## 18.336 Homework 2 hints :: Spring 2010

- Question 2 setup. The boundary conditions for u and v may look complicated, but they are the only
  ones that are compatible with those for p. Disregard the fact that f(x)f(y) does not vanish exactly at
  the boundary it does to good accuracy. You get partial credit for implementing periodic boundary
  conditions instead of those specified (however you will be slightly handicapped in homework 3 where
  it will be asked to benchmark your code.)
- Question 2(c). There should be one amplitude to keep track of per equation, so the plane wave solution should be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_j^n \\ V_j^n \\ P_j^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_U^n \\ \rho_V^n \\ \rho_P^n \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x_j}}$$

Since there are two spatial dimensions, **k** means  $(k_1, k_2)$ , and  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x_j} = k_1 j_1 \Delta x + k_2 j_2 \Delta x$ . The amplification "factor" is now a 3-by-3 matrix  $G(\mathbf{k})$ , such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_j^{n+1} \\ V_j^{n+1} \\ P_j^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = G(\mathbf{k}) \begin{pmatrix} U_j^n \\ V_j^n \\ P_j^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Stability means that the eigenvalues of  $G(\mathbf{k})$  are less than or equal to one in modulus.

- Question 4. A two-step method is initialized from the solution at steps n = 0 and n = 1, but the initial condition only gives n = 0. In practice, this is resolved by computing the solution accurately to time  $t^1 = \Delta t$  by some other method, in such a way that the one-step error committed is of the same order as that of leap-frog,  $O((\Delta t)^3)$ . This can be done by taking several tiny steps of a first-order method, or one step by a higher-order method like Runge-Kutta 2. The question of stability does not pose itself for this first step (why?).
- Question 4. Since the scheme relates the solution at steps n 1, n, and n + 1, the von Neumann analysis is more complicated. You can either write a quadratic equation for the 3-by-3 amplification matrix, or you can consider that your vector of unknowns has 6 components corresponding to two consecutive times. For instance, you may write

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{j}^{n+1} \\ V_{j}^{n+1} \\ P_{j}^{n+1} \\ U_{j}^{n} \\ V_{j}^{n} \\ P_{j}^{n} \end{pmatrix} = G(\mathbf{k}) \begin{pmatrix} U_{j}^{n} \\ V_{j}^{n} \\ P_{j}^{n} \\ U_{j}^{n-1} \\ V_{j}^{n-1} \\ P_{j}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and use a similar plane wave solution as above, with 6 amplitudes. Note that  $G(\mathbf{k})$  is 6-by-6, with a 2by-2 block structure. The lower-left block should be the identity. Don't waste your time computing the determinant of the 6-by-6 matrix to calculate the eigenvalues; since the matrix is sparse you can start by eliminating the bottom three unknowns in  $(G(\mathbf{k}) - \lambda I)v = 0$  by substitution. I guess Mathematica could help you too.