

## Solutions to Problem Set 7

1) Let  $f(x, y) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (x + ay)$ , and then I claim that the element  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]/(f)$  cannot be integral over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x + a_0y]$  for any  $a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Indeed, otherwise we would have a relation of the form:

$$y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^i \cdot g_i(x + a_0y) = 0 \pmod{\prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (x + ay)}$$

where  $g_i$  are certain single variable polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Taking the constant coefficients of the polynomials  $g_i$ , we would obtain a monic polynomial in  $y$  that is divisible by  $x + a_0y$ , which is impossible.

2) Let  $K = \text{Frac } R$  denote the fraction field. We claim that:

$$K = \mathbb{C}(t) \quad \text{where} \quad t = \frac{x}{y}$$

Indeed,  $x = t^4$  and  $y = t^3$  due to the relation  $x^3 = y^4$ , which means that we have a surjective homomorphism  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(t)$ . But since  $K$  is a field, this is an isomorphism.

Under the identification  $K = \mathbb{C}(t)$ , the ring  $R$  corresponds to  $\mathbb{C}[t^3, t^4]$ , and so it is contained in  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is integral over  $R$  (e.g. the relation  $t^4 - x = 0$ ) and  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  (by analogy with the way we showed integers are integrally closed in the rationals), we conclude that the normalization of  $R$  is  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ .

3) We will prove the statement by induction on the degree of  $f$ . Suppose  $f = gh$  where  $g, h \in R'[x]$ , and consider the ring  $A_1 = R'[x]/(g)$ . Since  $g$  is monic, we have  $R' \subset A_1$ . By construction, the polynomial  $g$  has a root inside  $A$ , so we may write:

$$g(x) = (x - \alpha_1)g_1(x)$$

with  $g_1 \in A_1[x]$  monic. We repeat the procedure by constructing the ring  $A_2 = A_1[x]/(g_1)$  and so on, until after  $\deg f$  iterations of this procedure, we will have an extension:

$$R \subset R' \subset A$$

such that  $g(x) = (x - \alpha_1)\dots(x - \alpha_l)$  and  $h(x) = (x - \beta_1)\dots(x - \beta_l)$  inside the ring  $A$ . Each  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  are integral over  $R$ , since they are roots of  $f \in R[x]$ . But then so are any expression in the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's, including the coefficients of  $g$  and  $h$ .

4) Suppose the set of algebraic integers (i.e. those  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  which are integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is generated by  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ . Let us consider minimal polynomials:

$$(x - c_{i,1})\dots(x - c_{i,k_i}) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \quad \text{where} \quad c_{i,1} = c_i$$

for all  $i$ . Then for any integers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$ , we have:

$$\prod_{\substack{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ \text{where } s_i \text{ goes over } \{1, \dots, k_i\}}} (x - k_1 c_{1,s_1} - k_2 c_{2,s_2} - \dots - k_n c_{n,s_n}) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

because the coefficients of the left hand side are symmetric functions in  $\{c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,k_i}\}$  for all  $i$ . This would imply that any algebraic integer has a minimal polynomial of degree  $\leq k_1 \dots k_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which is absurd, since we could take for example a root of the polynomial  $x^{k_1 \dots k_n + 1} - 2$  (which is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion).