

## Lecture 8 — Cartan Subalgebra

Prof. Victor Kac

Scribe: Alejandro O. Lopez

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [a, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}\}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , called the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

The fact that  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$  is a subalgebra follows directly from the Jacobi identity. Also note that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$  and the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the maximal subalgebra containing  $\mathfrak{h}$  as an ideal.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  a subalgebra such that  $\mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{g}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ .

*Proof.* Consider the central series:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}^2 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^3 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}^n = 0$

Note that the last equality is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  because  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra. Take  $j$  to be the maximal possible positive integer such that:  $\mathfrak{g}^j \not\subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Clearly we have that  $1 < j < n$ ; but then  $[\mathfrak{g}^j, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{j+1} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  by the choice made on  $j$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g}^j \subset N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , which is not a subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$   $\square$

**Definition 8.2.** A Cartan subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , satisfying the following two conditions:

- i)  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra
- ii)  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$

**Corollary 8.2.** Any Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 1 and the definition of Cartan subalgebras.  $\square$

**Exercise 8.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = gl_n(\mathbb{F})$  with  $char(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \{\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  is the subalgebra of strictly upper triangular matrices. Then this is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra but not a Cartan subalgebra.

*Solution.* First, we show that  $\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$  is not a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\mathfrak{h}$  is not Cartan since, as was shown earlier,  $[b_n, \mathfrak{n}_n] \subset \mathfrak{n}_n$  and thus  $b_n \subset N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{n}_n) \subset N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n)$ .

Now we show that  $\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra. Note that since  $I_n$  commutes with everything, then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{n}_n \oplus \mathbb{F}I_n$ . Hence, it is nilpotent. Now, it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is maximal in  $gl_n$ . Suppose there exists some nilpotent subalgebra  $\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}'$ . First note that in fact we have  $b_n = N_{gl_n}(\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n)$ , since if  $b = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} E_{ij} \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n)$  with  $c_{i'j'} \neq 0$  for  $i' > j'$ , then  $E_{j'i'} \in \mathfrak{n}_n \subset \mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$  and  $[b, E_{j'i'}] = \sum_i c_{ij'} E_{ii'} - \sum_j c_{i'j} E_{j'i}$ . Note that the  $(i', i')$ <sup>th</sup> and the  $(j', j')$ <sup>th</sup> entries are  $c_{i'j'}$  and  $-c_{i'j'}$  respectively; both of which are nonzero. Thus,  $[b, E_{j'i'}] \mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$ , unless  $char(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Now, by the Lemma above, we must have that  $\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{h} \cap N_{gl_n}(\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n)$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{h}'$  contains some element of  $b_n \setminus \mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$ ; but all elements of  $b_n \setminus \mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$  have at least two distinct eigenvalues, and thus are not ad-nilpotent. Thus we find a contradiction to Engel's theorem and our assumption must be wrong. We find there is no proper set containing  $\mathfrak{n}_n + \mathbb{F}I_n$ ; which implies that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra.

**Proposition 8.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset gl_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a subalgebra containing a diagonal matrix  $a = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with distinct  $a_i$ , and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the subspace of all diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra.*

*Proof.* We have to prove that  $\mathfrak{h}$  satisfies the two conditions necessary to be a Cartan subalgebra.

i) We know that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is abelian; and thus, it is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

ii) Let  $b = \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij}e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[b, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  (i.e.  $b \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ ). In particular, we have that  $[a, b] \in \mathfrak{h}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ . But  $[a, b] = [\sum_k a_k e_{kk}, \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}e_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j} (a_i - a_j)b_{ij}e_{ij}$ , which will be non-diagonal only if  $b_{ij} \neq 0$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $b \in \mathfrak{h}$ , and therefore  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

**Remark**  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Cartan subalgebra in itself if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

**Theorem 8.4.** *(E.Cartan) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a regular element (which exists since  $\mathbb{F}$  is infinite), and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}^a$  be the generalized eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $ada$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0^a$  is a Cartan subalgebra.*

*Proof.* The proof for this theorem uses the fact that Zariski Topology is highly non-Hausdorff, namely any two non-empty Zariski open sets have a non-empty intersection. We will also recall the fact that  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}^a, \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}^a] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}^a$  and in particular, if  $\lambda = 0$  then  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}^a] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}^a$ .

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}^a$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus V$  and  $[\mathfrak{h}, V] \subset V$ .

Consider the following two subsets of  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$U = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ such that } \text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \text{ is not a nilpotent operator}\}$

$R = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ such that } \text{adh}|_V \text{ is a non-singular operator}\}$

Both  $U$  and  $R$  are Zariski open subsets of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Next we note that  $a \in R$  since all zero eigenvalues of  $ada$  lie in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence  $R$  is non-empty.

Now, we shall prove by contradiction that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent subalgebra. Suppose the contrary is true. Then, by Engel's Theorem there exists  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is not nilpotent. But in this case  $h \in U$ ; and hence,  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $U \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . We now take  $b \in U \cap R$ . Then  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is not nilpotent and  $\text{adb}|_V$  is invertible. Hence  $\mathfrak{g}_0^b \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $a$  is a regular element. Thus, this contradicts the assumption made; and we find that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra. Finally, we need to proof that  $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ . Now, if  $b \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , so that  $[b, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$ , then we have that, in particular,  $[b, a] \in \mathfrak{h}$ . But since  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra, then  $\text{ada}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a nilpotent operator. In particular,  $0 = (\text{ada})^N((\text{ada})b) = (\text{ada})^{N+1}(b)$ . Hence  $b \in \mathfrak{g}_0^a = \mathfrak{h}$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark** The dimension of the Cartan subalgebra constructed in Cartan's Theorem, Theorem 4, equals the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic zero and let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a Cartan subalgebra. Consider the generalized weight space decomposition (called root space decomposition) with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ :  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ .*

*Proof.* Since by Engel's Theorem  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is nilpotent for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ . But by definition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , for all elements  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$  is a nilpotent operator. Hence  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{h}}$  is a nilpotent operator for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Therefore, by Engle's Theorem, there exists a non-zero  $\bar{b} \in \mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{h}$  which is annihilated by all  $\text{adh}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{h}}$ . Taking a pre-image  $b \in \mathfrak{g}$  of  $\bar{b}$ , this means that  $[b, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{h} \neq N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$ ), which contradicts the fact that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra.  $\square$

**Remark**  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \lambda \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda)$  by the latter Proposition.

Next we will apply the last couple of theorems, lemmas and propositions in order to classify all 3-dimensional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic zero.

We know that the  $rank(\mathfrak{g}) = 3, 2$  or  $1$ ; and  $rank(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent.

*Rank*( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) = 3:

We know by exercise 6.1, that any 3-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra is either abelian or  $H_3$ . So any 3-dimensional Lie algebra of  $rank(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$  is either abelian or  $H_3$ .

*Rank*( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) = 2:

In this case  $dim(\mathfrak{h}) = 2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  must be a nilpotent Lie algebra, we can conclude that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is abelian (otherwise  $\mathfrak{h}$  would be a 2-dimensional solvable Lie algebra, which is not nilpotent). Hence the root space decomposition is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{F}b$ , where  $[\mathfrak{h}, b] \subset \mathbb{F}b$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} = N_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$  then  $[\mathfrak{h}, b] \neq 0$ . Hence there exist  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $[a, b] = b$ . Also since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is 2-dimensional, then there exists  $c \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $[c, b] = 0$ . We also know that  $[a, c] = 0$ . Thus, we can conclude that the only 3-dimensional Lie algebra of rank 2 is a direct sum of a 2-dimensional non-abelian algebra and one dimensional central subalgebra:  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}b) \oplus \mathbb{F}c$ .

*Rank*( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) = 1:

**Exercise 8.2.** Any 3-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of rank 1 is isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras with basis  $h, a, b$ :

- i)  $[h, a] = a, [h, b] = a + b, [a, b] = 0$ ;
- ii)  $[h, a] = a, [h, b] = \lambda b, \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}/\{0\}, [a, b] = 0$ ;
- iii)  $[h, a] = a, [h, b] = -b, [a, b] = h$ ;

*Solution.* Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{F}h$ , where  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$ , be a Cartan subalgebra; we have:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus V$ , where  $[h, V] \subset V$ ,  $dim(V) = 2$ , and  $adh$  is non-singular on  $V$ . We may assume one of the eigenvalues of  $adh|_V$  is 1, if we scale  $h$  accordingly.

First, suppose  $adh|_V$  is not semisimple. Thus,  $adh|_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in some basis  $\{a, b\}$ . Thus,  $[h, a] = a$  and  $[h, b] = a + b$ . Also, by using Jacobian Identity, we have  $[h, [a, b]] = [[h, a], b] + [a, [h, b]] = [a, b] + [a, a + b] = 2[a, b]$ . But we know that the value 2 cannot be an eigenvalue of  $adh$ , thus  $[a, b] = 0$ . Thus, this Lie algebra corresponds to (i).

Now, assume  $adh|_V$  is semisimple. Thus, we have that  $adh|_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  in some basis  $\{a, b\}$ . We then have that  $[h, a] = a$  and  $[h, b] = \lambda b$ . By following the same procedure as above, we note that  $[h, [a, b]] = [[h, a], b] + [a, [h, b]] = [a, b] + [a, \lambda b] = (1 + \lambda)[a, b]$ . Thus, we must have that either  $[a, b] = 0$ , which corresponds to (ii), or  $(1 + \lambda)$  is an eigenvalue of  $adh|_V$ , case (iii). Note that for the case of  $[a, b] = 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is arbitrary and uniquely defined by  $\mathfrak{g}$  up to inverting it by swapping  $a$  and  $b$  and scaling  $h$  accordingly. In the case where  $(1 + \lambda)$  is an eigenvalue of  $adh|_V$ , we must have that  $1 + \lambda = 0$  and that  $[a, b]$  be a multiple of  $h$ . Thus  $\lambda = -1$  and, scaling  $a$  accordingly, we may assume that  $[a, b] = h$ . Thus, we get option (iii) with the latter case.

**Exercise 8.3.** Show that all Lie algebras in exercise 8.2 are non-isomorphic. Those from (i) and (ii) are solvable, and the one from (iii) is isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ , which is not solvable.

*Solution.* We first show that the Lie algebra from (iii) is isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ . The standard basis for  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$  consist of  $\{h' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, a' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$ . Thus, we have

$[h, a'] = 2a'$ ,  $[h, b'] = -2b'$  and  $[a', b'] = h$ . Now if we scale and set  $h = \frac{h'}{2}$ ,  $a = \frac{a'}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $b = \frac{b'}{\sqrt{2}}$ ; then we get the Lie algebra of case (iii). Thus, Lie algebra (iii) is isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{F})$ , which is not solvable. In contrast, it is clear that the algebras (i) and (ii) are solvable by construction.

By conjugacy of Cartan subalgebras, the isomorphism class of  $\mathfrak{g}$  needs to be independent of the choice of the Cartan subalgebra. It follows that the algebras of the three types are non-isomorphic to each other. It also follows that the algebras of type (ii), corresponding to parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\lambda\lambda' = 1$