18.745 Introduction to Lie Algebras		October 14, 2010
Lecture $11$ — The Radical and Semisimple Lie Algebras		
Prof. Victor Kac	Scribe: Scott Ke	ovach and Qinxuan Pan

**Exercise 11.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Then

- 1. *if*  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  are ideals, then  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  are ideals, and if  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are solvable then  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  are solvable.
- 2. If  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal and  $b \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a subalgebra, then  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  is a subalgebra

Solution:

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $[x, a+b] = [x, a] + [x, b] \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  since  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are ideals. Similarly, if  $y \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  then  $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{a}, [x, y] \in \mathfrak{b}$ , so  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal too.

By an easy induction,  $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})^{(i)} \subset \mathfrak{a}^{(i)}$ , which is eventually zero if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is solvable, so  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  is solvable.

We showed earlier (in lecture 4) that if  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and both  $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  are solvable, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable. Consider  $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b}$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{b}$  is solvable. Noether's Third Isomorphism Theorem shows  $(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  (more explicitly, look at the homomorphisms  $\mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} \to (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b}$ , notice that the kernel of their composition is exactly  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ ). Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is solvable,  $\mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$  is solvable. Hence  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  is solvable.

For part 2, given any  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $[a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2] = [a_1, a_2] + [b_1, a_2] + [a_1, b_2] + [b_1, b_2]$ . Notice the sum of the first three terms is in  $\mathfrak{a}$  since it is an ideal, and the last term is in  $\mathfrak{b}$  since it is a subalgebra, thus the entire sum is in  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ . The closure of  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$  under addition and scalar multiplication is obvious. Thus the statement is proven.

**Definition 11.1.** A radical  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of maximal possible dimension.

**Proposition 11.1.** The radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains any solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and is unique.

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} + R(\mathfrak{g})$  is again a solvable ideal. Since  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  is of maximal dimension,  $\mathfrak{a} + R(\mathfrak{g}) = R(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$ . For uniqueness, if there are two distinct maximal dimensional solvable ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then by above explanation, the sum is actually equal to both ideals. Thus we have a contradiction.

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra, then  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ . The opposite case is when  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ .

**Definition 11.2.** A finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called semisimple if  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ .

**Proposition 11.2.** A finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple if and only if either of the following two conditions holds:

- 1. Any solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 0.
- 2. Any abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 0.

*Proof.* The first condition is obviously equivalent to semisimplicity.

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains a non-zero solvable ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$ . For some k, we have

$$\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{r}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{r}^{(2)} \supset \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{r}^{(k)} = 0,$$

hence  $\mathfrak{r}^{(k-1)}$  is a nonzero abelian ideal, since all the  $\mathfrak{r}^{(i)}$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Abelian ideals are solvable, so the other direction is obvious.

**Remark 11.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra and  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  its radical. Then  $\mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})$  is a semisimple Lie algebra. Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})$  contains a non-zero solvable ideal  $\mathfrak{f}$ , then its preimage  $\mathfrak{r}$  contains  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  properly, so that  $\mathfrak{r}/R(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{f}$ , which is solvable, hence  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a larger solvable ideal than  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ , a contradiction.

So an arbitrary finite dimensional Lie algebra "reduces" to a solvable Lie algebra  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  and a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})$ .

In the case char  $\mathbb{F} = 0$  a stronger result holds:

**Theorem 11.1.** (Levi decomposition) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0, then there exists a semisimple subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , complementary to the radical  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ , such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus R(\mathfrak{g})$  as vector spaces.

**Definition 11.3.** A decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$  (direct sum of vector spaces), where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a subalgebra and  $\mathfrak{r}$  is an ideal is called a semi-direct sum of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}$  and is denoted by  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ . The special case when  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal as well corresponds to the direct sum:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ .

**Remark 11.2.** The open end of  $\ltimes$  goes on the side of the ideal. When both are ideals, we use  $\times$  or  $\oplus$ , and the sum is direct.

**Exercise 11.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}$  be Lie algebras and let  $\gamma : \mathfrak{h} \to \text{Der}(\mathfrak{r})$  be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$  be the direct sum of vector spaces and extend the bracket on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and on  $\mathfrak{r}$  to the whole of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by letting

$$[h,r] = -[r,h] = \gamma(h)(r)$$

for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $r \in \mathfrak{r}$ . Show that this provides  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a Lie algebra structure,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ , and that any semidirect sum of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}$  is obtained in this way. Finally, show that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{r}$  if and only if  $\gamma = 0$ .

Solution:

The bracket so defined is clearly skew-symmetric. Restricted to  $\mathfrak{h}$  or  $\mathfrak{r}$  it satisfies the Jacobi identity. Take  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathfrak{r}$ . Then we have  $[h_1, [r_1, r_2]] + [r_1, [r_2, h_1]] + [r_2, [h_1, r_1]] = \gamma(h_1)([r_1, r_2]) - [r_1, \gamma(h_1)(r_2)] + [r_2, \gamma(h_1)(r_1)] = [\gamma(h_1)(r_1), r_2] + [r_1, \gamma(h_1)(r_2)] - [r_1, \gamma(h_1)(r_2)] + [r_2, \gamma(h_1)(r_1)] = 0$ . We used the fact that  $\gamma(h_1)$  is a derivation.

Furthermore,  $[h_1, [h_2, r_1]] + [h_2, [r_1, h_1]] + [r_1, [h_1, h_2]] = [h_1, \gamma(h_2)(r_1)] - [h_2, \gamma(h_1)(r_1)] - \gamma([h_1, h_2])(r_1) = \gamma(h_1)\gamma(h_2)(r_1) - \gamma(h_2)\gamma(h_1)(r_1) - (\gamma(h_1)\gamma(h_2)(r_1) - \gamma(h_2)\gamma(h_1)(r_1)) = 0.$ We used the fact that  $\gamma : \mathfrak{h} \to \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{r})$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism. It follows that [,] is a Lie bracket. Clearly  $\mathfrak{r}$  is an ideal under the bracket, so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ .

Conversely, by the Jacobi identity, any bracket on  $\mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{r})$  (essentially we just reverse the two calculations above). Finally, if  $\gamma = 0$  then [h, r] = 0 for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathfrak{r}$ , and if [h, r] = 0 for all h, r then plainly  $\gamma(h) = 0$  for all h, so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{r}$  if and only if  $\gamma = 0$ .

**Exercise 11.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset gl_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a subspace consisting of matrices with arbitrary first m rows and 0 for the rest of the rows. Find  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  and a Levi decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Solution:

Write  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  as (A, B), where A is the upper-left  $m \times m$  matrix block and B is the upper-right  $m \times (n-m)$  block of x. Take y = (A', B'). Then it is clear that [x, y] = ([A, A'], AB' - A'B). Hence, if  $\mathfrak{h} \subset gl_m \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{gl}_m$ , then  $(\mathfrak{h}, 0) + R$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where R denotes the set of all (A, B) with A = 0. Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is solvable if and only if  $\mathfrak{h} + R$  is solvable, because of [R, R] = 0 and the above identity. Notice that the radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  obviously contains R. Hence, the radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponds to the radical of  $\mathfrak{gl}_m$ , i.e.  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = (R(\mathfrak{gl}_m), 0) + R$ . But, by the notes and problem 4 below, the radical of  $gl_m$  is  $\mathbb{F}I$ , the scalar matrices. Hence  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathbb{F}I, 0) + R$  (sum of ideals). The complement of this can obviously be the subalgebra  $(\mathfrak{sl}_m, 0)$ .

**Theorem 11.2.** Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_V$  be a subalgebra, which is irreducible i.e. any subspace  $U \subset V$ , which is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant, is either 0 or V. Then one of two possibilities hold:

- 1.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple
- 2.  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_V) \oplus \mathbb{F}I$  and  $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_V$  is semisimple.

Proof. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not semisimple, then  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  is a non-zero solvable ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By Lie's theorem, there exists  $\lambda \in R(\mathfrak{g})^*$  such that  $V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V | av = \lambda(a)v, a \in R(\mathfrak{g})\}$  is nonzero. By Lie's lemma,  $V_{\lambda}$  is invariant. Hence, by irreducibility  $V_{\lambda} = V$ . Hence  $a = \lambda(a)I_V$  for all  $a \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ , so  $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{F}I$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_V) \cap R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , which proves that we have case 2, as  $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_V$  is semisimple since it is the complement of the radical.

**Exercise 11.4.** Let V be finite-dimensional over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  which is algebraically closed and characteristic 0. Show that  $\mathfrak{gl}_V$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}_V$  are irreducible subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}_V$ . Deduce that  $\mathfrak{sl}_V$  is semisimple.

Solution: Suppose  $W \subset V, W \neq 0$  is fixed by  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ . Take nonzero vector  $w = \sum c_i v_i \in W$ , where  $\{v_i\}$  is a basis of V. Suppose  $c_k \neq 0$ , and pick  $\ell \neq k$ . Then  $e_{\ell k} \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$ , and  $e_{\ell k}w = c_k e_\ell \in W$ . For every  $m \neq \ell, e_{m\ell} \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$ , so  $e_{m\ell} e_\ell = e_m \in W$ . Hence W = V. Since  $\mathfrak{sl}_n \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is also irreducible. It follows from the theorem that  $\mathfrak{sl}_n \cap \mathfrak{sl}_n = \mathfrak{sl}_n$  is semisimple.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Recall the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ :  $K(a, b) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\operatorname{ad} a)(\operatorname{ad} b)$ .

**Theorem 11.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Then the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal, then the restriction of the Killing form to  $\mathfrak{a}, K|_{\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}}$ , is also non-degenerate and coincides with the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Exercise 11.5.** Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space with a symmetric bilinear form (,). Let U be a subspace such that the restriction (,) $|_{U \times U}$  is non-degenerate. Denote  $U^{\perp} = \{v \in V | (v, U) = 0\}$ . Then  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ .

Solution: We pick an arbitrary basis  $u_1, ..., u_m$  of U, and then extend it to a basis of V:  $u_1, ..., u_m, ..., u_n$ . Let the matrix associated with the given bilinear form relative to this basis be  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix}$ , where A is an  $m \times m$  invertible matrix. We want to change the basis (more specifically, the part  $u_{m+1}, ..., u_n$  to  $u'_{m+1}, ..., u'_n$ ) to make part B vanish. Suppose that the base change matrix is  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where the sizes of the blocks match that of Q. Then the new matrix associated to the bilinear form is  $P^TQP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & AX + B \\ X^TA + B^T & * \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus we can just take  $X = -A^{-1}B$  and the new matrix will have zero upper right block. It is obvious now that we have the desired decomposition by noticing that  $U^{\perp}$  equals span of  $u_{m+1}, ..., u_n$ .

**Lemma 11.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra and (,) be a symmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then

- 1. If  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal, then  $\mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$  is also an ideal.
- 2. If  $(,)|_{\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}}$  is non-degenerate, then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$ , a direct sum of Lie algebras.
- *Proof.* 1.  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$  means  $(v, \mathfrak{a}) = 0$ . If  $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $([v, b], \mathfrak{a}) = (v, [b, \mathfrak{a}]) = 0$ , since the form is invariant and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal. Hence  $\mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$  is an ideal.

## 2. Follows from the preceeding exercise and part 1.

Proof of the theorem. Suppose K is non-degenerate on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , but  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not semisimple. Hence there exists an abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . But then  $K(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , contradicting non-degeneracy of K. Indeed, if  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $(\operatorname{ad} x)(\operatorname{ad} y)z = [x, [y, z]] \in \mathfrak{a}$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{g}$  (and 0 for all  $z \in \mathfrak{a}$ . If follows that in the basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  of  $\mathfrak{a}, e_1, \ldots, e_k, e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n$  basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the matrix of  $(\operatorname{ad} x)(\operatorname{ad} y)$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . But trace of this matrix is 0, so K(x, y) = 0.

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $K_{\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}}$  is degenerate, so that  $\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{a}^{\perp}\neq 0$ , hence  $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $K(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b})=0$ . By considering the adjoing representation of  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and applying the Cartan criterion we conclude that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is solvable. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, we deduce that  $\mathfrak{b}=0$ . Thus if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, the Killing form is non-degenerate, by taking  $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{g}$ .

As for the second part, we already proved that  $K|_{\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}}$  is non-degenerate. Hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$ . By lemma it is a direct sum of ideals, so  $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}^{\perp}] = 0$ . Hence K for  $\mathfrak{a}$  equals K of  $\mathfrak{g}$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Definition 11.4.** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called simple if its only ideals are 0 and  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not abelian.

**Corollary 11.1.** Any semisimple, finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic 0 is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, but not simple, and if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal, then by the theorem, the Killing form restricted to  $\mathfrak{a}$  is non-degenerate, hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^{\perp}$  are also semisimple. After finitely many steps it can be decomposed into simple algebras.  $\Box$