

**Description**

These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 16-18. Your solutions are to be written up in latex (you can use the latex source for the problem set as a template) and submitted as a pdf-file with a filename of the form `SurnamePset9.pdf` via e-mail to `drew@math.mit.edu` by **5pm** on the date due. Collaboration is permitted/encouraged, but you must identify your collaborators, and any references you consulted. If there are none, write “**Sources consulted: none**” at the top of your problem set. The first person to spot each non-trivial typo/error in any of the problem sets or lecture notes will receive 1-5 points of extra credit.

**Instructions:** Pick two of problems 1-5 to solve and write up your answers in latex, then complete the survey problem 6.

**Problem 1. Mertens’ Theorems (50 points)**

In his 1874 paper Mertens’ proved three asymptotic bounds on sums over primes; he necessarily did not rely on the Prime Number Theorem, which was proved in 1896.

Define the constants

$$\alpha := - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log \zeta(n) \approx 0.315718, \quad \gamma := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} - \log x \right) \approx 0.577216,$$

where  $\mu(n)$  is the Möbius function, and let  $\Lambda(n)$  denote the von Mangoldt function:  $\Lambda(n) = \log p$  when  $n = p^e$  is a prime power ( $e \geq 1$ ) and  $\Lambda(n) = 0$  otherwise.

**Theorem** (Mertens). *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$  we have the following asymptotic bounds:*

- (1)  $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1);$
- (2)  $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + \gamma - \alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right);$
- (3)  $\sum_{p \leq x} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = -\log \log x - \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right).$

**Remark.** Mertens showed that the  $O(1)$  term in (1) has absolute value bounded by 2, but we won’t need this. One often sees (3) written as  $\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma + o(1)}}{\log x}$  but our version is a slightly sharper statement and reflects what Mertens actually proved.

(a) Show that  $\log(n) = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$  and derive the bounds

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \log n = \sum_{d \leq x} \Lambda(d) \lfloor \frac{x}{d} \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d} = \log x + O(1).$$

Use these bounds and Stirling’s formula to prove (1).

(b) Let  $A(x)$  denote the sum in (1). Prove that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{A(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{A(t)}{t(\log t)^2} dt = \log \log x + c + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

for some constant  $c$ .

(c) Prove that for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{s} \log \zeta(s) = \int_2^\infty \frac{\pi(t) dt}{t(t^s - 1)},$$

and for  $t > 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{t^2(t-1)} = - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\mu(n)}{t(t^n - 1)}.$$

(d) Prove that

$$\sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_p \frac{1}{np^n} = \int_2^\infty \frac{\pi(t) dt}{t^2(t-1)} = \alpha$$

and deduce that (2) and (3) are equivalent.

**Remark.** Parts (b) and (d) imply that (3) holds if we replace  $\gamma$  with  $c' = c + \alpha$ . Problem 2 gives a proof that in fact  $c' = \gamma$ , so both (2) and (3) hold.

(e) Let  $P(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + c + \epsilon(x)$  with  $\epsilon(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$  as in (b). Show that

$$\pi(x) = \int_{2^-}^x t dP(t) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

and that with the error bound  $\epsilon(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$  one obtains  $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ . Thus a slightly stronger version of Mertens' 2nd theorem implies the prime number theorem.

## Problem 2. Mellin transforms of Dirichlet series (50 points)

Recall that an *arithmetic function* is a function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and it defines a Dirichlet series

$$D_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)n^{-s},$$

which we may view a function of the complex variable  $s$  on any region  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma \geq 0$  in which the series converges. Associated to any arithmetic function  $f$  is the *summatory function*  $S_f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$S_f(x) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} f(n),$$

and the *logarithmic summatory function*  $L_f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$L_f(x) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n}.$$

(a) Show that  $D_f(s)$  is related to  $S_f(x)$  and  $L_f(x)$  via the formulas

$$D_f(s) = s \int_1^\infty S_f(t) t^{-s-1} dt \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > \max(0, \sigma),$$

$$D_f(s) = (s-1) \int_1^\infty L_f(t) t^{-s} dt \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > \max(1, \sigma).$$

(b) By Applying (a) to  $f = 1$ , show that

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^\infty \{t\} t^{-s-1} dt \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0),$$

where  $\{t\} := t - [t]$ . Use this to show that as  $s \rightarrow 1$  we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma + O(|s-1|).$$

(c) Let

$$P(x) := - \sum_{p \leq x} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

be the negation of the sum in Mertens' 3rd theorem (see Problem 1), and let  $\kappa(n)$  be the arithmetic function defined by  $\kappa(n) = 1/k$  when  $n = p^k$  is a prime power ( $k \geq 1$ ) and  $\kappa(n) = 0$  otherwise (as in Problem 4.e on Problem set 8). Show that

$$P(x) = L_\kappa(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right).$$

(d) Show that  $\log \zeta(s) = D_\kappa(s)$  and use (b) to prove that

$$D_\kappa(s) = \log \frac{1}{s-1} + O(s-1)$$

as  $s \rightarrow 1^+$  (along the real line).

From parts (b) and (d) of Problem 1 we know that

$$P(x) = \log \log x + C + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \tag{1}$$

for some constant  $C$  which, according to Mertens' 3rd theorem, is equal to Euler's constant  $\gamma$ . You are now in a position to prove this.

(e) From (c) and (1) we know that  $L_\kappa = \log \log x + C + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$ . By plugging this into to the formula relating  $D_\kappa$  and  $L_\kappa$  from (a), show that we have

$$D_\kappa(s) = \log \frac{1}{s-1} + C + \int_0^\infty (\log t) e^{-t} dt + O\left((s-1) \log \frac{1}{s-1}\right)$$

as  $s \rightarrow 1^+$ .

(f) By combining (d) and (e) and letting  $s \rightarrow 1^+$  show that

$$C = - \int_0^\infty (\log t) e^{-t} dt.$$

Then show that the integral is equal to  $\Gamma'(1)$ , and prove that  $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$  (you can do this either by using (b) and the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ , or by evaluating the *digamma function*  $\Psi(s) := \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$  at 1).

**Problem 3. Dirichlet density (50 points)**

Let  $K$  be a global field and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of nonzero prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The *natural density* of a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is defined by

$$\delta(S) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in S : N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x\}}$$

(whenever this limit exists), and its *Dirichlet density* is defined by

$$d(S) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}}$$

(whenever this limit exists). Here  $N(\mathfrak{p}) := [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{p}]$  is the cardinality of the residue field.

(a) Show that the denominator in  $d(S)$  is finite for real  $s > 1$  and that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} \sim \log\left(\frac{1}{s-1}\right)$$

as  $s \rightarrow 1^+$ .

- (b) Let  $S$  and  $T$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  with Dirichlet densities. Show that  $S \subseteq T$  implies  $d(S) \leq d(T)$ , and that  $d(S) = 0$  when  $S$  is finite. Conclude that if  $S$  and  $T$  differ by a finite set (that is, the sets  $S - T$  and  $T - S$  are both finite), then  $d(S) = d(T)$ .
- (c) Suppose  $S, T \subset \mathcal{P}$  have finite intersection. Show that if any two of the set  $S$ ,  $T$ , and  $S \cup T$  have a Dirichlet density then so does the third and  $d(S \cup T) = d(S) + d(T)$ .
- (d) Suppose  $K$  is a number field or a finite separable extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  and define  $\mathcal{P}_1 := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : N(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is prime}\}$ . Show that  $d(\mathcal{P}_1) = 1$  and therefore  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is infinite.
- (e) With  $K$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1$  as in (c) show for any  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , if  $S$  has a Dirichlet density then  $d(S) = d(S \cap \mathcal{P}_1)$  and otherwise  $S \cap \mathcal{P}_1$  does not have a Dirichlet density. Compute the density of the set of primes of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  that lie above a prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (f) Show that if  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  has a natural density then it has Dirichlet density  $d(S) = \delta(S)$ .
- (g) Show that for  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$  the set of primes ( $f$ ) where  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial of even degree has Dirichlet density  $1/2$  but no natural density.
- (h) Show that for  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  the set  $S_1$  of primes whose leading decimal digit is equal to 1 has no natural density.
- (i) Let  $A$  be the set of positive integers with leading decimal digit equal to 1. Show that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}}{\frac{1}{s-1}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}}{\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}} = \log_{10}(2).$$

(j) Adapt your argument in (i) to show that  $d(S_1) = \log_{10}(2)$ .

**Problem 4. PNT for arithmetic progressions (50 points)**

For each integer  $m > 1$  and integer  $a$  relatively prime to  $m$  we define the prime counting function

$$\pi(x; m, a) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} 1.$$

In this problem you will adapt the proof of the PNT in [5] (which is essentially the same as given in class except for argument to show that  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros on  $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ ) to prove the PNT for arithmetic progressions, which states that

$$\pi(x; m, a) \sim \frac{\pi(m)}{\phi(m)} \sim \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where  $\phi(m) := \#(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is the Euler function. We first set some notation.

Let  $\chi$  denote any primitive Dirichlet character of conductor dividing  $m$  (including the trivial character of conductor 1, which is the only one that is principal) and define

$$L(s, \chi) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n)n^{-s}, \quad \theta_m(x) := \phi(m) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \log p$$

$$\phi(x, \chi) := \sum_p \chi(p)p^{-s} \log p, \quad \Phi_m(s) := \sum_\chi \phi(s, \chi), \quad \Phi_{m,a}(s) := \sum_\chi \overline{\chi(a)} \phi(x, \chi).$$

We showed in lecture that the euler product converges absolutely on  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  and that  $L(s, \chi)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$  for when  $\chi$  is not principal.

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  be the  $m$ th cyclotomic field with Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K(s)$ , and recall from Lecture 18 that

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_\chi L(s, \chi).$$

- (a) Show that  $\theta_m(x) = O(x)$ .
- (b) Show that for each character  $\chi$  we have

$$-\frac{L'(s, \chi)}{L(s, \chi)} = \phi(s, \chi) + h(s, \chi),$$

for some  $h(s, \chi)$  holomorphic on  $\text{Re}(s) > 1/2$ , and conclude that

$$-\frac{\zeta'_K(s)}{\zeta_K(s)} = \Phi_m(s) + h(s),$$

for some  $h(s)$  holomorphic on  $\text{Re}(s) > 1/2$ .

- (c) Show that  $\zeta_K(s)$  is real-valued on real values of  $s$  and proceed as in step (IV) of [5] to show that  $\zeta_K(s)$ , and therefore each  $L(s, \chi)$ , has no zeros on  $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ .
- (d) Show that  $\Phi_{m,a}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  is holomorphic on  $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$ .

(e) Show that

$$\Phi_{m,a}(s) = s \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \theta_m(e^t) dt$$

and let  $f(t) = \theta_m(e^t)e^{-t} - 1$ . Show that the Laplace transform  $g(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt$  of  $f(t)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ . and deduce that  $\int_0^\infty f(t) dt$  converges and is equal to

$$g(0) = \int_1^\infty \frac{\theta_m(t) - t}{t^2} dt,$$

by Theorem 15.30.

(f) Conclude that  $\theta_m(x) \sim x$  and show that this implies

$$\pi(x, m) \sim \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(m)} \sim \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

### Problem 5. Factoring with the analytic class number formula (50 points)

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field with discriminant  $D < 0$ . Recall from Problem 2 of Problem Set 7 that each ideal class in  $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{O}_K$  can be uniquely represented by a reduced binary quadratic form

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

which we compactly denote  $f = (a, b, c)$ . The coefficients  $a, b, c$  are integers with no common factor with  $a > 0$  and  $b^2 - 4ac = D$  (so  $f$  is integral, primitive, positive definite, and of discriminant  $D$ ), and if

$$-a < b \leq a < c \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq b \leq a = c,$$

then we say that  $f$  is *reduced*, and in this case  $a \leq \sqrt{|D|/3}$ . Every form is *equivalent* (under the action of  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ) to a unique reduced form  $(a, b, c)$  that corresponds to an ideal  $I(f) = a\mathbb{Z} + a\tau\mathbb{Z}$  of norm  $a$  in the class it represents, where

$$\tau := \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a}$$

and  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + a\tau\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the non-trivial element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal, then  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} := \sigma(\mathfrak{a})$  denotes its Galois conjugate.

Everything above also applies to orders  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  that are not necessarily maximal, provided we restrict our attention to ideals whose norms are prime to the conductor  $c := [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ . We now work in this greater generality and consider binary quadratic forms of discriminant  $D = c^2 \operatorname{disc} \mathcal{O}_K$  and the class group  $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{O}$  (the group of ideals prime to the conductor modulo equivalence of principal ideals).

(a) Show that the identity element in  $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{O}$  is represented by the form  $(1, 0, -D/4)$  when  $D$  is even and  $(1, 1, (1 - D)/4)$  when  $D$  is odd.

(b) Let Show that if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal with Galois conjugate  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$  then  $\mathfrak{a}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = (N(\mathfrak{a}))$  and therefore  $[\mathfrak{a}]^{-1} = [\bar{\mathfrak{a}}]$ . Show that in terms of forms, if  $\mathfrak{a} = I(f)$  with  $f = (a, b, c)$  then  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$  corresponds to the form  $(a, -b, c)$ , and if  $(a, -b, c)$  is not reduced then we must have  $b = a$  or  $a = c$ , but in both these cases  $(a, -b, c)$  is equivalent to  $(a, b, c)$ .

(c) An *ambiguous form*  $f = (a, b, c)$  is a reduced form for which one of the following holds:  $b = 0$ ,  $b = a$ , or  $c = a$ . Show that every ambiguous form corresponds to an ideal class that is equal to its inverse (hence has order 1 or 2), and conversely.

(d) Show that if  $D$  is odd then the ambiguous forms of discriminant  $D$  are those of the form

$$\left(\frac{u+v}{4}, \frac{v-u}{2}, \frac{u+v}{4}\right)$$

with  $uv = -D$ ,  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ , and  $0 < v/3 \leq u \leq v$ , and those of the form

$$\left(u, u, \frac{u+v}{4}\right)$$

with  $uv = -D$ ,  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ , and  $0 < u \leq v/3$ .

(e) Show that if  $D$  is odd and has  $k$  distinct prime factors then there are  $2^{k-1}$  ambiguous forms, each representing a 2-torsion element of  $\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$  (an ideal class of order 1 or 2), and conversely, that every 2-torsion element of  $\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$  is represented by an ambiguous form. Conclude that the 2-torsion subgroup of  $\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$  and that every ideal class of order 1 or 2 is represented by an ambiguous form.

(f) Let  $n > 1$  be an integer coprime to 6, not a perfect power. Show that if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then for the discriminant  $D = -n$  every ideal class in  $\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$  of order 2 (of which there is at least one) is represented by an ambiguous form whose coefficients yield a nontrivial factorization  $uv$  of  $n$ ; show that if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then for the discriminant  $D = -3n$  a similar statement holds for all but one ideal class of order 2 (of which there are at least 3).

(g) Show that for  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$  we have  $\#\text{cl } \mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{|D|} L(1, \chi)$ , where  $\chi$  is the Dirichlet character defined by the Kronecker symbol  $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$  (so  $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$ ). This also holds for  $\mathcal{O} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_K$ , but you are not required need not prove this.

The Extended Riemann Hypothesis (ERH) states that the zeros of every Dirichlet  $L$ -function  $L(s, \chi)$  all lie on the critical line  $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Under this assumption there is an effectively computable constant  $c_1$  such that if we compute the partial product

$$L^* := \prod_{p \leq n^{1/5}} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-1})^{-1}$$

of  $L(1, \chi)$  and put  $h^* := \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{|D|} L^*$  (with  $D < -4$ ), then for  $h = \#\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$  we have

$$|h - h^*| < c_1 n^{2/5} (\log n)^2;$$

as shown in [3]. The ERH also implies the existence of an effectively computable constant  $c_2$  for which the set of ideals of prime norm  $a \leq c_2 \log^2 |D|$  are enough to generate  $\text{cl } \mathcal{O}$ ; this follows from results in [2] (for  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$  one can take  $c_2 = 6$ , see [1]).

(h) Describe a deterministic  $O(n^{1/5+o(1)})$  algorithm that, given an integer  $n > 1$  does one of the following: (1) outputs a nontrivial factorization of  $n$ , (2) proves that  $n$  is prime, (3) proves that the ERH is false. Assume that all arithmetic operations on integers (and rational numbers) can be performed in quasi-linear time (i.e.  $O(b^{1+o(1)})$  where  $b$  is the number of bits in the operands). You do not need to spell out the details of the algorithm, a high-level description of each step is sufficient. Note that you will need to address the case where  $n$  is a perfect power separately. If you are not familiar with the baby-steps giant-steps algorithm you may want to read up on it (see [4] for the original, or section 8.8 in these [notes](#) for a quick overview).

## Problem 6. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each problem you attempted on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found it (1 = “mind-numbing,” 10 = “mind-blowing”), and how difficult you found it (1 = “trivial,” 10 = “brutal”). Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem to the nearest half hour.

	Interest	Difficulty	Time Spent
Problem 1			
Problem 2			
Problem 3			
Problem 4			
Problem 5			

Please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material (1=“useless”, 10=“fascinating”), the quality of the presentation (1=“epic fail”, 10=“perfection”), the pace (1=“way too slow”, 10=“way too fast”, 5=“just right”) and the novelty of the material to you (1=“old hat”, 10=“all new”).

Date	Lecture Topic	Material	Presentation	Pace	Novelty
11/10	Primes in arithmetic progressions				
11/12	Analytic class number formula				

Please feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets and the lectures, in particular, ways in which they might be improved.

## References

- [1] E. Bach, *Explicit bounds for primality testing and related problems*, Math. Comp. **55** (1990), 335–380.
- [2] J.C. Lagarias, H.L. Montgomery, and A.M. Odlyzko, *A bound for the least prime ideal in the Chebotarev Density Theorem*, Invent. Math. **54** (1979), 271–296.
- [3] R. Schoof, *Quadratic fields and factorization*, in “Computational Methods in Number Theory”, MC-Tracts 154/155, 1982, 235–286.
- [4] D. Shanks, *Class number, a theory of factorization, and genera*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **20** AMS (1971), 415–440.
- [5] D. Zagier, *Newman’s short proof of the prime number theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **104** (1997), 705–708.