

Description

These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 17-18.

Instructions: Either solve both problems 1 and 2, or just problem 3. Then complete Problem 4, which is a survey. Your solutions are to be written up in latex and submitted as a pdf-file with a filename of the form `SurnamePset9.pdf` via e-mail to `drew@math.mit.edu` by **11:59 p.m.** on the date due.

Collaboration is permitted/encouraged, but you must identify your collaborators, and any references not listed in the course syllabus. The first to spot each non-trivial typo/error in the problem sets or lecture notes will receive 1-3 points of extra credit.

Problem 1. Complex multiplication (40 points)

Let $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-7})/2$. In problem 1 of Problem Set 8 you computed $j(\tau) = -3375$. In problem 2 of Problem Set 7 you proved that the endomorphism ring of the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 35x - 98$ with j -invariant -3375 is equal to $[1, \tau]$, the maximal order (ring of integers) of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. Let us now set $g_2 := -4(-35) = 140$ and $g_3 := -4(-98) = 392$ and work with the isomorphic elliptic curve E/\mathbb{C} defined by

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3,$$

which is isomorphic to $y^2 = x^3 - 35x - 98$.

We should note that $g_2([1, \tau])$ and $g_3([1, \tau])$ are not equal to 140 and 392, but there is a lattice L homothetic to $[1, \tau]$ for which $g_2(L) = 140$ and $g_3(L) = 392$ (you computed this lattice L in problem 2 of Problem Set 8). In particular, $\tau L \subseteq L$, thus τ satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 17.4. The goal of this problem is to compute the polynomials $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ for which condition (2) of Theorem 17.4 holds, and the endomorphism ϕ for which condition (3) of Theorem 17.4 holds, and to explicitly confirm that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}/L & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \phi \\ \mathbb{C}/L & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where τ denotes the multiplication-by- τ map $z \mapsto \tau z$.

Recall that the Weierstrass \wp -function satisfying the differential equation

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3 \tag{1}$$

has a Laurent series expansion about 0 of the form $\wp(z) = z^{-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n}z^{2n}$.

- (a) Use g_2 and g_3 to determine a_2 and a_4 , and then determine a_6 by comparing coefficients in the Laurent expansions of both sides of (1).

We now wish to compute the polynomials $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ for which

$$\wp(\tau z) = \frac{u(\wp(z))}{v(\wp(z))},$$

as in condition (2) of Theorem 17.4. Following Corollary 17.5, we have $N(\tau) = \tau\bar{\tau} = 2$, so $\deg u = 2$ and $\deg v = 1$. We can make $u = x^2 + ax + b$ monic, and with $v = cx + d$ we must have

$$(c\wp(z) + d)\wp(\tau z) = \wp(z)^2 + a\wp(z) + b \quad (2)$$

- (b) Use (2) to determine the coefficients a, b, c, d , expressing your answers in terms of τ . It will be convenient to work in the subfield $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$, rather than \mathbb{C} . To define the field K and the polynomial ring $K[x]$ in Sage, use

```
RQ.<w>=PolynomialRing(QQ)
K.<tau>=NumberField(w^2-w+2)
RK.<x>=PolynomialRing(K)
```

Once you have determined $a, b, c, d \in K$, you can verify $u, v \in K[x]$ via¹

```
RL.<z>=LaurentSeriesRing(K, 100)
wp=EllipticCurve([-35, -98]).weierstrass_p(100).change_ring(K)
assert wp(tau*z) == u(wp(z))/v(wp(z))
```

- (c) Following the proof of Theorem 17.4, construct polynomials $s, t \in K[x]$ that satisfy

$$\wp'(\tau z) = \frac{s(\wp(z))}{t(\wp(z))} \wp'(z).$$

You can verify your results in Sage via

```
wpp = wp.derivative()
assert wpp(tau*z) == s(wp(z))/t(wp(z))*wpp(z)
```

- (d) Now let $\phi = \left(\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y\right)$. Use Sage to verify that ϕ is an endomorphism by checking that its coordinate functions satisfy the curve equation $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$.

The symbolic verifications in parts (b) and (d) confirm that $\Phi(\tau z) = \phi(\Phi(z))$, showing that the diagram commutes (at least for the first 100 terms in the Laurent expansion of $\wp(z)$). But we would like to explicitly check this for some specific values of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to do this in Sage, we need to redefine τ and the polynomials u, v, s, t over \mathbb{C} , rather than K . Use the following Sage script to do so:

```
R.<X>=PolynomialRing(CC)
pi=K.embeddings(CC)[0]
tauC=pi(tau)
uC=sum([pi(u.coeffs()[i])*X^i for i in range(0, u.degree()+1)])
vC=sum([pi(v.coeffs()[i])*X^i for i in range(0, v.degree()+1)])
sC=sum([pi(s.coeffs()[i])*X^i for i in range(0, s.degree()+1)])
tC=sum([pi(t.coeffs()[i])*X^i for i in range(0, t.degree()+1)])
```

¹Sage effectively computes $\wp(z)$ using $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ when we define $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ with $g_2 = -4A$ and $g_3 = -4B$.

- (e) Pick three “random” nonzero complex numbers z_1, z_2, z_3 of norm less than 0.1 (they need to be close to 0 in order for the Laurent series of $\wp(x)$ to converge quickly). You can approximate the point $P_1 = \Phi(z_1) = (\wp(z_1), \wp'(z_1))$ on the elliptic curve $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ in Sage using²

```
wp = EllipticCurve([CC(-35), CC(-98)]).weierstrass_p(100)
wpp = wp.derivative()
P1=(wp.laurent_polynomial()(z1), wpp.laurent_polynomial()(z1))
```

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, compute the points $P_i = \Phi(z_i)$ and $Q_i = \Phi(\tau z_i)$ (remember to use the embedding of τ in \mathbb{C}). Check that the points all approximately satisfy the curve equation $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ (if not, use z_i with smaller norms). Then verify that Q_i and $\phi(P_i)$ are approximately equal in each case. Report the values of z_i, P_i, Q_i and $\phi(P_i)$.

Problem 2. Binary quadratic forms (60 points)

A *binary quadratic form* is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in two variables:

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2,$$

which we identify by the coefficient vector (a, b, c) . We are interested in a particular set of binary quadratic forms those that are *integral* ($a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$), *primitive* ($\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$), and *positive definite* ($b^2 - 4ac < 0$ and $a > 0$). Henceforth we shall use the word *form* to refer to an integral, primitive, positive definite, binary quadratic form. The *discriminant* of a form is the negative integer $D = b^2 - 4ac$, which is evidently a square modulo 4. We call such integers (imaginary quadratic) discriminants, and let $F(D)$ denote the set of forms with discriminant D .

- (a) For each $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f(x, y) \in F(D)$ define

$$f^\gamma(x, y) := f(sx + ty, ux + vy).$$

Show that $f^\gamma \in F(D)$, and that this defines a right group action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the set $F(D)$ (this means $f^I = f$ and $f^{(\gamma_1\gamma_2)} = (f^{\gamma_1})^{\gamma_2}$).

Forms f and g are (properly) *equivalent* if $g = f^\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In this problem and the next, you will prove that the set $\mathrm{cl}(D)$ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of $F(D)$ forms a finite abelian group, and develop algorithms to compute in this group.

The group $\mathrm{cl}(D)$ is called the *class group*, and it plays a key role in the theory of complex multiplication. Our first objective is to prove that $\mathrm{cl}(D)$ is finite, and to develop an algorithm to enumerate unique representatives of its elements (which also allows us to determine its cardinality). We define the (principal) *root* τ of a form $f = (a, b, c)$ to be the unique root of $f(x, 1)$ in the upper half plane:

$$\tau = \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a}.$$

Recall that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on the upper half plane \mathbb{H} via linear fractional transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \tau = \frac{s\tau + t}{u\tau + v},$$

²You need to use the `laurent_polynomial` method in order to evaluate `wp` at a complex number.

and that the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{re}(\tau) \in [-1/2, 0] \text{ and } |\tau| \geq 1\} \cup \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{re}(\tau) \in (0, 1/2) \text{ and } |\tau| > 1\}$$

is a fundamental region for \mathbb{H} modulo the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action.

- (b) Prove that $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts compatibly on forms and their roots by showing that if τ is the root of f , then $\gamma^{-1}\tau$ is the root of f^γ . Conclude that two forms are equivalent if and only if their roots are equivalent.

A form $f = (a, b, c)$ is said to be *reduced* if

$$-a < b \leq a < c \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq b \leq a = c.$$

- (c) Prove that a form is reduced if and only if its root lies in the fundamental region \mathcal{F} . Conclude that each equivalence class in $F(D)$ contains exactly one reduced form.
- (d) Prove that if f is reduced then $a \leq \sqrt{|D|/3}$. Conclude that the set $\mathrm{cl}(D)$ is finite, and show that in fact its cardinality $h(D)$ satisfies $h(D) \leq |D|/3$. Prove that $F(D)$ contains a unique reduced form (a, b, c) with $a = 1$, and conclude that $h(-3) = h(-4) = 1$.

The positive integer $h(D)$ is called the *class number* of the discriminant D . The bound $h(D) \leq |D|/3$ is a substantial overestimate. In fact, $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log |D|)$, but proving this requires some analytic number theory that is beyond the scope of this course. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis one can show $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log \log |D|)$.

- (e) Give an algorithm to enumerate the reduced forms in $F(D)$. Using the upper bound $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log |D|)$, prove that your algorithm runs in $O(|D|M(\log |D|))$ time.
- (f) Implement your algorithm and use it to enumerate the five reduced forms in $F(-103)$ and the six reduced forms in $F(-396)$. Then use it to compute $h(D)$ for the first three discriminants $D < -N$, where N is the integer formed by the first four digits of your student ID.

Problem 3. The class group (100 points)

In Problem 2 we proved that $\mathrm{cl}(D)$ is a finite set. In this problem you will prove that it is an abelian group, and develop an algorithm to implement the group operation. The implementation of the algorithm in part (1) is optional, but if you choose to do part (1) then you are free to omit the answer to any one of parts (a)-(k) without penalty.

To each form $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ in $F(D)$ with root $\tau = (-b + \sqrt{D})/(2a)$, we associate the lattice $L(f) = L(a, b, c) = a[1, \tau]$.

- (a) Show that two forms $f, g \in F(D)$ are equivalent if and only if the lattices $L(f)$ and $L(g)$ are homothetic (you may use part (b) of problem 2 if you wish).

For any lattice L , the *order* of L is the set

$$\mathcal{O}(L) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha L \subseteq L\}.$$

- (b) Prove that either $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathcal{O}(L)$ is an order in an imaginary quadratic field, and that homothetic lattices have the same order. Prove that if L is the lattice of a form in $F(D)$, then $\mathcal{O}(L)$ is the order of discriminant D in the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

For the rest of this problem let \mathcal{O} denote the (not necessarily maximal) imaginary quadratic order of discriminant D , which may be represented as a lattice $[1, \omega]$, where ω is an algebraic integer whose minimal polynomial $x^2 + bx + c$ has discriminant $b^2 - 4c = D$.

Recall that an (integral) \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} is an additive subgroup of \mathcal{O} that is closed under multiplication by \mathcal{O} . Every \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} is necessarily a sublattice of \mathcal{O} , and its *norm* $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is the index $[\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}|$. An \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} is said to be *proper* if $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}$. In Lecture 18 we showed that \mathfrak{a} is proper if and only if it is invertible as a fractional ideal, which explains our interest in this property. Note that we always have $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a})$, so when \mathcal{O} is maximal every nonzero \mathcal{O} -ideal is proper.

- (c) Prove that if $L(a, b, c) = a[1, \tau]$ is the lattice of a form in $F(D)$, then L is a proper \mathcal{O} -ideal of norm a , where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(L) = [1, a\tau]$.
- (d) Conversely prove that every proper \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} is homothetic to the lattice of a form in $F(D)$. Show that the assumption that \mathfrak{a} is proper is necessary by giving an explicit example of an \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{a} that is not proper (so by (c) it cannot be homothetic to the lattice of a form in $F(D)$).
- (e) Prove that if the norm of \mathfrak{a} is relatively prime to the conductor $u = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ of \mathcal{O} then \mathfrak{a} is proper. Give an explicit example showing that the converse is not true.

The product of two lattices $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ and $[\omega_3, \omega_4]$ in \mathbb{C} is the additive group generated by $\{\omega_1\omega_3, \omega_1\omega_4, \omega_2\omega_3, \omega_2\omega_4\}$.

- (f) Show that, in general, the product of two lattices need not be a lattice, but the product of two lattices that are \mathcal{O} -ideals is a lattice.
- (g) Let $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ denote the set of equivalence classes (under homothety) of lattices that are proper \mathcal{O} -ideals. Prove that the lattice product makes $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ into an abelian group. Conclude that the corresponding operation on the equivalence classes of $F(D)$ makes $\text{cl}(D)$ into an abelian group that is isomorphic to $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$.

To do explicit computations in $\text{cl}(D)$ we need to translate the product operation on lattices $L(f_1)$ and $L(f_2)$ into a corresponding product operation on forms $f_1, f_2 \in F(D)$. This is known as *composition* of forms, and is performed as follows. If $f_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ and $f_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ are forms in $F(D)$, then let $s = (b_1 + b_2)/2$ (this is an integer because b_1, b_2 and D all have the same parity). Use the extended Euclidean algorithm (twice) to compute integers u, v, w , and d such that $ua_1 + va_2 + ws = d = \gcd(a_1, a_2, s)$. The composition of f_1 and f_2 is then given by

$$f_1 * f_2 = (a_3, b_3, c_3) = \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{d^2}, b_2 + \frac{2a_2}{d}(v(s - b_2) - wc_2), \frac{b_3^2 - D}{4a_3} \right).$$

It is a straight-forward but tedious task to verify that this composition formula satisfies $L(f_1 * f_2) = L(f_1) * L(f_2)$; you are not required to do this.

- (h) Verify that the inverse of (a, b, c) is $(a, -b, c)$ and that the unique reduced form with $a = 1$ acts as the identity (see Problem 2 for the definition of a reduced form).

Unfortunately, even if f_1 and f_2 are reduced forms, the composition of f_1 and f_2 need not be reduced. In order to compute in $\text{cl}(D)$ effectively, we need a reduction algorithm. Recall the matrices $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ that generate $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

- (i) Let f be the form (a, b, c) . Compute the forms f^S , f^{T^m} , and $f^{T^{-m}}$, for a positive integer m .

A form (a, b, c) with $-a < b \leq a$ is said to be *normalized*.

- (j) Show that for any form f there is an integer m such that f^{T^m} is normalized, and give an explicit formula for m . Let us call f^{T^m} the *normalization* of f . Now let $f = (a, b, c)$ be a normalized form and prove the following:

- (a) If $a < \sqrt{|D|}/2$ then f is reduced.
 (b) If $a < \sqrt{|D|}$ and f is not reduced, then the normalization of Sf is reduced.
 (c) If $a \geq \sqrt{|D|}$ then the normalization (a', b', c') of Sf has $a' \leq a/2$.

- (k) Give an algorithm to compute the reduction of a form f in $F(D)$, and bound its complexity as a function of $n = \log |D|$, assuming that its coefficients are $O(n)$ bits in size. Then bound the complexity of computing the reduction of the product of two reduced forms (this corresponds to performing a group operation in $\text{cl}(D)$).³

Optional: If you choose to do part (l) below you may choose not to answer any one of parts (a)–(k) above without penalty.

- (l) Implement your algorithm and then use it to compute the reduction of a form $(a, b, c) \in F(D)$, with a equal to the least prime greater than $|D|^2$ for which $(\frac{D}{a}) = 1$. Do this for the discriminants $D = -103$ and $D = -396$, and for the first three discriminants $D < -N$, where N is the first four digits of your student ID. For the largest $|D|$, list the sequence of normalized forms computed during the reduction.

Problem 4. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each of the problems you attempted on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found the problem (1 = “mind-numbing,” 10 = “mind-blowing”), and how difficult you found it (1 = “trivial,” 10 = “brutal”). Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem to the nearest half hour.

	Interest	Difficulty	Time Spent
Problem 1			
Problem 2			
Problem 3			

Also, please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material (1=“useless”, 10=“fascinating”), the quality of the presentation (1=“epic fail”, 10=“perfection”), the pace (1=“way too slow”, 10=“way too fast”, 5=“just right”) and the novelty of the material (1=“old hat”, 10=“all new”).

Date	Lecture Topic	Material	Presentation	Pace	Novelty
4/12	Complex multiplication				
4/19	The CM torsor				

Please feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets or lectures, in particular, ways in which they might be improved.

³A quasi-linear bound is known [1], but your bound does not need to be this tight. However it should be polynomial in n .

References

- [1] A. Schönhage, *Fast reduction and composition of binary quadratic forms*, in International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation—ISSAC'91, ACM, 1991, 128–133.