

# 18.085, PROBLEM SET 5, DUE 7/22 IN CLASS

No (or almost no) Matlab this week!

## Question 1. (40 pts.) Standing waves revisited.

Consider the wave equation for the height  $u$  of a string,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( c(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x, t) \right) + f,$$

say in the unit  $x$  interval, with fixed ends and constant stiffness 1, and no forcing, that is, we solve

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(x, t), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0.$$

Because of what we tried in the previous problem set, question (c), we expect  $u(x, t) = \sin(k2\pi x) \cos(k2\pi t)$  to be a solution ( $k$  some real number), if our initial conditions are  $u(x, 0) = \sin(k2\pi x)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x, 0) = 0$ .

- Verify our guess for  $u$  satisfies both initial conditions. Do you get a restriction on the possible values of  $k$ ?
- Verify our guess for  $u$  satisfies both boundary conditions. Do you get a restriction on the possible values of  $k$ ?
- Verify our guess for  $u$  satisfies the wave equation (0.1). Do you get a restriction on the possible values of  $k$ ?
- Based on your answers to (a), (b), (c), what would you suggest as a guess for the solution of (0.1) if we changed the boundary conditions to be free-free ends, that is,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(0, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(1, t) = 0$ ? What would be the appropriate restriction on  $k$ ?

Feel free to go back to problem set 4 and try your answers, see if it seems to be working!

## Question 2. (30 pts.) Resonance and practice with (complex) exponentials.

In this question,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , the unit imaginary number. Recall the equation for one mass and one spring, where  $u$  is the position of the mass away from equilibrium, is  $mu'' + ku = 0$  (no forcing), where the prime ' means a derivative in time, and  $u = u(t)$  is a function of time only (no space). Now we consider a slightly more general equation :

$$(0.2) \quad mu'' + bu' + ku = f.$$

The term with  $b$  means we have added damping to the system. As usual,  $f$  is some external forcing. You can probably guess what the solution would “look” like, but let’s compute it! Again, we guess that  $u = e^{rt}$  where  $r$  is some real number to be found (we can’t expect anymore that  $r = i\omega = i\sqrt{k/m}$ , the natural frequency of the *un-damped* system). Notice that we have not defined any initial conditions for now, and we don’t have boundary conditions since there is no  $x$  here.

- Let  $f = 0$ . Verify our guess for  $u$  satisfies (0.2). Do you get a restriction on the possible values of  $r$ ?
- Put  $b = 0$  (no damping) in your answer for  $r$  from (a) and verify that this corresponds to what we expect for the un-damped system.

- c) Let  $b = 0$  again, and now let  $f(t) = e^{rt}$ , where  $r$  is one of the (perhaps multiple) possible values of  $r$  you got in (b). Does the guess  $u = e^{rt}$  still satisfy (0.2) with  $b = 0$  and  $f(t) = e^{rt}$ ?
- d) Same as in (c), but now try the guess  $u = Ate^{rt}$ , where  $A$  is the amplitude, possibly a complex number. Does this guess satisfy (0.2) with  $b = 0$  and  $f(t) = e^{rt}$ ? (Again,  $r$  as in (b).) Do you get a restriction on  $A$ ?

This proves that, if you force a system such as (0.2) at its natural frequency, the amplitude of your solution will grow in time (but will still oscillate). This is resonance.

**Question 3. (30 pts.) Structures, or how to build a stable treehouse.**

We will look at the treehouses on the bottom of pages 192-193 (figures 2.30, 2.31) of the book.

- a) Build the matrix  $A$  corresponding to the first treehouse, on page 192, with nodes 6 and 7 fixed (hence don't include them in your matrix), so the truss shown on the right of figure 2.30. Show that the mechanism they describe ( $u_2^V = u_5^V = \Delta$ ) is in the null space of matrix  $A$ , that is, it is a possible mechanism (and the treehouse is unsafe).
- b) Add a new bar in the treehouse as in Figure 2.31, modify  $A$  accordingly, and show that, again, the treehouse is unsafe. In particular, find a basis for the null space of the new  $A$ .
- c) Take the treehouse in (b), and make it safe, that is, add as *few* bars as possible to make it safe. Do *not* add new nodes. Prove your new treehouse is safe by showing that the columns of the corresponding  $A$  are independent (you might want to use Matlab for that). Do *not* give a physical explanation - it's easy to make mistakes in this way, but the math is always clear.

Note: lengths of bars don't matter! Assume bars 3, 4, 7, 8 are vertical, bars 2, 5, 6 are horizontal, and bars 1 and 5 make an angle  $\theta_1$ , as shown on the left of figure 2.30. And assume bar 9, when you add it in (b), makes an angle of  $\phi$  with the horizontal as shown on the left of figure 2.31. When you add a bar in (c), make a drawing of where you will put it and what angle it will be at (you can use a variable for that too).