vee Wh(M)$  (where the latter is the Whitehead spectrum, a geometric gadget related to the stable  $h$ -cobordisms of  $M$ ). This equivalence is a big theorem of Waldhausen-Jahren-Rognes. Weiss-Williams showed that you can use this to get information about diffeomorphism groups.

One of the first computations was the algebraic  $K$ -theory of finite fields.

**Theorem 1.2** (Quillen).

$$\pi_i K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/(q^j - 1)\mathbb{Z} & i = 2j - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof idea.* The main point is to define a homology isomorphism  $\theta : BGL(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \text{hofib}(\psi^q - 1)$  such that  $\ker \pi_i \theta = E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , elementary matrices. (Here  $\psi$  is a map  $BU \rightarrow BU$ .)  $\square$

Another important  $K$ -theory is  $K(\mathbb{Z})$ ; it's not complete, because of number theory. This calculation spans over 50 years; information is very scattered, but Weibel has a book about it, and another good reference is lecture notes by Soulé.

$K_0(\mathbb{Z})$  is easy: a finitely-generated projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is just a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and those are classified by dimension.

- $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$
- $K_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  (?)
- $K_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$

- $K_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/48$  (much harder, due to Lee-Szczarba)
- $K_4(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  (Rognes, about 2000, very hard)
- $K_5(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  (Soulé and others)

**Conjecture 1.3.**  $K_{4m}(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $m \geq 1$  (but  $K_8$  is not known).

**Theorem 1.4** (Kurihara, ~2000). *The above conjecture is equivalent to the Vandiver conjecture.*

Everything else is known.

**Theorem 1.5** (Quillen).  $K_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated.

**Theorem 1.6** (Borel).

$$K_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{finite} & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \text{finite} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $N \gg q$ :

- $H^q(SL_N(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\text{cts}}^q(SL_N(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$ .
- $H_{\text{cts}}^*(SL_N(\mathbb{R})) = \Lambda(e_5, e_9, \dots, e_{4 \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor})$
- $K_q(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \pi_q BSL(\mathbb{Z})^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}$

□

The rest of the calculation of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$  follows from:

**Theorem 1.7** (Soulé/Dwyer-Friedlander, Voevodsky-Rost).

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}_p(n)) \\ K_{2n-2}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}_p(n)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $p$  an odd prime.

A slightly more general series of techniques is given by trace methods. The idea: map  $K$ -theory to a more treatable object:  $THH$  or  $TC$ . This construction is due to Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen. These methods were introduced for figuring out the  $K$ -theoretic Novikov conjecture, which says that the assembly map

$$BG_+ \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}[G])$$

is rationally split injective assuming some finiteness conditions on  $G$ . (This is due to BHM.)

Waldhausen showed that there is a map  $\Sigma_+^\infty X \rightarrow K(\Sigma^\infty \Omega X_+) =: A(X)$  that has a splitting.

If  $I \subset A$  is an ideal, let  $\tilde{K}(A) := \text{hofib}(K(A) \rightarrow K(A/I))$ . For example, if  $I = (x)$  and  $A = k[x]/x^n$  for a perfect field, then

$$\tilde{K}(k[x]/x^n) = \begin{cases} W_{nk}(k)/V_n(W_q(h)) & i = 2q - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Here  $V_n$  is the Verschiebung and  $W$  is Witt vectors.) This is due to Hesselholt-Madsen.

You can get information about  $K(S)$  in terms of  $K(\mathbb{Z})$ .

1.2. **The trace.** Topological Hochschild homology is:

$$THH(A) = A \hat{\otimes} S^1$$

Think of this as configurations of points in  $S^1$  with labels in  $A$ . If  $A$  is not commutative you can still do this. There is an  $S^1$  action on  $THH(A)$ . You can talk about the fixed points of this action. Then topological cyclic homology  $TC(A)$  is defined using those fixed points.

The trace is a map  $K(A) \rightarrow TC(A)$ . You can extend the  $THH$  construction to categories. The map  $K(A) \rightarrow TC(A)$  roughly takes  $c \mapsto$  the configuration of points labelled by  $\mathbb{1}_c$ .

**Theorem 1.8** (Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy). *If  $B \rightarrow A$  is surjective and nilpotent fiber in  $\pi_0$  then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(B) & \longrightarrow & TC(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(A) & \longrightarrow & TC(A) \end{array}$$

*is homotopy cartesian (after  $p$ -completion).*

One example of such a map is the Hurewicz map, which gives rise to a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(S) & \longrightarrow & TC(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & TC(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

$K(\mathbb{Z})$  is more-or-less understood.  $TC(S)$  is known, by work of BHM, and  $TC(\mathbb{Z})$  is known, due to Bökstedt-Madsen and Rognes. Blumberg-Mandell used this to show that  $K(S)_p^\wedge \rightarrow TC(S)_p^\wedge \times K(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$  is split injective (in  $\pi_*$ ) for all odd  $p$ .

The proof was scattered over 25 years. It's the main application of Goodwillie calculus.

*Proof idea.*

- Dundas: reduce to simplicial rings.
- Goodwillie: reduce to rings. If you have a simplicial ring, you can either take the  $K$ -theory in the category of simplicial rings, or you can take  $K$ -theory levelwise (much easier). In general, these are not at all the same thing, but it works out for the homotopy fiber  $K(B) \rightarrow K(A)$ .
- Reduce inductively to split square-zero extensions  $A \times M \rightarrow A$ .

- Extend  $K(A \rtimes M)$  to a functor: make the Dold-Thom construction  $M(-)$  (bimodule of configurations of points in your space with labels in  $M$ ). So you get a functor  $K(A \rtimes M(-)) : \text{Top}_* \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ . Do the same for  $TC$ .
- Use calculus: show that  $D_1K \simeq D_1TC$ , by showing  $D_1K \simeq THH(A, M(S^1 \wedge -)) \simeq D_1TC$  (actually for the last equivalence you have to  $p$ -complete. This is due to Dundas-McCarthy and Hesselholt). By calculus,  $\widetilde{K} \simeq \widetilde{TC}$ .

□