

Lecture 23 — Decomposition of Semisimple Lie Algebras

Prof. Victor Kac

Scribe: William Steadman and Yifan Wang

Notation 23.1. First, we recall some facts from lecture 22. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and V a \mathfrak{g} -module.

- $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, v) = \{f : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto V \mid f([a, b]) = af(b) - bf(a)\}$ is the space of 1-cocycles.
- $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, v)$ contains the subspace $B^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{f_v \mid f_v(a) = av\}$ of trivial 1-cocycles.
- $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, v) = Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)/B^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is the first cohomology.
- $\Omega = \sum_j a_j b_j$ and is called the Casimir operator.

From now on, \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0. We will prove that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$ for any \mathfrak{g} -module V . This will be used to prove the Weyl's Complete Reducibility Theorem and Levi's Theorem.

The following exercise follows from the definitions and will be used to prove $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ vanishes.

Exercise 23.1. $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) = H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$, where the V_i are \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Proof. [Solution] First we show that $Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) = Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$. It is clear that $Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) \supset Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$. Furthermore, every 1-cocycle $\varphi \in Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2)$ can be decomposed as $\pi_1 \circ \varphi \oplus \pi_2 \circ \varphi \in Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$.

It is also clear that $B(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) = B(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus B(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$ since $\varphi_{v_1 \oplus v_2} = \varphi_{v_1} \oplus \varphi_{v_2}$.

Therefore $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) = Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2)/B(\mathfrak{g}, V_1 \oplus V_2) = Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)/B(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus B(\mathfrak{g}, V_2) = Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_1)/B(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)/B(\mathfrak{g}, V_2) = H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_1) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$. \square

We will also use the following lemma from lecture 22 and a corollary.

Lemma 23.1. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra with an invariant non-degenerate bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) , a_i, b_i are dual basis of \mathfrak{g} (i.e. $(a_i, b_j) = \delta_{ij}$) and $f \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$:

$$a \sum_j a_j f(b_j) = \Omega(f(a)), \forall a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Corollary 23.2. The Casimir operator commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} on any \mathfrak{g} -module V i.e. $a(\Omega(v)) = \Omega(av)$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$.

Proof. Take $f = f_v$. The equation in the lemma becomes:

$$a(\Omega(v)) = a \sum_j a_j b_j(v) = \Omega(a(v))$$

\square

Theorem 23.3 (Vanishing Theorem). *If V is a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module, then $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$.*

Proof. By Corollary 23.2, Ω commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} on V . This means the generalized eigenspace decomposition for Ω acting on $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}} V_\lambda$ is \mathfrak{g} -invariant i.e. all the subspaces V_λ are \mathfrak{g} -invariant. Let $V' = \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} V_\lambda$, so that $V = V_0 \oplus V'$. As both V_0 and V' are \mathfrak{g} -invariant, they both are \mathfrak{g} -submodules of V .

By Exercise 23.1 $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_0) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V')$. We will prove both of these terms equal 0. First, consider $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_0)$.

Let $f : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto V_0$ be a 1-cocycle.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} | aV = 0\}$. This is the kernel of the representation $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \text{End}V$ and therefore an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, the ideal \mathfrak{g}_0 is also semisimple. Since \mathfrak{g}_0 is semisimple, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$. For $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $f[a, b] = af(b) - bf(a) = 0 - 0 = 0$. $f[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] = 0$ and thus $f[\mathfrak{g}_0] = 0$. This means that $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 \mapsto V$ is a well-defined map.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, for any 1-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} induces one on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and vice versa.

We now specify an invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . Take for (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{g} the trace form of \mathfrak{g} on V_0 : $(a, b)_V = \text{tr}_{V_0} ab$. By Cartan's criterion, this trace form is non-degenerate as $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra by assumption and the representation $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \mapsto V_0$ is faithful by the construction of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

$$\text{tr}_V(\Omega) = \sum_i \text{tr}_V a_i b_i = \sum_i (a_i, b_i)_V = \dim \mathfrak{g},$$

where a_i, b_i are dual basis.

$\Omega|_{V_0}$ is nilpotent and therefore $\text{tr}_V(\Omega) = 0$. This implies $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ which implies $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V_0) = 0$.

Now to prove that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V') = 0$,

For any 1-cocycle $f : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto V'$, by Lemma 23.1, $a(m) = \Omega(f(a))$ where $m = \sum_i a_i(f(b_i)) \in V'$. But $\Omega|_{V'}$ is an invertible operator and $\Omega a = a\Omega$, so that $f(a) = \Omega^{-1}am = a\Omega^{-1}m$. $f = f_{\Omega^{-1}m}$ is a trivial 1-cocycle and $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V') = 0$. \square

Remark 23.1. A couple notes on the proof. When V is finite dimensional, $V = V_0 \oplus V'$ over any field \mathbb{F} and operator Ω . Where $\Omega|_{V_0}$ is nilpotent and $\Omega|_{V'}$ is invertible. We do not need to assume that \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed.

The assumption of semisimplicity was used to prove a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form exists. Does the converse hold, that if $H^1(V, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ then \mathfrak{g} is semisimple?

With the Vanishing Theorem one can prove these theorems about semisimple Lie algebras.

Theorem 23.4 (Weyl Complete Reducibility Theorem). *If $\text{char} \mathbb{F} = 0$ and V is a finite dimensional module over a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then for any submodule U of V there exists a complementary submodule U' so that $V = U \oplus U'$.*

Note that since V is finite dimensional it follow from this theorem that V is isomorphic to the direct sum of irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Theorem 23.5 (Levi's Theorem). *If \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0, and $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is the radical then \mathfrak{g} contains a subalgebra s complementary to $R(\mathfrak{g})$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g} = s \ltimes R(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Definition 23.1. s is called a *Levi factor*.

Theorem 23.6 (Mal'cev Theorem). *All Levi factors s in \mathfrak{g} are conjugate to each other by an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} .*

Remark 23.2. The proofs use projection operators in a vector space V . A projector P of a subspace U of V is an endomorphism of V such that: $P(V) \subset U$ and $P(u) = u$ for $u \in U$.

Note that if P_0 is a projection operator from V to U , then any other projector of V to U has the form $P = P_0 + A$ where $A(V) \subset U, A(u) = 0$.

Proof: Weyl Complete Reducibility Theorem. Consider the space $\text{End } V$ with the following \mathfrak{g} -module structure: $a(A) = \pi(a)A - A\pi(a)$. where $a \in \mathfrak{g}, A \in \text{End } V$. $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \text{End } V$ is the representation of \mathfrak{g} on V . This satisfies the properties of a \mathfrak{g} -module by the Jacobi identity.

Fix a projector $P_0 : V \mapsto U$ and consider the corresponding trivial 1-cocycle. $f_{P_0} = a(P_0) = \pi(a)P_0 - P_0\pi(a)$. Let $M \subset \text{End } V$ be the following subspace: $M = \{A | A(V) \subset U, A(U) = 0\}$. Let $A \in M$ then

$$(\pi(a)A - A\pi(a))v = \pi(a)u - Av' \in U.$$

$$(\pi(a)A - A\pi(a))u = 0 - Au' = 0.$$

We know $f_{P_0} : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \text{End } V$, but in fact $f_{P_0} : \mathfrak{g} \mapsto M$ since

$$(\pi(a)P_0 - P_0\pi(a))v = \pi(a)u - P_0v' \in U$$

$$(\pi(a)P_0 - P_0\pi(a))u = \pi(a)u - \pi(a)u = 0$$

Therefore, $f_{P_0} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$.

By the Vanishing Theorem, $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0$, so f_{P_0} is a trivial 1-cocycle and $f_{P_0}(a) = A\pi(a) - \pi(a)A$ for some $A \in M$. Rearranging this gives: $(\pi(a))(P_0 - A) = (P_0 - A)(\pi(a))$. In other words, $P_0 - A$ is a new projector P of V with $a(P_0 - A) = 0$

Letting $U' = \ker P$ we get $V = U \oplus U'$ where U' is \mathfrak{g} -invariant, since the projector P commutes with \mathfrak{g} .

U and U' are our complementary \mathfrak{g} -submodules. □

The proof of Levi's Theorem follows the same method.

Proof: Levi's Theorem. We prove it by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. The case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$ is obvious.

Case 1 $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is not abelian. Consider the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/[R(\mathfrak{g}), R(\mathfrak{g})]$, where $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and we apply the inductive assumption. $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{s} \ltimes R(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$. Let \mathfrak{g}_1 be the preimage of \bar{s} in \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + R(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$. By the inductive assumption, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = s \ltimes R(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. and $\mathfrak{g} = s \ltimes (R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Exercise 23.2. $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g})$ is a solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} , since $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is a maximal solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} so $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$, show that $\mathfrak{g} = R(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes s$.

Proof. [Solution] $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} : Write an arbitrary element of $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g})$ as $a + b$, where $a \in R(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ and $b \in R(\mathfrak{g})$. Write an arbitrary element of \mathfrak{g} as $c + d$, where $c \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $d \in R(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $[a + b, c + d] = [a, c] + [a, d] + [b, c + d]$.

We have $[a, c] \in R(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ because $R(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_1 , $[a, d], [b, c + d] \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ because $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal. Furthermore, $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g})$ is solvable. Assume $R(\mathfrak{g}_1)^{(m)} = 0$, and $R(\mathfrak{g})^{(n)} = 0$, then $(R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g}))^{(m)} \subseteq R(\mathfrak{g}_1)^{(m)} + R(\mathfrak{g}) = R(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, $(R(\mathfrak{g}_1) + R(\mathfrak{g}))^{(mn)} = 0$. Thus, we have a solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} which contains $R(\mathfrak{g})$, so we conclude that our ideal is in fact $R(\mathfrak{g})$, and $R(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence we conclude

$$\mathfrak{g} = s \times R(\mathfrak{g}).$$

□

Case 2 $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian. Construct the following \mathfrak{g} -module structure on the space $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$: $a(m) = (\mathbf{ad } a)m - m(\mathbf{ad } a)$, $m \in \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\tilde{M} = \{m \in \text{End } \mathfrak{g} | m(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g}), m(R(\mathfrak{g})) = 0\}$. Since $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , \tilde{M} is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$. Next let $\tilde{R} = \{\mathbf{ad } a | a \in R(\mathfrak{g})\}$, which is also a \mathfrak{g} -module.

Finally, put $M = \tilde{M}/\tilde{R}$. This is a \mathfrak{g} -module as it is the quotient of two \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Exercise 23.3. Show that M is an s -module and that $R(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on M trivially.

Proof. [Solution] $R(\mathfrak{g})$ acts trivially on M because $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian. $[R(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{M}] = 0$. Therefore, M is an s -module because we let \tilde{s} be the preimage of s in \tilde{M} and define $s(m) = \tilde{s}(m)$. As $R(\mathfrak{g})$ acts trivially, this is well-defined. □

Now fix a projector P_0 of \mathfrak{g} on $R(\mathfrak{g})$.

Exercise 23.4. Prove that $f(a) = (\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})$, where \tilde{a} is the preimage of $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ under the canonical map $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}/\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g}) = s$, is a well-defined 1-cocycle of s in $M = \tilde{M}/\tilde{R}$.

Proof. [Solution] First we need to show that f is well defined on M . For any $r \in \tilde{R}$, $(\mathbf{ad } (\tilde{a} + r))P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } (\tilde{a} + r)) = (\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})P_0 + (\mathbf{ad } r)P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a} + \mathbf{ad } r) = (\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a}) + 0 - (\mathbf{ad } r)$. This is because P_0 is the identity on $R(\mathfrak{g})$ and $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian, so $\mathbf{ad } r(R(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$. f is well-defined on M .

To show that it is a 1-cocycle we compute the necessary identity: $f[a, b] = (\mathbf{ad } [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}])P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}])$. Here we use that the fact that the pullback map respects the Lie bracket.

$$\begin{aligned} a(f(b)) - b(f(a)) &= (\mathbf{ad } a)f(b) - f(b)(\mathbf{ad } a) - (\mathbf{ad } b)f(a) + f(a)(\mathbf{ad } b) = (\mathbf{ad } a)((\mathbf{ad } \tilde{b})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{b})) - ((\mathbf{ad } \tilde{b})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{b}))(\mathbf{ad } a) - (\mathbf{ad } b)((\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})) + ((\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a})P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } \tilde{a}))(\mathbf{ad } b) = \\ &= (\mathbf{ad } [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}])P_0 - P_0(\mathbf{ad } [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Applying the vanishing of $H^1(s, M)$, we conclude that for $a \in s$, $f(a) = f_m(a)$ for some $m \in M$. Let \tilde{m} be a preimage of m in \tilde{M} from the canonical map and put $P = P_0 - \tilde{m}$. P is a projector of \mathfrak{g} on $R(\mathfrak{g})$ for which $P(s) = 0$.

It is immediate to check that $[P, \mathbf{ad } \mathfrak{g}] = [P, \mathbf{ad } R(\mathfrak{g})] \subset \mathbf{ad } R(\mathfrak{g})$. This gives two cases depending if P and \mathfrak{g} commute or not:

Case 1 $[P, \mathbf{ad } \mathfrak{g}] = 0$

Exercise 23.5. Given that $[P, \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, prove that $\ker P$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} so $\mathfrak{g} = \ker P \times R(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. [Solution] P is an endomorphism, so $\ker P$ is clearly a subalgebra. We need to show that $[\mathfrak{g}a] \in \ker P$. For $a \in \ker P$, $P([\mathfrak{g}, a]) = P(\mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}(a)) = \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}P(a)$, since $[P, \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, and $P(a) = 0$ as $a \in \ker P$, so $P([\mathfrak{g}, a]) = \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}(0) = 0$ and $[\mathfrak{g}a] \in \ker P$.

$\mathbf{ad} : R(\mathfrak{g}) \mapsto \text{End } \ker P$ since $\ker P$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g} \supset R(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, we make $\ker P \times R(\mathfrak{g})$. $\mathfrak{g} = \ker P \oplus R(\mathfrak{g})$ as vector spaces. The following is a Lie algebra homomorphism: $(k, r) \mapsto k+r \in \mathfrak{g}$. They clearly respect the operations. The bracket is defined in $\ker P \times R(\mathfrak{g})$ to agree with it in \mathfrak{g} . It is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Thus it is also a Lie algebra isomorphism. \square

Case 2 $[P, \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}] \neq 0$. Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [P, \mathbf{ad} a] = 0\}$. It is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$. By the inductive assumption, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = s \times \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. Now we use that $[P, \mathbf{ad} \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathbf{ad} R(\mathfrak{g})$. In other words, $[P, \mathbf{ad} b] = \mathbf{ad} r_b$, for any $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exists such $r_b \in R(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $(\mathbf{ad} r_b)P = 0$ as P is a projector with these properties) and $P \mathbf{ad} r_b = \mathbf{ad} r_b$, we get $[P, \mathbf{ad} r_b] = \mathbf{ad} r_b$. So $[P, \mathbf{ad} (b - r_b)] = 0$. This means $b - r_b \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + R(\mathfrak{g})$ as vector spaces. Apply the proof of Ex 23.2 we deduce that $\mathfrak{g} = s \times R(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

This proves Levi's Theorem.