

Lecture 13 — Structure Theory of Semisimple Lie Algebras II

Prof. Victor Kac

Scribe: Benjamin Iriarte

Throughout this lecture, let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie Algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0.

So far, we have proved:

1. The Killing form K of \mathfrak{g} is non-degenerate.
2. The algebra \mathfrak{g} contains a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Furthermore, \mathfrak{h} is abelian and diagonalizable on \mathfrak{g} , and we have:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right),$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} &= \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, a] = \alpha(h)a \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}, \\ \Delta &= \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq 0\}, \\ [\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] &\subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}, \text{ which is } 0 \text{ if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}, \text{ where } \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned}$$

3. The restriction $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}}$, *i.e.* $K(a, b)$ with $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, is non-degenerate, so it induces a pairing between \mathfrak{g}_{α} and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. In particular, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.
4. The restriction $K|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}}$ is non-degenerate, hence we have an isomorphism $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ given by $\nu(h)(h') = K(h, h')$ for all $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$. The map ν induces a bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* by $K(\alpha, \beta) = \beta(\nu^{-1}(\alpha)) = \alpha(\nu^{-1}(\beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We proved that $K(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ if $\alpha \in \Delta$.
5. For all $\alpha \in \Delta$, $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, we have:

$$[e, f] = K(e, f)\nu^{-1}(\alpha).$$

Now, given $\alpha \in \Delta$, pick non-zero $E \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ such that $K(E, F) = \frac{2}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$. Let $H = \frac{2\nu^{-1}(\alpha)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$. Then, we can check that:

$$\begin{aligned} [H, E] &= 2E, \\ [H, F] &= -2F, \\ [E, F] &= H. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of E and F is possible by 3 and the last claim of 4. We only verify the first equality, the second being analogous and the third coming from 5. We have:

$$\left[\frac{2\nu^{-1}(\alpha)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}, E \right] = \frac{2\alpha(\nu^{-1}(\alpha))}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} E = \frac{2K(\alpha, \alpha)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} E = 2E,$$

where the first equality comes from $\nu^{-1}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $E \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$.

If we now let $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = \mathbb{F}E + \mathbb{F}F + \mathbb{F}H$, then \mathfrak{a}_α is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ via:

$$E \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 1.1 (Key Lemma for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$). *Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic 0. Let π be a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ in a vector space V over \mathbb{F} and let $v \in V$ be a non-zero vector such that $\pi(E)v = 0$ and $\pi(H)v = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ (such vector is called a singular vector of weight λ).*

Then:

- a) $\pi(H)\pi(F)^n v = (\lambda - 2n)\pi(F)^n v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- b) $\pi(E)\pi(F)^n v = n(\lambda - n + 1)\pi(F)^{n-1} v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
- c) If $\dim V < \infty$, then $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the vectors $\pi(F)^j v$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda$ are linearly independent, and $\pi(F)^{\lambda+1} v = 0$.

Proof. a) We prove this by induction on n . For $n = 0$, the result is given to us. Suppose it holds for $n = k - 1$ for some $k > 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(H)\pi(F)^k v &= \pi(F)\pi(H)\pi(F)^{k-1} v + [\pi(H), \pi(F)]\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= \pi(F)(\lambda - 2(k-1))\pi(F)^{k-1} v + \pi([H, F])\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= (\lambda - 2(k-1))\pi(F)^k v + \pi(-2F)\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= (\lambda - 2(k-1))\pi(F)^k v - 2\pi(F)^k v \\ &= (\lambda - 2k)\pi(F)^k v. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction.

Exercise 13.1. b) Again, we use induction on n . For the case $n = 1$, we have $\pi(E)\pi(F)v = \pi(F)\pi(E)v + [\pi(E), \pi(F)]v = 0 + \pi([E, F])v = \pi(H)v = \lambda v$. Suppose the result holds for $n = k - 1$ for some $k > 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(E)\pi(F)^k v &= \pi(F)\pi(E)\pi(F)^{k-1} v + [\pi(E), \pi(F)]\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= \pi(F)(k-1)(\lambda - (k-1) + 1)\pi(F)^{k-2} v + \pi([E, F])\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= (k-1)(\lambda - (k-1) + 1)\pi(F)^{k-1} v + \pi(H)\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= (k-1)(\lambda - (k-1) + 1)\pi(F)^{k-1} v + (\lambda - 2(k-1))\pi(F)^{k-1} v \\ &= k(\lambda - k + 1)\pi(F)^{k-1} v. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

c) Suppose $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, the term $n(\lambda - n + 1)$ is non-zero for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, by induction, we get $\pi(F)^n v \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. But by a), this implies that all vectors $\pi(F)^n v$ are

eigenvectors of $\pi(H)$ with distinct eigenvalues. This allows us to conclude $\dim V = \infty$, therefore proving the first claim. If now, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, by the same argument we see that the vectors $\pi(F)^n v$ are linearly independent for $0 \leq n \leq \lambda$, and moreover, if $\pi(F)^{\lambda+1} v \neq 0$, then by induction we see that $\pi(F)^n v \neq 0$ for all $n > \lambda + 1$, and so there are infinitely many linearly independent vectors. Hence, if $\dim V < \infty$, then $\pi(F)^{\lambda+1} v = 0$, proving the last two claims. □

Exercise 13.2. Using the notation of the Key Lemma for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$, if v instead satisfies that $\pi(F)v = 0$ and $\pi(H)v = \lambda v$, then we have:

- a) $\pi(H)\pi(E)^n v = (\lambda + 2n)\pi(E)^n v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- b) $\pi(F)\pi(E)^n v = -n(\lambda + n - 1)\pi(E)^{n-1} v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
- c) If $\dim V < \infty$, then $-\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the vectors $\pi(E)^j v$ for $0 \leq j \leq -\lambda$ are linearly independent, and $\pi(F)^{-\lambda+1} v = 0$.

Proof. It is enough to check that the function $\psi(E) = F$, $\psi(F) = E$, $\psi(H) = -H$, is an automorphism of \mathfrak{a}_α . Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(H), \psi(E)] &= 2\psi(E), \\ [\psi(H), \psi(F)] &= -2\psi(F), \\ [\psi(E), \psi(F)] &= \psi(H). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Key Lemma shows that if $\pi(\psi(E))v = 0$ and $\pi(\psi(H))v = \lambda' v$ with $\lambda' \in \mathbb{F}$, then:

- a) $\pi(\psi(H))\pi(\psi(F))^n v = (\lambda' - 2n)\pi(\psi(F))^n v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- b) $\pi(\psi(E))\pi(\psi(F))^n v = n(\lambda' - n + 1)\pi(\psi(F))^{n-1} v$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
- c) If $\dim V < \infty$, then $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the vectors $\pi(\psi(F))^j v$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda'$ are linearly independent, and $\pi(\psi(F))^{\lambda'+1} v = 0$.

Now, let $\lambda' = -\lambda$ and evaluate ψ to obtain the result. □

Theorem 1.2. *The root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} with respect to a Cartan Subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and the set of roots Δ satisfy the following properties:*

- a) $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$.
- b) If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, then $\{\beta + n\alpha\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cap (\Delta \cup \{0\})$ is a finite connected string $\{\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p - 1)\alpha, \dots, \beta, \dots, \beta + (q - 1)\alpha, \beta + q\alpha\}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p - q = \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$.
- c) If $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$.
- d) If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $n\alpha \in \Delta$ if and only if $n = 1$ or $n = -1$.

Proof. **a)** Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha > 1$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} > 1$ by non-degeneracy of the restriction $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}}$, property 3 above. Consider the adjoint representation of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = \mathbb{F}E + \mathbb{F}F + \mathbb{F}H$ on \mathfrak{g} . In particular, recall $H = \frac{2\nu^{-1}(\alpha)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} > 1$, there exists a non-zero vector $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ such that $K(E, v) = 0$. Hence, $(\mathbf{ad} E)v = [E, v] = K(E, v)\nu^{-1}(\alpha) = 0$. But $(\mathbf{ad} H)v = [H, v] = \frac{2[\nu^{-1}(\alpha), v]}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} = \frac{-2\alpha(\nu^{-1}(\alpha))v}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} = \frac{-2K(\alpha, \alpha)v}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} = -2v$. Hence, $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \infty$ by the Key Lemma, which yields a contradiction.

b) Let q be the largest integer such that $\beta + q\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Notice $q \geq 0$. Pick a non-zero vector $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+q\alpha}$. Then, $(\mathbf{ad} E)v = 0$ since it lies in $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(q+1)\alpha}$. Also, $(\mathbf{ad} H)v = (\beta + q\alpha)(H)v = \left(\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2q\right)v$. Hence, by the Key Lemma: $\lambda := \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $(\mathbf{ad} F)^j v$ are non-zero vectors for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda$. But $(\mathbf{ad} F)^j v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(q-j)\alpha}$, so $\beta + q\alpha, \beta + (q-1)\alpha, \dots, \beta + (q-\lambda)\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Define $p := -(q - \lambda) = q + \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$. Let p' be the largest integer for which $\beta - p'\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Again, notice $p' \geq 0$. Pick a non-zero vector $v' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-p'\alpha}$. Then, $(\mathbf{ad} F)v' = 0$ and $(\mathbf{ad} H)v' = \left(\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} - 2p'\right)v'$. By the corollary of the Key Lemma, we conclude that $-\lambda' := 2p' - \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and that $\beta - p'\alpha, \beta - (p' - 1)\alpha, \dots, \beta - (p' + \lambda')\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Define $q' := -(p' + \lambda') = p' - \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$. Since q and p' are the largest integers for which $\beta + q\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ (resp. $\beta - p'\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$), we conclude that $q \geq q'$ and $p' \geq p$. Hence, $\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} = p - q \leq p' - q' = \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)}$, showing that $p = p', q = q', p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

c) Pick the largest integers p and q such that $\beta - p\alpha, \beta + q\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Pick a non-zero vector $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-p\alpha}$. Then, $(\mathbf{ad} F)v = 0$ and $(\mathbf{ad} H)v = \left(\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} - 2p\right)v$. By the corollary of the Key Lemma, $(\mathbf{ad} E)^j v \neq 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2p - \frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\alpha, \alpha)} = p + q$. But $q \geq 1$ since $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, so $(\mathbf{ad} E)^{p+1}v$ is a non-zero vector. Its corresponding root is $\alpha + \beta$, and $(\mathbf{ad} E)^p v \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, so $[E, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \neq 0$. Hence, $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} = 1$.

d) Let $\beta = n\alpha$, $n \neq 0$. Then, $\frac{2K(\alpha, \beta)}{K(\beta, \beta)} = \frac{2n}{n^2} = \frac{2}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, either $n = 2, 1, -1$ or -2 . However, $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = 0$ by **a)** (resp. $[\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = 0$), so 2α (resp. -2α) is not a root because otherwise, **c)** would imply that $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ (resp. $[\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$).

□

Exercise 13.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$. We know K is non-degenerate, so \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. We will find all possibilities for p and q in the proof above. Suppose \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with associated root system Δ . Under an inner automorphism σ of \mathfrak{g} , the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} is sent to a conjugate Cartan Subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}' := \sigma(\mathfrak{h})$, and Δ is sent to the root system Δ' consisting of all linear functionals on \mathfrak{h}' of the form $\alpha\sigma^{-1}$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$. Hence, we have the root space

decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha' \in \Delta'} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha'} \right)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha'} = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, a] = \alpha'(h)a \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}'\}$. However,

inner automorphisms preserve the trace and we can check that $K(\alpha, \beta) = K(\alpha\sigma^{-1}, \beta\sigma^{-1})$, so the values of p and q are independent of the choice of Cartan subalgebra.

To construct \mathfrak{h} , take a diagonal matrix $a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{g}$ all of whose diagonal entries are distinct. By the extension of Exercise 3 in Lecture 7 to $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$, a is regular in \mathfrak{g} . Hence, \mathfrak{g}_0^a is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , so let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0^a$. As $(\mathbf{ad} a)^N e_{i,j} = (a_i - a_j)^N e_{i,j}$ for all $N \geq 0$, we see that \mathfrak{h} is

precisely the set of diagonal matrices of \mathfrak{g} . A basis for \mathfrak{h}^* is given by $\{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n\}$, where $\varepsilon_i(b) = b_i$ for any $b = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We can check that:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{F}e_{i,j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq n.$$

Hence, the set $\Delta := \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j | i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j\}$ is a root system for \mathfrak{g} . For no pair of roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ it is true that $\beta + 3\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$. Thus, the only possibilities for (q, p) are $(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)$.

When $n = 2$, we can only have $(q, p) = (2, 0), (0, 2)$. Let $\alpha := \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$. Then $\Delta = \{\pm\alpha\}$, so $\alpha = \alpha + (0)\alpha$, $0 = \alpha - (1)\alpha$, $-\alpha = \alpha - (2)\alpha$ and we have $\alpha, 0, -\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$, giving all possible values for p and q .

If $n = 3$, we can only have $(q, p) = (2, 0), (0, 2), (1, 0), (0, 1)$. We have the pairs $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ by the previous case. Now, letting $\beta := \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$ and $\gamma := \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$ so that $\Delta = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm\gamma\}$, we see that $\alpha - \beta, \alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ but $\alpha - 2\beta, \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$, and similar relations hold among α, γ and β, γ by symmetry.

If $n \geq 4$, we can only have $(q, p) = (2, 0), (0, 2), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)$. The first four pairs come from the previous two cases. The fifth pair $(0, 0)$ occurs if we let $\delta = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$ and then notice that $\alpha - \delta, \alpha + \delta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$.

In general, let $\alpha_{ij} := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then, for all multisets $\{i, j, k, l\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$:

if $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = 2$, then α_{ij} and α_{kl} are related by pairs $(2, 0), (0, 2)$;

if $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = 1$, then α_{ij} and α_{kl} are related by pairs $(1, 0), (0, 1)$;

if $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = 0$, then α_{ij} and α_{kl} are related by the pair $(0, 0)$.