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## Valid orderings

(real) arrangement: a set of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\mathcal{A}$ : a finite arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
$\boldsymbol{p}$ : any point not on any $H \in \mathcal{A}$
$L$ : sufficiently generic directed line through $p$

## Valid orderings

$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ : order in which hyperplanes are crossed by $L$ coming in from $\infty$

## Valid orderings

$\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ : order in which hyperplanes are crossed by $L$ coming in from $\infty$

Call this a valid ordering of $(\mathcal{A}, p)$.

## An example


valid ordering: 3, 4, 1, 2, 5

## The valid order arrangment

vo $(\mathcal{A}, p)$ : hyperplanes are

- affine span of $p$ with $H_{1} \cap H_{2} \neq \emptyset$, where $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are distinct hyperplanes
- if $H_{1} \cap H_{2}=\emptyset$, then the hyperplane through $p$ parallel to $H_{1}, H_{2}$
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Regions of vo $(\mathcal{A})$
region of $\mathcal{A}$ : connected component of
$\mathbb{R}^{n}-\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$.
The regions of vo $(\mathcal{A}, p)$ correspond to valid orderings of hyperplanes by lines through $p$ (easy).
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## A special case

$\mathcal{P}$ : a $d$-dimensional convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
Certain facets of $\mathcal{P}$ are visible from points $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

## A special case

$\mathcal{P}$ : a d-dimensional convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
Certain facets of $\mathcal{P}$ are visible from points $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$


- green facets are visible


## The visibility arrangement

$\operatorname{aff}(S)$ : the affine span of a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$
visibility arrangement:

$$
\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})=\{\operatorname{aff}(F): F \text { is a facet of } \mathcal{P}\}
$$

## The visibility arrangement

$\operatorname{aff}(S)$ : the affine span of a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$
visibility arrangement:

$$
\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})=\{\operatorname{aff}(F): F \text { is a facet of } \mathcal{P}\}
$$

Regions of $\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$ correspond to sets of facets that are visible from some point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## An example



## Number of regions

$\boldsymbol{v}(\mathcal{P})$ : number of regions of $\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$, i.e., the number of visibility sets of $\mathcal{P}$
$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ : characteristic polynomial of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$

Zaslavsky's theorem. Number of regions of $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{r}(\mathcal{A})=(-1)^{d} \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(-1) .
$$

## Number of regions

$\boldsymbol{v}(\mathcal{P})$ : number of regions of $\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$, i.e., the number of visibility sets of $\mathcal{P}$
$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ : characteristic polynomial of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$

Zaslavsky's theorem. Number of regions of $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{r}(\mathcal{A})=(-1)^{d} \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(-1) .
$$

In general, $r(\mathcal{A})$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ are hard to compute.

## A simple example

$\mathcal{P}_{n}=n$-cube

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\operatorname{vis}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)}(q) & =(q-2)^{n} \\
v\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right) & =3^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A simple example

$\mathcal{P}_{n}=n$-cube

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\mathrm{vis}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)}(q) & =(q-2)^{n} \\
v\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right) & =3^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any facet $F$, can see either $F,-F$, or neither.

## The 2-cube



## Line shellings

## Let $p \in \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{P})$ (interior of $\mathcal{P}$ )

Line shelling based at $p$ : let $L$ be a directed line from $p$. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{k}$ be the order in which facets become visible along $L$, followed by the order in which they become invisible from $\infty$ along the other half of $L$. Assume $L$ is sufficiently generic so that no two facets become visible or invisible at the same time.

## Example of a line shelling



## The line shelling arrangment

$\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{p})$ : hyperplanes are

- affine span of $p$ with $\operatorname{aff}\left(F_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{aff}\left(F_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are distinct facets
- if $\operatorname{aff}\left(F_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{aff}\left(F_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then the hyperplane through $p$ parallel to $F_{1}, F_{2}$
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## The line shelling arrangment

$\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)$ : hyperplanes are

- affine span of $p$ with $\operatorname{aff}\left(F_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{aff}\left(F_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are distinct facets
- if $\operatorname{aff}\left(F_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{aff}\left(F_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then the hyperplane through $p$ parallel to $F_{1}, F_{2}$

Line shellings at $p$ are in bijection with regions of $\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)$.

Note. $\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)=\operatorname{vo}(\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P}), p)$

## A nongeneric example


$p$ is not generic: $\overline{a p}=\overline{b p}$ (10 line shellings at $p$ )

## A generic example



One hyperplane for every pair of facets (12 line shellings at $v$ )

## Lattice of flats

$L$ : lattice of flats of a matroid, e.g., the intersection poset of a central hyperplane arrangement

lattice of flats

## Upper truncation

$T^{k}(L): L$ with top $k$ levels (excluding the maximum element) removed, called the $k$ th truncation of $L$.

lattice $L$ of flats of four independent points

$T^{1}(L)$

## Upper truncation (cont.)

$T^{k}(L)$ is still the lattice of flats of a matroid, i.e., a geometric lattice (easy).

## Lower truncation

What if we remove the bottom $k$ levels of $L$ (excluding the minimal element)? Not a geometric lattice if rank is at least three.

## Lower truncation

What if we remove the bottom $k$ levels of $L$ (excluding the minimal element)? Not a geometric lattice if rank is at least three.

Want to "fill in" the $k$ th lower truncation with as many new elements as possible without adding new elements of rank one, increasing the rank of $L$, or altering the partial order relation of $L$.

## Lower truncation is "bad"


lattice $L$ of flats of four independent points

not a geometric lattice

## An example of "filling in"


$D_{1}\left(B_{4}\right)$

## The Dilworth truncation

Matroidal definition: Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be a matroid on a set $E$ of rank $n$, and let $1 \leq \boldsymbol{k}<n$. The $\boldsymbol{k}$ th Dilworth truncation $D_{k}(M)$ has ground set $\binom{E}{k+1}$, and independent sets
$\mathcal{I}=\left\{I \subseteq\binom{E}{k+1}: \operatorname{rank}_{M}\left(\bigcup_{p \in I^{\prime}} p\right) \geq \# I^{\prime}+k\right.$,

$$
\left.\forall \emptyset \neq I^{\prime} \subseteq I\right\}
$$

## Geometric lattices

$D_{k}(M)$ "transfers" to $\boldsymbol{D}_{k}(\boldsymbol{L})$, where $L$ is a geometric lattice.
$\operatorname{rank}(L)=n \Rightarrow D_{k}(L)$ is a geometric lattice of rank $n-k$ whose atoms are the elements of $L$ of rank $k+1$.

## Geometric lattices

$D_{k}(M)$ "transfers" to $\boldsymbol{D}_{k}(\boldsymbol{L})$, where $L$ is a geometric lattice.
$\operatorname{rank}(L)=n \Rightarrow D_{k}(L)$ is a geometric lattice of rank $n-k$ whose atoms are the elements of $L$ of rank $k+1$.

Details not explained here.

## First Dilworth truncation of $B_{n}$

$L=\boldsymbol{B}_{n}$, the boolean algebra of rank $n$ (lattice of flats of the matroid $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of $n$ independent points)
$D_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is a geometric lattice whose atoms are the 2 -element subsets of an $n$-set.

## First Dilworth truncation of $B_{n}$

$L=\boldsymbol{B}_{n}$, the boolean algebra of rank $n$ (lattice of flats of the matroid $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of $n$ independent points)
$D_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is a geometric lattice whose atoms are the 2 -element subsets of an $n$-set.
$D_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)=\Pi_{n}$ (lattice of partitions of an $n$-set)
$D_{1}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is the braid arrangement $x_{i}=x_{j}$,
$1 \leq i<j \leq n$

## Back to valid orderings

$\mathcal{A}$ : an arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with hyperplanes

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \quad 0 \neq v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

semicone $\operatorname{sc}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ : arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (with new coordinate $\boldsymbol{y}$ ) with hyperplanes

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \boldsymbol{y}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

## Back to valid orderings

$\mathcal{A}$ : an arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with hyperplanes

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, \quad 0 \neq v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m .
$$

semicone $\operatorname{sc}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ : arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (with new coordinate $\boldsymbol{y}$ ) with hyperplanes

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{y}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m .
$$

Note (for cognoscenti): do not confuse $\operatorname{sc}(\mathcal{A})$ with the cone $c(\mathcal{A})$, which has the additional hyperplane $y=0$.

## Example of a semicone



## Main result

Theorem. Let $p$ be generic. Then

$$
L_{\mathrm{vo}(\mathcal{A}, p)} \cong D_{1}\left(L_{\mathrm{sc}(\mathcal{A})}\right)
$$

In particular, when $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$ and $p \in \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{P})$ we have

$$
L_{\mathrm{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)} \cong D_{1}\left(L_{\mathrm{sc}(\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P}))}\right) .
$$
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$$

In particular, when $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$ and $p \in \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{P})$ we have

$$
L_{\mathrm{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)} \cong D_{1}\left(L_{\mathrm{sc}(\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P}))}\right) .
$$

Proof omitted here, but straightforward.

## The $n$-cube

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $n$-cube. Can one describe in a reasonable way $L_{1 \mathrm{~s}(\mathcal{P}, p)}$ and/or $\chi_{1 \mathrm{~s}(\mathcal{P}, p)}(q)$ ?

## The $n$-cube

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $n$-cube. Can one describe in a reasonable way $L_{\mathrm{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)}$ and/or $\chi_{\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)}(q)$ ?

Let $\mathcal{P}$ have vertices $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), a_{i}=0,1$. If $p=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then $\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)$ is isomorphic to the Coxeter arrangement of type $B_{n}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{1 \mathrm{~s}(\mathcal{P}, p)}(q) & =(q-1)(q-3) \cdots(q-(2 n-1)) \\
r(\operatorname{ls}(\mathcal{P}, p)) & =2^{n} n!
\end{aligned}
$$

## The 3-cube

Let $p=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\chi(q)=(q-1)(q-3)(q-5), \quad r=48 .
$$
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Let $p$ be generic. Then

$$
\chi(q)=(q-1)\left(q^{2}-14 q+53\right), \quad r=136=2^{3} \cdot 17 .
$$

## The 3-cube

Let $p=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\chi(q)=(q-1)(q-3)(q-5), \quad r=48 .
$$

Let $p=(1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 4)$. Then

$$
\chi(q)=(q-1)(q-5)(q-7), \quad r=96 .
$$

Let $p$ be generic. Then

$$
\chi(q)=(q-1)\left(q^{2}-14 q+53\right), \quad r=136=2^{3} \cdot 17 .
$$

Total number of line shellings of the 3-cube is 288. Total number of shellings is 480 .

## Three asides

1. Let $f(n)$ be the total number of shellings of the $n$-cube. Then

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=1-\frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 0}(2 n)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}}
$$
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1. Let $f(n)$ be the total number of shellings of the $n$-cube. Then

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=1-\frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 0}(2 n)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}}
$$

2. Total number of line shellings of the $n$-cube is $2^{n} n!^{2}$.

## Three asides

1. Let $f(n)$ be the total number of shellings of the $n$-cube. Then

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=1-\frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 0}(2 n)!\frac{x^{n}}{n!}}
$$

2. Total number of line shellings of the $n$-cube is $2^{n} n!^{2}$.
3. Every shelling of the $n$-cube $C_{n}$ can be realized as a line shelling of a polytope combinatorially equivalent to $C_{n}$ ( $\mathbf{M}$. Develin).

## Two consequences

- The number of valid orderings from a generic $p$ depends only on $L_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular, it is independent of the region in which $p$ lies.


## Second consequence

- $\mathcal{A}$ : an arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $m$ hyperplanes $c(m, k)$ : signless Stirling number of first kind (number of $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{m}$ with $k$ cycles)

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\mathrm{vo}(\mathcal{A}), p) \leq & 2(c(m, m-d+1)+c(m, m-d+3) \\
& +c(m, m-d+5)+\cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

(best possible). Can be achieved by $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{P})$.

## Non-generic base points

Recall:

$$
L_{\mathrm{vo}(\mathcal{A}, p)} \cong L_{D_{1}(\mathcal{A})} .
$$

What if $p$ is not generic?

## Non-generic base points

Recall:

$$
L_{\mathrm{vo}(\mathcal{A}, p)} \cong L_{D_{1}(\mathcal{A})} .
$$

What if $p$ is not generic?
Then we get "smaller" arrangements than the generic case.

We obtain a polyhedral subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ depending on which arrangement corresponds to $p$.

## An example



Numbers are number of line shellings from points in the interior of the face.

## An example: order polytopes

$\boldsymbol{P}=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\}:$ a poset (partially ordered set)
Order polytope of $P$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(P) & = \\
\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\right. & \left.\in \mathbb{R}^{d}: 0 \leq x_{i} \leq x_{j} \leq 1 \text { if } t_{i} \leq t_{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## An example: order polytopes

$\boldsymbol{P}=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\}:$ a poset (partially ordered set)
Order polytope of $P$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(P) & = \\
\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\right. & \left.\in \mathbb{R}^{d}: 0 \leq x_{i} \leq x_{j} \leq 1 \text { if } t_{i} \leq t_{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\chi_{\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{O}(P))}(q)$ can be described in terms of "generalized chromatic polynomials."

## Generalized chromatic polynomials

$G$ : finite graph with vertex set $\boldsymbol{V}$
$\mathbb{P}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}: V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{P}}$ such that $\sigma(v)<\infty, \forall v \in V$
$\chi_{G, \sigma}(q), q \in \mathbb{P}:$ number of proper colorings $f: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ such that

$$
f(v) \notin \sigma(v), \forall v \in V
$$

## Generalized chromatic polynomials

$G$ : finite graph with vertex set $\boldsymbol{V}$
$\mathbb{P}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}: V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{P}}$ such that $\sigma(v)<\infty, \forall v \in V$
$\chi_{G, \sigma}(\boldsymbol{q}), q \in \mathbb{P}:$ number of proper colorings $f: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ such that

$$
f(v) \notin \sigma(v), \forall v \in V
$$

Each $f$ is a list coloring, but the definition of $\chi_{G, \sigma}(q)$ seems to be new.

## The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}$

$$
\boldsymbol{d}=\# V=\#\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}$ : the arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=x_{j}, \text { if } v_{i} v_{j} \text { is an edge } \\
& x_{i}=\alpha_{j}, \text { if } \alpha_{j} \in \sigma(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}$

$$
\boldsymbol{d}=\# V=\#\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}$ : the arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=x_{j}, \text { if } v_{i} v_{j} \text { is an edge } \\
& x_{i}=\alpha_{j}, \text { if } \alpha_{j} \in \sigma(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (easy). $\chi_{\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}}(q)=\chi_{G, \sigma}(q)$ for $q \gg 0$

## Consequences

Since $\chi_{G, \sigma}(q)$ is the characteristic polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement, it has such properties as a deletion-contraction recurrence, broken circuit theorem, Tutte polynomial, etc.
$\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{O}(P))$ and $\mathcal{A}_{H, \sigma}$

Theorem (easy). Let $H$ be the Hasse diagram of $P$, considered as a graph. Define $\boldsymbol{\sigma}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ by

$$
\sigma(v)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\{1,2\}, & v=\text { isolated point } \\
\{1\}, & v \text { minimal, not maximal } \\
\{2\}, & v \text { maximal, not minimal } \\
\emptyset, & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Then $\operatorname{vis}(\mathcal{O}(P))=\mathcal{A}_{H, \sigma}$.

## Rank one posets

Suppose that $P$ has rank at most one (no three-element chains).
$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{P})=$ Hasse diagram of $P$, with vertex set $\boldsymbol{V}$
For $W \subseteq V$, let $\boldsymbol{H}_{W}=$ restriction of $H$ to $W$
$\chi_{G}(q)$ : chromatic polynomial of the graph $G$

## Rank one posets

Suppose that $P$ has rank at most one (no three-element chains).
$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{P})=$ Hasse diagram of $P$, with vertex set $\boldsymbol{V}$
For $W \subseteq V$, let $\boldsymbol{H}_{W}=$ restriction of $H$ to $W$
$\chi_{G}(q)$ : chromatic polynomial of the graph $G$
Theorem.

$$
v(\mathcal{O}(P))=(-1)^{\# P} \sum_{W \subseteq V} \chi_{H_{W}}(-3)
$$

## Supersolvable and free

Recall that the following three properties are equivalent for the usual graphic arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{G}$.

- $\mathcal{A}_{G}$ is supersolvable (not defined here).
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## Supersolvable and free

Recall that the following three properties are equivalent for the usual graphic arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{G}$.

- $\mathcal{A}_{G}$ is supersolvable (not defined here).
- $\mathcal{A}_{G}$ is free in the sense of Terao (not defined here).
- $G$ is a chordal graph, i.e., can order vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ so that $v_{i+1}$ connects to previous vertices along a clique. (Numerous other characterizations.)


## Generalize to $(G, \sigma)$

Theorem (easy). Suppose that we can order the vertices of $G$ as $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ such that:

- $v_{i+1}$ connects to previous vertices along a clique (so $G$ is chordal).
- If $i<j$ and $v_{i}$ is adjacent to $v_{j}$, then $\sigma\left(v_{j}\right) \subseteq \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)$.
Then $\mathcal{A}_{G, \sigma}$ is supersolvable.


## Open questions

- Is this sufficient condition for supersolvability also necessary?
- Is it necessary for freeness? (In general, supersolvable $\Rightarrow$ free.)
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## Open questions

- Is this sufficient condition for supersolvability also necessary?
- Is it necessary for freeness? (In general, supersolvable $\Rightarrow$ free.)
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## THE END

