

Solutions to Problem Set 2

1) The fact that $1 + x$ is a unit for all $x \in I$ implies that I is contained in the Jacobson radical of R . But since I is maximal, the Jacobson radical is contained in I . We conclude that I coincides with the Jacobson radical, hence R is local. As for the question at the end, the Jacobson radical is always an ideal with the given property (even in non-local rings).

2) Any ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is principal (since polynomial rings over fields are Euclidean domains, hence principal ideal domains) and is therefore generated by a single polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. If this polynomial factors, the ideal it generates cannot be prime. Therefore, prime ideals can only correspond to irreducible polynomials, which in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ are just the linear and quadratic ones:

$$\mathfrak{p} = (x - a) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{p} = (x^2 - bx + c)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $b^2 < 4c$. In the former case, the prime ideal is determined by the real number a . In the latter case, the prime ideal is determined by a pair of conjugate complex, non-real numbers. We conclude that the points of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}[x]$ are in bijection with the upper half complex plane. Finally, the Zariski closed subsets consist of those primes $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$ which contain a given collection of polynomials $\{f_\alpha\}$. This amounts to requiring $f|f_\alpha$ for all α , i.e. $f|\text{gcd}(f_\alpha)$. Since the gcd is also a polynomial, this amounts to requiring f to be one of finitely many linear or quadratic polynomials. We conclude that the proper Zariski closed subsets are all the finite ones.

3) It is enough to prove the problem for $k = 2$ and then prove it by induction. Then we consider the ideal (e_1, e_2) and claim that it matches the ideal (e) where $e = e_1 + e_2 - e_1e_2$. First of all, e is an idempotent:

$$e(1 - e) = (e_1 + e_2 - e_1e_2)(1 - e_1)(1 - e_2) = 0$$

Clearly $(e) \subset (e_1, e_2)$. For the opposite inclusion, note that $e_1 = e_1^2 = e_1^2 + e_1(1 - e_1)e_2 = e_1e \in (e)$ and similarly $e_2 \in (e)$.

4) Fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and consider the set of all prime ideals contained in \mathfrak{p} , partially ordered by inclusion. We want to show that this set has a minimal

element, and using Zorn's lemma, we need to show that any chain has a lower bound. So consider a chain of ideals:

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha\} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \quad \forall \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\beta \text{ or } \mathfrak{p}_\beta \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

and consider the ideal $\mathfrak{r} = \bigcap_\alpha \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$. To show that it is the desired lower point, we must show that \mathfrak{r} is prime. So consider any two elements $x, y \notin \mathfrak{r}$. Then there exist α, β such that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$ and $y \notin \mathfrak{p}_\beta$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{p}_\beta$, hence $x, y \notin \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$, hence $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$, hence $xy \notin \mathfrak{r}$. This concludes the proof of \mathfrak{r} being prime.