

# 18.311 — MIT (Spring 2011)

Rodolfo R. Rosales (MIT, Math. Dept., 2-337, Cambridge, MA 02139).

April 15, 2011.

## Problem Set # 05.

**Due: Friday April 22.**

Turn it in before 3:30 PM, in the box provided in Room 2-108.

**IMPORTANT:** The Regular the Special Problems must be **stapled in TWO SEPARATE packages**, each with your **FULL NAME** clearly spelled.

### Contents

<b>1 Regular Problems.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Statement: GBNS02 Scheme B. Backward differences for $u_t + u_x = 0$ . . . . .	1
1.2 Statement: vNSA02 Scheme B. Backward differences for $u_t + u_x = 0$ . . . . .	1
1.3 Statement: AENS02 Scheme B. Backward differences for $u_t + u_x = 0$ . . . . .	2
1.4 Introduction to Computer Exercise in Fourier Series . . . . .	2
1.5 Statement: Computer Exercise in Fourier Series . . . . .	3
Study the convergence properties of the Fourier series. In particular, the effect of any singularities (i.e.: lack of smoothness) in the function. . . . .	3
<b>2 Special Problems.</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Statement: GBNS04 Scheme D. Centered differences for $u_t = u_{xx}$ . . . . .	5
2.2 Statement: vNSA04 Scheme D. Centered differences for $u_t = u_{xx}$ . . . . .	5
2.3 Statement: AENS01 Scheme A. Forward differences for $u_t + u_x = 0$ . . . . .	5

### 1 Regular Problems.

#### 1.1 Statement: GBNS02 Scheme B.

**Backward differences for  $u_t + u_x = 0$ .**

See the GBNS Good and Bad Numerical Schemes Problems.

#### 1.2 Statement: vNSA02 Scheme B.

**Backward differences for  $u_t + u_x = 0$ .**

See the vNSA von Neumann Stability Analysis Problems.

### 1.3 Statement: AENS02 Scheme B.

**Backward differences for  $u_t + u_x = 0$ .**

See the AENS Associated Equation to a Numerical Scheme Problems.

### 1.4 Introduction to Computer Exercise in Fourier Series.

Generally, a  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $F = F(x)$  can be expressed in terms of its **Fourier Series**:

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n e^{inx}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the  $n^{\text{th}}$  complex Fourier coefficient  $F_n$  is defined by

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(x) e^{-inx} dx, \quad \text{where } n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

An alternative formulation, obtained upon using  $e^{-inx} = \cos(nx) - i \sin(nx)$ , is given by:

$$F(x) = c_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n \cos(nx) + s_n \sin(nx)), \quad (1.3)$$

where: (i)  $c_0 = F_0$  and  $c_n = (F_n + F_{-n})$  are the cosine Fourier coefficients, and (ii)  $s_n = i(F_n - F_{-n})$  are the sine Fourier coefficients. Thus

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(x) dx, \quad (1.4)$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(x) \cos(nx) dx, \quad \text{for: } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.5)$$

$$s_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(x) \sin(nx) dx, \quad \text{for: } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.6)$$

**If  $F$  is real valued, then  $F_{-n}$  is the complex conjugate of  $F_n$ , so that**

$$c_n = 2 \operatorname{Re}(F_n) \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = -2 \operatorname{Im}(F_n) \quad \text{for } n > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Generally, the issue of how well (or even in which sense), the Fourier Series in (1.1) or in (1.3) converges to the function  $F$  is a rather subtle one. *The main point of this problem is to conduct a numerical exploration of some aspects of this question.* In particular, consider the **partial sums**:

$$F_N(x) = c_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (c_n \cos(nx) + s_n \sin(nx)), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $N$  is some natural number. Important questions are then: **How well does  $F_N$  approximate the function  $F$ ?** and **How big is the error and how fast does it vanish as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .**

**Remark 1.1** Obviously, an important element in answering the questions above is how fast the Fourier coefficients vanish as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is determined by how fast the **power spectrum**

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2}|F_n| = \sqrt{c_n^2 + s_n^2} \quad (1.9)$$

vanishes as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (**Assume that  $F$  is real valued, so that (1.7) applies**).

$P_n$  gives information on "how important" the  $n$ -th mode is in the Fourier Series expansion. The name follows from the fact that (in many physical situations) one can interpret the square of the amplitude of the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient (i.e.:  $P_n^2$ ) as the amount of energy in the  $n$ -th mode of the solution (this is the case for the wave equation, for example).

## 1.5 Statement: Computer Exercise in Fourier Series.

This problem objective is to "experimentally" **study how Fourier series converge**. For this purpose you should use the following MatLab scripts

FouSerRedame.m    fourierSC.m    FSFun.m    FSoption.m    FSoptionP.m    heatSln.m

Put the scripts in a directory and start MatLab there. The help command will work as usual, in particular: `help FouSerReadme` gives a description of all the scripts. Each script has its own detailed description. The **script you need is fourierSC**. The others (except for `heatSln`) are helper scripts.

### IMPORTANT:

- When you start the script `fourierSC`, it will ask you first the questions:
  - A. Do you want to use the fancy (with buttons) or the plain interface?
  - B. Up to how many terms in the Fourier series do you want to compute?
  - C. For which values you want to plot?

About **B** and **C**: Calculations will be done (and the results shown) for the partial sums in (1.8), for the values  $N = 0, N_{\text{skip}}, 2*N_{\text{skip}}, \dots, N_p$ . You will be asked to input  $N_{\text{skip}}$  and  $N_p$ .

- After you finish answering the questions, `fourierSC` will present you with a list of options for functions whose Fourier series it can compute: "user's choice", and pre-selected. **Check the scripts code to make sure you understand exactly which functions you are dealing with!**
- The script `FSFun.m` is the one used to input the "user's choice" selection, whatever function you program there will be the one used when "user's choice" is selected. A trivial example is pre-programmed in `FSFun.m`, but **you can alter it**, and write there any function for which you want to investigate the Fourier series — this is so you can **go beyond** the preselected options.
- The pre-selected options include smooth functions, as well as functions with various types of singular behaviors — discontinuities, corners and cusps. The idea is to investigate how any particular "singular" behavior in the function is related to the convergence properties of its Fourier series.

A **cusp** is a singularity such as the one that  $\sqrt{|x|}$  has at the origin. Other possibilities are  $|x|^\alpha$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Investigate the effect of singularities of this type on the convergence!

**The most important singular behavior whose effect on the Fourier series you should elucidate is that of a discontinuity. How does it affect the convergence? How do the partial sums look like in this case? Is there any peculiar behavior you can observe?**

Odd and even functions are also provided in the pre-selections, so that you can see what effect symmetries of the function have on its Fourier series. Can you think of other symmetries?

- The script `fourierSC` makes lots of plots, which will be made one on top of the other. You will need to move the windows to see all the plots. **These plots illustrate various aspects of how a Fourier series behaves, as follows** (this is the order in which the plots are done):

- **Exact function whose Fourier series is being computed.**

- **Sine Fourier coefficients  $s_n$ , as a function of  $n$ .**

- **Cosine Fourier coefficients  $c_n$ , as a function of  $n$ .**

- **Semi-log plot of the power spectrum  $p_n = \sqrt{c_n^2 + s_n^2}$  as a function of  $n$ .**

Exponential decay will give a straight line in this kind of plot.

- **Log-log plot of the power spectrum as a function of  $n$ .**

Algebraic decay will give a straight line in this kind of plot.

- **Partial sums  $F_N = F_N(x)$  — as in equation (1.8) — for  $N = 0:Nskip:Np$ .**

All these plots will be shown in the same window, so you must look at them as they are done.

- **Relative error in the approximation  $F_N$ , as a function of  $N$ .**

Shows the error in the partial sums in (1.8), as a function of  $N$ , for  $N = 1:Np$ .

- **Semi-log plot of the relative error, as a function of  $N$ .**

- **Log-log plot of the relative error, as a function of  $N$ .**

### **This is what you should do:**

Use the script `fourierSC` and report any "patterns" or peculiar behavior you observe in the way Fourier series converge. Experiment with the various choices. Look at the plots and think: what is happening? Many of the plots are useful in figuring out how fast things converge (i.e. how fast do the Fourier coefficients vanish as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Look at the plots, look for patterns and trends. Make hypothesis as to what is happening and **check them by further experimentation**. Use the script `FSFun` to produce functions where you can test your hypothesis. Write your conclusions in the answers. **Describe the evidence for your conclusions** — no proof is required, numerical evidence is enough, but **you must produce, and describe, the evidence!** Think of it in the same way that

you would think in the situation of a lab experimenter trying to figure out what happens in some problem. **A few plots with your answer are fine, but please, just a few!**

**IMPORTANT.**

**Anything smaller than about  $10^{-14}$  is numerical error. Ignore it!**

## **2 Special Problems.**

### **2.1 Statement: GBNS04 Scheme D.**

**Centered differences for  $u_t = u_{xx}$ .**

See the GBNS Good and Bad Numerical Schemes Problems.

### **2.2 Statement: vNSA04 Scheme D.**

**Centered differences for  $u_t = u_{xx}$ .**

See the vNSA von Neumann Stability Analysis Problems.

### **2.3 Statement: AENS01 Scheme A.**

**Forward differences for  $u_t + u_x = 0$ .**

See the AENS Associated Equation to a Numerical Scheme Problems.

---

**THE END.**