

## 20 The Kronecker-Weber theorem

In the previous lecture we established a relationship between finite groups of Dirichlet characters and subfields of cyclotomic fields. Specifically, we showed that there is a one-to-one correspondence between finite groups  $H$  of primitive Dirichlet characters of conductor dividing  $m$  and subfields  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  under which  $H$  can be viewed as the character group of the finite abelian group  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  and the Dedekind zeta function of  $K$  factors as

$$\zeta_K(x) = \prod_{\chi \in H} L(s, \chi).$$

Now suppose we are given an arbitrary finite abelian extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Does the character group of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  correspond to a group of Dirichlet characters, and can we then factor the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K(s)$  as a product of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions?

The answer is yes! This is a consequence of the *Kronecker-Weber theorem*, which states that every finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  lies in a cyclotomic field. This theorem was first stated in 1853 by Kronecker [2], who provided a partial proof for extensions of odd degree. Weber [7] published a proof 1886 that was believed to address the remaining cases; in fact Weber's proof contains some gaps (as noted in [5]), but in any case an alternative proof was given a few years later by Hilbert [1]. The proof we present here is adapted from [6, Ch. 14]

### 20.1 Local and global Kronecker-Weber theorems

We now state the (global) Kronecker-Weber theorem.

**Theorem 20.1.** *Every finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ .*

There is also a local version.

**Theorem 20.2.** *Every finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ .*

We first show that the local version implies the global one.

**Proposition 20.3.** *The local Kronecker-Weber theorem implies the global Kronecker-Weber theorem.*

*Proof.* Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite abelian extension of global fields. For each ramified prime  $p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , pick a prime  $\mathfrak{p}|p$  and let  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the completion of  $K$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  (the fact that  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois means that every  $\mathfrak{p}|p$  is ramified with the same ramification index; it makes no difference which  $\mathfrak{p}$  we pick). We have  $\text{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq D_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , by Theorem 11.19, so  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and the local Kronecker-Weber theorem implies that  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{m_p})$  for some  $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Let  $e_p = v_p(m_p)$ , put  $m := \prod_p p^{e_p}$  (this is a finite product), and let  $L = K(\zeta_m)$ . We will show  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , which implies  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ .

The field  $L = K \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  is a compositum of Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and is therefore Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q})$ , hence abelian (as recalled below, the Galois group of a compositum  $K_1 \cdots K_r$  of Galois extensions  $K_i/F$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of the  $\text{Gal}(K_i/F)$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime of  $L$  lying above a ramified prime  $\mathfrak{p}|p$ ; as above, the completion  $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of  $L$  at  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , since  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite abelian, and we have  $L_{\mathfrak{q}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ . Let  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then  $L_{\mathfrak{q}}/F_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is totally ramified

and  $\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{q}}/F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is isomorphic to the inertia group  $I_p := I_{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , by Theorem 11.19 (the  $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$  all coincide because  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian).

It follows from Corollary 10.20 that  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq F_{\mathfrak{q}}(\zeta_{p^{e_p}})$ , since  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{m_p})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{m_p/p^{e_p}})$  is unramified, and that  $L_{\mathfrak{q}} = F_{\mathfrak{q}}(\zeta_{p^{e_p}})$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{m/p^{e_p}})$  is unramified. Moreover, we have  $F_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{e_p}}) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{e_p}})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is totally ramified, and it follows that

$$I_p \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{q}}/F_{\mathfrak{q}}) \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{e_p}})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}.$$

Now let  $I$  be the group generated by the union of the groups  $I_p \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  for  $p|m$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is abelian, we have  $\bigcup I_p \subseteq \prod I_p$ , thus

$$\#I \leq \prod_{p|m} \#I_p = \prod_{p|m} \#(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_p}\mathbb{Z})^{\times} = \prod_{p|m} \phi(p^{e_p}) = \phi(m) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Each inertia fields  $L^{I_p}$  is unramified at  $p$  (see Proposition 7.11), as is  $L^I \subseteq L^{I_p}$ . So  $L^I/\mathbb{Q}$  is unramified, and therefore  $L^I = \mathbb{Q}$ , by Corollary 14.21. Thus

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : L^I] = \#I \leq [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) : \mathbb{Q}],$$

and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m) \subseteq L$ , so  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  as claimed and  $K \subseteq L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ .  $\square$

To prove the local Kronecker-Weber theorem we first reduce to the case of cyclic extensions of prime-power degree. Recall that if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two Galois extensions of a field  $K$  then their compositum  $L := L_1L_2$  is Galois over  $K$  with Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) : \sigma_1|_{L_1 \cap L_2} = \sigma_2|_{L_1 \cap L_2}\} \subseteq \text{Gal}(L_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(L_2/K).$$

The inclusion on the RHS is an equality if and only if  $L_1 \cap L_2 = K$ . Conversely, if  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq H_1 \times H_2$  then by defining  $L_2 := L^{H_1}$  and  $L_1 := L^{H_2}$  we have  $L = L_1L_2$  with  $L_1 \cap L_2 = K$ , and  $\text{Gal}(L_1/K) \simeq H_1$  and  $\text{Gal}(L_2/K) \simeq H_2$ .

It follows from the structure theorem for finite abelian groups that we may decompose any finite abelian extension  $L/K$  into a compositum  $L = L_1 \cdots L_r$  of linearly disjoint cyclic extensions  $L_i/K$  of prime-power degree. If each  $L_i$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_i})$ , then so does  $L$ . Indeed,  $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_1}) \cdots \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_r}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , where  $m := m_1 \cdots m_r$ .

To prove the local Kronecker-Weber theorem it thus suffices to consider cyclic extensions  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  of prime power degree  $\ell^r$ . There two distinct cases:  $\ell \neq p$  and  $\ell = p$ .

## 20.2 The local Kronecker-Weber theorem for $\ell \neq p$

**Proposition 20.4.** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a cyclic extension of degree  $\ell^r$  for some prime  $\ell \neq p$ . Then  $K$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $K$ ; then  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , by Corollary 10.19. The extension  $K/F$  is totally ramified, and it must be tamely ramified, since the ramification index is a power of  $\ell \neq p$ . By Theorem 11.8, we have  $K = F(\pi^{1/e})$  for some uniformizer  $\pi$ , with  $e = [K : F]$ . We may assume that  $\pi = -pu$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ , since  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified: if  $\mathfrak{q}|p$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  then the valuation  $v_{\mathfrak{q}}$  extends  $v_p$  with index  $e_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$  (by Theorem 8.20), so  $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(-pu) = v_p(-pu) = 1$ . The field  $K = F(\pi^{1/e})$  lies in the compositum of  $F((-p)^{1/e})$  and  $F(u^{1/e})$ , and we will show that both fields lie in a cyclotomic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The extension  $F(u^{1/e})/F$  is unramified, since  $v_p(\text{disc}(x^e - u)) = 0$  for  $p \nmid e$ , so  $F(u^{1/e})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified and  $F(u^{1/e}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . The field  $K(u^{1/e}) = K \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_k)$  is a compositum of abelian extensions, so  $K(u^{1/e})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is abelian, and it contains the subextension  $\mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/e})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which must be Galois (since it lies in an abelian extension) and totally ramified (by Theorem 11.5, since it is an Eisenstein extension). The field  $\mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/e})$  contains  $\zeta_e$  (take ratios of roots of  $x^e + p$ ) and is totally ramified, but  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_e)/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified (since  $p \nmid e$ ), so we must have  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_e) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Thus  $e \mid (p-1)$ , and by Lemma 20.5 below,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/e}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/(p-1)}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p),$$

It follows that  $F((-p)^{1/e}) = F \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/e}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n) \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{np})$ . We then have  $K \subseteq F(u^{1/e}) \cdot F((-p)^{1/e}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{np}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{knp})$  and may take  $m = knp$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 20.5.** *For any prime  $p$  we have  $\mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/(p-1)}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = (-p)^{1/(p-1)}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a root of the Eisenstein polynomial  $x^{p-1} + p$ , so the extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p((-p)^{1/(p-1)}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$  is totally ramified of degree  $p-1$ , and  $\alpha$  is a uniformizer (by Lemma 11.4 and Theorem 11.5). Let  $\pi = \zeta_p - 1$ . The minimal polynomial of  $\pi$  is

$$f(x) := \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x} = x^{p-1} + px^{p-2} + \cdots + p,$$

which is Eisenstein, so  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  is also totally ramified of degree  $p-1$ , and  $\pi$  is a uniformizer. We have  $u := -\pi^{p-1}/p \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ , so  $u$  is a unit in the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ . If we now put  $g(x) = x^{p-1} - u$  then  $g(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  and  $g'(1) = p-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , so by Hensel's Lemma 9.15 we can lift 1 to a root  $\beta$  of  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ .

We then have  $p\beta^{p-1} = pu = -\pi^{p-1}$ , so  $(\pi/\beta)^{p-1} + p = 0$ , and therefore  $\pi/\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  is a root of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  is Galois, this implies that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ , and since  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  both have degree  $p-1$ , the two fields coincide.  $\square$

To complete the proof of the local Kronecker-Weber theorem, we need to address the case  $\ell = p$ . Before doing so, we first recall some background on Kummer extensions.

### 20.3 A brief introduction to Kummer theory

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $K$  be a field of characteristic prime to  $n$  that contains a primitive  $n$ th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ . While we are specifically interested in the case where  $K$  is a local or global field, in this section  $K$  can be any field that satisfies these conditions.

For any  $a \in K$ , the field  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  is the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^n - a$  over  $K$ ; the notation  $\sqrt[n]{a}$  denotes a particular  $n$ th root of  $a$ , but it does not matter which root we pick because all the  $n$ th roots of  $a$  lie in  $L$  (if  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = 0$  then  $\alpha/\beta \in \zeta_n^i \in K$  for some  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $K(\alpha) = K(\beta)$ ). The polynomial  $f(x)$  is separable, since  $n$  is prime to the characteristic of  $K$ , so  $L$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ , and  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is cyclic, since we have an injective homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(L/K) &\hookrightarrow \langle \zeta_n \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ \sigma &\mapsto \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{a})}{\sqrt[n]{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

This homomorphism is an isomorphism if and only if  $x^n - a$  is irreducible.

Kummer's key observation is that the converse holds. In order to prove this we first recall a basic (but often omitted) lemma from Galois theory, originally due to Dedekind.

**Lemma 20.6.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of fields. The set  $\text{Aut}_K(L)$  is a linearly independent subset of the  $L$ -vector space of functions  $L \rightarrow L$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not. Let  $f := c_1\sigma_1 + \cdots + c_r\sigma_r = 0$  with  $c_i \in L$ ,  $\sigma_i \in \text{Aut}_K(L)$ , and  $r$  minimal; we must have  $r > 1$ , the  $c_i$  nonzero, and the  $\sigma_i$  distinct. Choose  $\alpha \in L$  so  $\sigma_1(\alpha) \neq \sigma_r(\alpha)$  (possible since  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_r$ ). We have  $f(\beta) = 0$  for all  $\beta \in L$ , and the same applies to  $f(\alpha\beta) - \sigma_1(\alpha)f(\beta)$ , which yields a shorter relation  $c'_2\sigma_2 + \cdots + c'_r\sigma_r = 0$ , where  $c'_i = c_i\sigma_i(\alpha) - c_i\sigma_1(\alpha)$  with  $c'_1 = 0$ , which is nontrivial because  $c'_r \neq 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 20.7.** *Let  $L/K$  be a cyclic field extension of degree  $n$  with Galois group  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  and suppose  $L$  contains an  $n$ th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ . Then  $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta_n\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in L$ .*

*Proof.* The automorphism  $\sigma$  is a linear transformation of  $L$  with characteristic polynomial  $x^n - 1$ ; by Lemma 20.6, this must be its minimal polynomial, since  $\{1, \sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent. Therefore  $\zeta_n$  is eigenvalue of  $\sigma$ , and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Remark 20.8.** Corollary 20.7 is a special case of HILBERT'S THEOREM 90, which replaces  $\zeta_n$  with any element  $u$  of norm  $N_{L/K}(u) = 1$ ; see [4, Thm. VI.6.1], for example.

**Lemma 20.9.** *Let  $K$  be a field, let  $n \geq 1$  be prime to the characteristic of  $K$ , and assume  $\zeta_n \in K$ . If  $L/K$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $n$  then  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  for some  $a \in K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L/K$  be a cyclic extension of degree  $n$  with  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . By Corollary 20.7, there exists an element  $\alpha \in L$  for which  $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta_n\alpha$ . We have

$$\sigma(\alpha^n) = \sigma(\alpha)^n = (\zeta_n\alpha)^n = \alpha^n,$$

thus  $a = \alpha^n$  is invariant under the action of  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and therefore lies in  $K$ . Moreover, the orbit  $\{\alpha, \zeta_n\alpha, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}\alpha\}$  of  $\alpha$  under the action of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  has order  $n$ , so  $L = K(\alpha) = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 20.10.** Let  $K$  be a field with algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$ , let  $n \geq 1$  be prime to the characteristic of  $K$ , and assume  $\zeta_n \in K$ . The *Kummer pairing* is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \times K^\times &\rightarrow \langle \zeta_n \rangle \\ \langle \sigma, a \rangle &\mapsto \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{a})}{\sqrt[n]{a}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sqrt[n]{a}$  is any  $n$ th root of  $a$  in  $\overline{K}^\times$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two  $n$ th roots of  $a$ , then  $(\alpha/\beta)^n = 1$ , so  $\alpha/\beta \in \langle \zeta_n \rangle \subseteq K$  is fixed by  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma(\beta)/\beta = \sigma(\beta)/\beta \cdot \sigma(\alpha/\beta)/(\alpha/\beta) = \sigma(\alpha)/\alpha$ , so the value of  $\langle \sigma, a \rangle$  does not depend on the choice of  $\sqrt[n]{a}$ . If  $a \in K^{\times n}$ , then  $\langle \sigma, a \rangle = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ , so the Kummer pairing depends only on the image of  $a$  in  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$ ; thus we may also view it as a pairing on  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \times K^\times/K^{\times n}$ .

**Theorem 20.11.** *Let  $K$  be a field, let  $n \geq 1$  be prime to the characteristic of  $K$  with  $\zeta_n \in K$ . The Kummer pairing induces an isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: K^\times/K^{\times n} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \langle \zeta_n \rangle) \\ a &\mapsto (\sigma \mapsto \langle \sigma, a \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For each  $a \in K^\times - K^{\times n}$ , if we pick an  $n$ th root  $\alpha \in \overline{K}$  of  $a$  then the extension  $K(\alpha)/K$  will be non-trivial and some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  must act nontrivially on  $\alpha$ . For this  $\sigma$  we have  $\langle \sigma, a \rangle \neq 1$ , so  $a \notin \ker \Phi$ ; thus  $\Phi$  is injective.

Now let  $f: \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \langle \zeta_n \rangle$  be a homomorphism, and put  $d := \# \text{im } f$ ,  $H := \ker f$ , and  $L := \overline{K}^H$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $L/K$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $d$ , and Lemma 20.9 implies that  $L = K(\sqrt[d]{a})$  for some  $a \in K$ . If we put  $e = n/d$  and consider the homomorphisms  $\Phi(a^{me})$  for  $m \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , these homomorphisms are all distinct (because the  $a^{me}$  are distinct modulo  $K^{\times n}$  and  $\Phi$  is injective), and they all have the same kernel and image as  $f$  (their kernels have the same fixed field  $L$  because  $L$  contains all the  $d$ th roots of  $a$ ). There are  $\#(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times = \#\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$  distinct isomorphisms  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ , one of which corresponds to  $f$ , and each corresponds to one of the  $\Phi(a^{me})$ . It follows that  $f = \Phi(a^{me})$  for some  $m \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , thus  $\Phi$  is surjective.  $\square$

Given a finite subgroup  $A$  of  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$ , we can choose  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in K^\times$  so that the images  $\bar{a}_i$  of the  $a_i$  in  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$  form a basis for the abelian group  $A$ ; this means

$$A = \langle \bar{a}_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \bar{a}_r \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_r\mathbb{Z},$$

where  $n_i|n$  is the order of  $\bar{a}_i$  in  $A$ . For each  $a_i$ , the fixed field of the kernel of  $\Phi(\bar{a}_i)$  is a cyclic extension of  $K$  isomorphic to  $L_i := K(\sqrt[n_i]{a_i})$ , as in the proof of Theorem 20.11. The fields  $L_i$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$  (because the  $a_i$  correspond to independent generators of  $A$ ), and their compositum  $L = K(\sqrt[n_1]{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt[n_r]{a_r})$  has Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq A$ , an abelian group whose exponent divides  $n$ ; such fields  $L$  are called *n-Kummer extensions* of  $K$ .

Conversely, given an  $n$ -Kummer extension  $L/K$ , we can iteratively apply Lemma 20.9 to put  $L$  in the form  $L = K(\sqrt[n_1]{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt[n_r]{a_r})$  with each  $a_i \in K^\times$  and  $n_i|n$ , and the images of the  $a_i$  in  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$  then generate a subgroup  $A$  corresponding to  $L$  as above. We thus have a 1-to-1 correspondence between finite subgroups of  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$  and (finite)  $n$ -Kummer extensions of  $K$  (this correspondence also extends to infinite subgroups provided we put a suitable topology on the groups).

So far we have been assuming that  $K$  contains all the  $n$ th roots of unity. To help handle situations where this is not necessarily the case, we rely on the following lemma, in which we restrict to the case that  $n$  is a prime (or an odd prime power) so that  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is cyclic (the definition of  $\omega$  in the statement of the lemma does not make sense otherwise).

**Lemma 20.12.** *Let  $n$  be a prime (or an odd prime power), let  $F$  be a field of characteristic prime to  $n$ , let  $K = F(\zeta_n)$ , and let  $L = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$  for some  $a \in K^\times$ . Define the homomorphism  $\omega: \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  by  $\zeta_n^{\omega(\sigma)} = \sigma(\zeta_n)$ . If  $L/F$  is abelian then  $\sigma(a)/a^{\omega(\sigma)} \in K^{\times n}$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ , let  $H = \text{Gal}(L/K) \subseteq G$ , and let  $A$  be the subgroup of  $K^\times/K^{\times n}$  generated by  $a$ . The Kummer pairing induces a bilinear pairing  $H \times A \rightarrow \langle \zeta_n \rangle$  that is compatible with the Galois action of  $\text{Gal}(K/F) \simeq G/H$ . In particular, we have

$$\langle h, a^{\omega(\sigma)} \rangle = \langle h, a \rangle^{\omega(\sigma)} = \sigma(\langle h, a \rangle) = \langle h^\sigma, \sigma(a) \rangle = \langle h, \sigma(a) \rangle$$

for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$  and  $h \in H$ ; the Galois action on  $H$  is by conjugation (lift  $\sigma$  to  $G$  and conjugate there), but it is trivial because  $G$  is abelian (so  $h^\sigma = h$ ). The isomorphism  $\Phi$  induced by the Kummer pairing is injective, so  $a^{\omega(\sigma)} \equiv \sigma(a) \pmod{K^{\times n}}$ .  $\square$

## 20.4 The local Kronecker-Weber theorem for $\ell = p > 2$

We are now ready to prove the local Kronecker-Weber theorem in the case  $\ell = p > 2$ .

**Theorem 20.13.** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a cyclic extension of odd degree  $p^r$ . Then  $K$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ .*

*Proof.* There are two obvious candidates for  $K$ , namely, the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{pr}-1})$ , which by Corollary 10.19 is an unramified extension of degree  $p^r$ , and the index  $p-1$  subfield of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{r+1}})$ , which by Corollary 10.20 is a totally ramified extension of degree  $p^r$  (the  $p^{r+1}$ -cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{p^{r+1}}(x)$  has degree  $\phi(p^{r+1}) = p^r(p-1)$  and remains irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ). If  $K$  is contained in the compositum of these two fields then  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ , where  $m := (p^{pr}-1)(p^{r+1})$  and the theorem holds. Otherwise, the field  $K(\zeta_m)$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with

$$\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z},$$

for some  $s > 0$ ; the first factor comes from the Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{pr}-1})$ , the second two factors come from the Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{r+1}})$  (note  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{r+1}}) \cap \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{pr}-1}) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ), and the last factor comes from the fact that we are assuming  $K \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m)$ , so  $\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_m))$  is nontrivial and must have order  $p^s$  for some  $s \in [1, r]$ .

It follows that the abelian group  $\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  has a quotient isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ , and the subfield of  $K(\zeta_m)$  corresponding to this quotient is an abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ . By Lemma 20.14 below, no such field exists.  $\square$

To prove that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  admits no  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -extensions our strategy is to use Kummer theory to show that the corresponding subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^{\times p}$  given by Theorem 20.11 must have  $p$ -rank 2 and therefore cannot exist. For an alternative proof that uses higher ramification groups instead of Kummer theory, see Problem Set 10.

**Lemma 20.14.** *For  $p > 2$  no extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $K$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Then  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is linearly disjoint from  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , since the order of  $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is not divisible by  $p$ , and  $\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$  is a  $p$ -Kummer extension. There is thus a subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^{\times p}$  isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ , for which  $K(\zeta_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p, A^{1/p})$ , where  $A^{1/p} := \{\sqrt[p]{a} : a \in A\}$  (here we identify elements of  $A$  by representatives in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^\times$  that are determined only up to  $p$ th powers).

For any  $a \in A$ , the extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{a})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is abelian, so by Lemma 20.12, we have

$$\sigma(a)/a^{\omega(\sigma)} \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^{\times p} \tag{1}$$

for all  $\sigma \in G$ , where  $\omega: G \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is the isomorphism defined by  $\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{\omega(\sigma)}$ .

The field  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  is a totally tamely ramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of degree  $p-1$  with residue field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ; as shown in the proof of Lemma 20.5, we may take  $\pi := \zeta_p - 1$  as a uniformizer. For each  $a \in A$  we have

$$v_\pi(a) = v_\pi(\sigma(a)) \equiv \omega(\sigma)v_\pi(a) \pmod{p},$$

thus  $(1 - \omega(\sigma))v_\pi(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , for all  $\sigma \in G$ , hence for all  $\omega(\sigma) \in \omega(G) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ; for  $p > 2$ , this implies  $v_\pi(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Now  $a$  is determined only up to  $p$ th-powers, so

after multiplying by  $\pi^{-v_\pi(a)}$  we may assume  $v_\pi(a) = 0$ , and after multiplying by a suitable power of  $\zeta_{p-1}^p = \zeta_{p-1}$ , we may assume  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ , since the image of  $\zeta_{p-1}$  generates the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  of the residue field.

We may thus assume that  $A \subseteq U_1/U_1^p$ , where  $U_1 := \{u \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}\}$ . Each  $u \in U_1$  can be written as a power series in  $\pi$  with integer coefficients in  $[0, p-1]$  and constant coefficient 1.

We have  $\zeta_p \in U_1$ , since  $\zeta_p = 1 + \pi$ , and  $\zeta_p^b = 1 + b\pi + O(\pi^2)$  for integers  $b \in [0, p-1]$ .<sup>1</sup> For  $a \in A \subseteq U_1$ , we can choose  $b$  so that for some integer  $c \in [0, p-1]$  and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  we have

$$a = \zeta_p^b(1 + c\pi^e + O(\pi^{e+1})).$$

For  $\sigma \in G$  we have

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi)}{\pi} = \frac{\sigma(\zeta_p - 1)}{\zeta_p - 1} = \frac{\zeta_p^{\omega(\sigma)} - 1}{\zeta_p - 1} = \zeta_p^{\omega(\sigma)-1} + \dots + \zeta_p + 1 \equiv \omega(\sigma) \pmod{\pi},$$

since each term in the sum is congruent to 1 modulo  $\pi = (\zeta_p - 1)$ ; here we are representing  $\omega(\sigma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  as an integer in  $[1, p-1]$ . Thus  $\sigma(\pi) \equiv \omega(\sigma)\pi \pmod{\pi}$  and

$$\sigma(a) = \zeta_p^{b\omega(\sigma)}(1 + c\omega(\sigma)^e\pi^e + O(\pi^{e+1})).$$

We also have

$$a^{\omega(\sigma)} = \zeta_p^{b\omega(\sigma)}(1 + c\omega(\sigma)\pi^e + O(\pi^{e+1})).$$

As we showed for  $a$  above, any  $u \in U_1$  can be written as  $u = \zeta_p^b u_1$  with  $u_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^2}$ . Each interior term in the binomial expansion of  $u_1^p = (1 + O(\pi^2))^p$  other than leading 1 is a multiple of  $p\pi^2$  with  $v_\pi(p\pi^2) = p-1+2 = p+1$ , and it follows that  $u^p = u_1^p \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}$ . Thus every element of  $U_1^p$  is congruent to 1 modulo  $\pi^{p+1}$ , and as you will show on the problem set, the converse holds, that is,  $U_1^p = \{u \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}\}$ .

We know from (1) that  $\sigma(a)/a^{\omega(\sigma)} \in U_1^p$ , so  $\sigma(a) = a^{\omega(\sigma)}(1 + O(\pi^{p+1}))$  and therefore

$$\sigma(a) \equiv a^{\omega(\sigma)} \pmod{\pi^{p+1}}.$$

For  $e \leq p$  this is possible only if  $\omega(\sigma) = \omega(\sigma)^e$  for every  $\sigma \in G$ , equivalently, for every  $\omega(\sigma) \in \sigma(G) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , but then  $e \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$  and we must have  $e \geq p$ , since  $e \geq 2$ .

We have shown that every  $a \in A$  is represented by an element  $\zeta_p^b(1 + c\pi^p + O(\pi^{p+1})) \in U_1$  with  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore lies in the subgroup of  $U_1/U_1^p$  generated by  $\zeta_p$  and  $(1 + \pi^p)$ , which is an abelian group of exponent  $p$  generated by 2 elements, hence isomorphic to a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ . But this contradicts  $A \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ .  $\square$

**Remark 20.15.** In the proof of Lemma 20.14 above, the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^{\times p}$  that lie in  $A$  are quite special. For most  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)^\times$  the extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{a})/\mathbb{Q}_p$  will not be abelian, even though the extensions  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt[p]{a})/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p$  both are, and we typically will not have  $v_\pi(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (consider  $a = \pi$ ). The key point is that we started with an abelian extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , so  $K(\zeta_p) = K \cdot \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  is an abelian extension containing  $A^{1/p}$ ; this ensures that for  $a \in A$  the fields  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{a})$  are abelian.

**Remark 20.16.** There is an alternative proof to Lemma 20.14 that is much more explicit. One can show that for  $p > 2$  the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  admits exactly  $p+1$  cyclic extensions of degree  $p$ : the unramified extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^p-1})$  and the extensions  $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(x^p + px^{p-1} + p(1+ap))$ , for integers  $a \in [0, p-1]$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  cannot have a  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$  extension, since this would imply the existence of  $p^2 + p + 1$  cyclic extensions of degree  $p$  (one for each index  $p$  subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ ). See [3] for details.

<sup>1</sup>The expression  $O(\pi^n)$  denotes a power series in  $\pi$  that is divisible by  $\pi^n$ .

For  $p = 2$  there is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  with Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{24}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_3) \cdot \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_8)$ , so the proof we used for  $p > 2$  will not work. However we can apply a completely analogous argument.

**Theorem 20.17.** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_2$  be a cyclic extension of degree  $2^r$ . Then  $K$  lies in a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_m)$ .*

*Proof.* The unramified cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{2^{2r-1}})$  has Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z}$ , and the totally ramified cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_{2^{r+2}})$  has Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z}$  (up to isomorphism). Let  $m = (2^{2^r} - 1)(2^{r+2})$ . If  $K$  is not contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_m)$  then

$$\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}_2) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2^s\mathbb{Z} & \text{with } 1 \leq s \leq r \\ \text{or} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2^s\mathbb{Z} & \text{with } 2 \leq s \leq r \end{cases}$$

and thus admits a quotient isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^3$ . By Lemma 20.18 below, no extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  has either of these Galois groups, thus  $K$  must lie in  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_m)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 20.18.** *No extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  has Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^3$ .*

*Proof.* As you proved on Problem Set 4, there are exactly 7 quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ ; it follows that no extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  has Galois group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ , since this group has 15 subgroups of index 2 whose fixed fields would yield 15 distinct quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ .

As you proved on Problem Set 5, there are only finitely many extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  of any fixed degree  $d$ , and these can be enumerated by considering Eisenstein polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}_2[x]$  of degrees dividing  $d$  up to an equivalence relation implied by Krasner's lemma. One finds that there are 59 quartic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ , of which 12 are cyclic; you can find a list of them [here](#). It follows that no extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  has Galois group  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^3$ , since this group has 28 subgroups whose fixed fields would yield 28 distinct cyclic quartic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] David Hilbert, *Ein neuer Beweis des Kroneckerschen Fundamentalsatzes über Abelsche Zahlkörper*, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klass (1896), 29–39.
- [2] Leopold Kronecker, *Über die algebraisch auflösbaren Gleichungen I* (1853), in *Leopold Kronecker's Werke, Part 4* (ed. K. Hensel), AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1968.
- [3] John W. Jones and David P. Roberts, *A database of local fields*, J. Symbolic Comput. **41** (2006), 80–97.
- [4] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd edition, Springer, 2002.
- [5] Olaf Neumann, *Two proofs of the Kronecker-Weber theorem “according to Kronecker, and Weber”*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **323** (1981), 105–126.
- [6] Lawrence C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, 2nd edition, Springer, 1997.
- [7] Heinrich M. Weber, *Theorie der Abel'schen Zahlkörper*, Acta Mathematica **8** (1886), 193–263.