

# Higher real $K$ -theories

Arnav Tripathy

May 15, 2013

## 1 Real $K$ -theory

Real  $K$ -theory is represented by the spectrum  $KO$ . In general, we care about  $KO$  because we care about real vector bundles. As homotopy theorists, we care about  $KO$  because we care about  $\mathrm{Im} J$ , which shows up as the fiber of a map on  $p$ -completed  $KO$ . This gives us the height 1 information in  $\pi_* S$ . The only bad prime at height 1 is  $p = 2$ , which Vitaly avoided during his talk; its badness corresponds to a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{S}_1$ .

An upper bound for  $\mathrm{im} J$  is given by the Adams conjecture, which says morally that  $\Psi^p$  wants to be a Frobenius map. If this is true, then  $\mathrm{im} J$  in dimension  $k$  is a quotient of a group whose cardinality is the denominator  $m(2k)$  of  $\frac{B_{2k}}{4k}$ . A lower bound for  $\mathrm{im} J$  is given by the theory of cannibalistic classes and Adams modules over  $KO$ , and this puts  $|\mathrm{im} J| = m(2k)$  in dimension  $k$  up to a factor of 2. This is all in Adams's papers *On the groups  $J(X)$* , mostly in *II* and *IV*. We can repeat the whole theory of  $K$  after replacing it with  $KO$ , and we can indeed define  $KO = K^{\mathrm{h}C_2}$ .

At height  $n$ , the analogue of  $K$ -theory is  $E_n$ , which now has a  $\mathbb{S}_n$ -action. If  $p$  is bad, there's a large maximal finite subgroup  $G \subseteq \mathbb{S}_n$ , and we define  $EO_n = KO^{\mathrm{h}G}$ .

## 2 Stacks

We've been talking a lot about things like 'comodules over a Hopf algebroid,' which make algebraic sense but aren't terribly intuitive. It's time now to get some geometric intuition, inspired by schemes.

Recall that a scheme is a certain kind of functor  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . A **stack** is a certain kind of functor  $\mathbf{Ring} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gpd}$ , the category of groupoids – we've loosened things up a little by replacing 0-types with 1-types.

One way of thinking of this is a pair of schemes  $Y \xrightleftharpoons[t]{s} X$ , with structure maps like those of a groupoid.

(The two maps displayed are source and target maps.) Of course, to make things work out nicely you'll need technical conditions, as well – the source and target maps need to be fppf, for example.

Another to introduce stacks is to start with a scheme  $X$  with a  $G$ -action, and formally define the quotient  $X//G$ . This is a special case of the other definition.

In algebraic topology, the main source of stacks are the Hopf algebroids  $(E_*, E_*E)$ , where  $E$  is a ring spectrum such that  $E_*E$  is flat over  $E_*$ . Such an object gives us a stack  $\mathrm{Spec} E_*//\mathrm{Spec} E_*E$ , with a coaugmentation from the scheme  $\mathrm{Spec} E_*$ . In general, given a Hopf algebroid  $(A, \Gamma)$  with  $\Gamma$  flat over  $A$ , we have a pullback of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathrm{Spec} A \\ \downarrow t & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} A & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} A//\mathrm{Spec} \Gamma =: \mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma. \end{array}$$

A **quasicoherent sheaf** on a stack can be defined as a sheaf that pulls back to a quasicoherent sheaf on any scheme mapping to that stack. Since the above pullback square is a cover of  $\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma$ , we see that a qc sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma$  is just a qc sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  that pulls back to isomorphic sheaves on  $\mathrm{Spec} \Gamma$  along the two unit maps. That is, it's an  $A$ -module  $M$  with an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -modules  $M \otimes_A \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A M$ .

Of course we can peel off one of these  $\Gamma$ s, thus defining a qc sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma$  as an  $A$ -module  $M$  with a map of  $A$ -modules

$$M \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A M$$

satisfying some axioms. If you look at this, you'll realize it's exactly the definition of a  $\Gamma$ -comodule.

(You may be concerned since we haven't distinguished between left and right comodules, but in fact we shouldn't! The conjugation/inverse structure map gives us a canonical equivalence of the two categories.)

Given a qc sheaf (comodule)  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can take the cohomology  $R\mathrm{Hom}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma}, \mathcal{F})$ . In degree zero, this should just be the global sections of  $\mathcal{F}$ . What are the global sections of  $\mathcal{F}$  if it corresponds to the comodule  $M$ ? Well, they're just maps  $A \rightarrow M$  that agree whichever way you go around the pullback square – that is, they're  $eq(M \rightrightarrows M \otimes_A \Gamma)$ . This is the box or cotensor product  $A \square_\Gamma M$ , also known as  $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(A, M)$ .

Letting  $\mathrm{Sect}$  be the global sections functor, we have  $\mathrm{Sect}(\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma, -) = \mathrm{Sect}(\mathrm{Spec} A, -) \circ (A \square_\Gamma -)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  is affine, it has no higher cohomology, so the Grothendieck spectral sequence for the right derived functors of this composition collapses, giving

$$R\mathrm{Sect}^*(\mathrm{Spec} A//\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Cotor}_\Gamma^*(A, M).$$

That is, the mysterious  $\mathrm{Cotor}$  functor is just sheaf cohomology!

**Example 1.** Let  $E = MU$ . By the results of Quillen, the stack  $\mathrm{Spec} MU_*/MU_*MU$  is equivalent to the stack (now viewed as a functor from rings to groupoids) FGLs  $//$  strict isomorphisms. In fact, we can also write this as  $\mathrm{Spec} L//G$ , where  $L$  is the Lazard ring and  $G$  is a group acting on it. The ANSS starts from

$$MU_* \square_{MU_*MU}^R MU_* X \cong H^*(\mathrm{Spec} L//G, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^*(G, \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} L)) \cong H^*(G, MU_* X).$$

When  $X = S$ , this is just  $H^*(G, L)$ .

**Example 2.** The classical ASS comes from  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p//\mathcal{A}_*$ . But  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p$  is a point, so this is a global group quotient. If we replace  $\mathcal{A}_*$  with  $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$  or something else of finite rank, we get honest-to-god group cohomology.

### 3 Computing $E_n^{\mathrm{h}G}$

Consider the ANSS for  $BP$  converging to  $\pi_* E_n^{\mathrm{h}G}$ . We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} E_{n*} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{Spec} BP_* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{pFGc}. \end{array}$$

The lower right hand corner is the moduli stack of  $p$ -typical formal groups with coordinates. We don't actually get a map to  $\mathrm{Spec} BP_*$  since taking orbits gives us a formal group, not a formal group law.

**Proposition 3.**  $K(n)$ -locally,  $BP_* E_n^{\mathrm{h}G} \cong f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G}$  as a sheaf on  $\mathcal{M}_{pFGc}$ .

'The Morava change-of-rings theorem should now be obvious.'

As an aside,  $\mathcal{M}_{pFGc}$  has a stratification  $\mathcal{M}_{pFGc}^{=0} \cup \mathcal{M}_{pFGc}^{=1} \cup \dots$  by the height of the formal groups it's parametrizing. A sheaf being  $K(n)$ -local means that it's supported on the  $n$ th stratum. If  $\iota : \mathcal{M}^{=n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is the inclusion, we have  $R\Gamma(\iota_* \mathcal{F}) = R\Gamma(R\iota_* \mathcal{F})$  (since  $\iota$  is affine), which is  $R\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$  (by the Lurie spectral sequence). Also,  $\mathcal{M}^{=n}$  is the stack  $\mathrm{Spec} K(n)_*/\Sigma(n)_*$ , where

$$\Sigma(n)_* = K(n)_* \otimes_{BP_*} BP_* BP \otimes_{BP_*} K(n)_*.$$

There's also an  $E_n$ -local version of this, where we look at sheaves supported on  $\mathcal{M}^{\leq n}$ . The MCOR theorem appears as changing stacks to  $\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/E_{n*}E_n$ .

Now,  $\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is (probably) affine, so the above argument gives us

$$R\Gamma(Rf_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G}) \cong R\Gamma(\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} E_{n*}/G}) \cong H^*(G, E_{n*}),$$

and  $G$  is finite, so we can (probably) replace the ANSS with a homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.

**Example 4.** Let  $n = 2$  and  $p = 2$ . We can show that  $G \cong GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and  $\text{Spec } E_{2*} // G$  corresponds to the moduli stack of supersingular height 2 elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ , of which there's only one.  $E_{n*}$  is complete, so  $\text{Spec } E_{2*} // G = \text{Spf } E_{2*} // G$ . Serre-Tate deformation theory over a perfect field  $k$  of positive characteristic  $p$  tells us that a deformation of an abelian variety is the same as a deformation of its  $p$ -divisible group (the pro-abelian formal group of all its  $p$ -power torsion). Thus

$$\text{Spf}(E_{2*} // G) \cong \mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\text{ss}} \cong \mathcal{M}_{1,1,ss}^{\wedge},$$

the  $ss$  denoting ‘supersingular,’ and this is a sixfold quotient of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Weierstrass}}$ .