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## Sperner's theorem

Theorem (E. Sperner, 1927). Let $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}$ be subsets of an n-element set $X$ such that $S_{i} \nsubseteq S_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, Then $m \leq\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$, achieved by taking all $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$-element subsets of $X$.
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Emanuel Sperner
9 December 1905-31 January 1980


## Posets

A poset (partially ordered set) is a set $P$ with a binary relation $\leq$ satisfying:

- Reflexivity: $t \leq t$
- Antisymmetry: $s \leq t, t \leq s \Rightarrow s=t$
- Transitivity: $s \leq t, t \leq u \Rightarrow s \leq u$


## Graded posets

chain: $u_{1}<u_{2}<\cdots<u_{k}$

## Graded posets

chain: $u_{1}<u_{2}<\cdots<u_{k}$
Assume $P$ is finite. $P$ is graded of rank $\boldsymbol{n}$ if

$$
P=P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n},
$$

such that every maximal chain has the form

$$
t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}, \quad t_{i} \in P_{i}
$$

Diagram of a graded poset


## Rank-symmetry and unimodality

Let $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\# P_{i}$.
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## Rank-symmetry and unimodality

Let $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\# P_{i}$.
Rank-generating function: $F_{P}(q)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} q^{i}$
Rank-symmetric: $p_{i}=p_{n-i} \forall i$
Rank-unimodal: $p_{0} \leq p_{1} \leq \cdots \leq p_{j} \geq p_{j+1} \geq \cdots \geq p_{n}$ for some $j$
rank-unimodal and rank-symmetric $\Rightarrow j=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$
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## The Sperner property

antichain $A \subseteq P$ :

$$
s, t \in A, \quad s \leq t \Rightarrow s=t
$$

Note. $P_{i}$ is an antichain
$P$ is Sperner (or has the Sperner property) if

$$
\max _{A} \# A=\max _{i} p_{i}
$$

## An example


rank-symmetric, rank-unimodal, $F_{P}(q)=3+3 q$

## An example


rank-symmetric, rank-unimodal, $F_{P}(q)=3+3 q$ not Sperner

## The boolean algebra

$B_{n}$ : subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, ordered by inclusion

## The boolean algebra

$B_{n}$ : subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, ordered by inclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i}=\binom{n}{i}, \quad F_{B_{n}}(q)=(1+q)^{n} \\
& \text { rank-symmetric, rank-unimodal }
\end{aligned}
$$

Diagram of $B_{3}$


## Sperner's theorem, restated

Theorem. The boolean algebra $B_{n}$ is Sperner.
Proof (D. Lubell, 1966).

- $B_{n}$ has $n$ ! maximal chains.
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## Sperner's theorem, restated

Theorem. The boolean algebra $B_{n}$ is Sperner.
Proof (D. Lubell, 1966).

- $B_{n}$ has $n$ ! maximal chains.
- If $S \in B_{n}$ and $\# S=i$, then $i!(n-i)$ ! maximal chains of $B_{n}$ contain $S$.
- Let $A$ be an antichain. Since a maximal chain can intersect at most one element of $A$, we have

$$
\sum_{S \in A}|S|!(n-|S|)!\leq n!
$$

- Divide by $n!$ :

$$
\sum_{S \in A} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \leq 1
$$
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- Divide by $n!$ :
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## Lubell's proof (cont.)

- Divide by $n!$ :

$$
\sum_{S \in A} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \leq 1
$$

- Now $\binom{n}{|S|} \leq\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$, so

$$
\sum_{A \in S} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}} \leq 1
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## Lubell's proof (cont.)

- Divide by $n!$ :

$$
\sum_{S \in A} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \leq 1
$$

- Now $\binom{n}{|S|} \leq\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$, so

$$
\sum_{A \in S} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}} \leq 1
$$

$$
\bullet \Rightarrow|A| \leq\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \quad \square
$$

## A $q$-analogue

Lubell's proof carries over to some other posets.
Theorem. Let $B_{n}(q)$ denote the poset of all subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Then $B_{n}(q)$ is Sperner.

## Linear algebra

$$
\boldsymbol{P}=P_{0} \cup \cdots \cup P_{m}: \quad \text { graded poset }
$$

$\mathbb{Q} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ : vector space with basis $\mathbb{Q}$
$U: \mathbb{Q} P_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} P_{i+1}$ is order-raising if for all $s \in P_{i}$,

$$
U(s) \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left\{t \in P_{i+1}: s<t\right\}
$$

## Order-matchings

Order matching: $\mu: P_{i} \rightarrow P_{i+1}$ : injective and $\mu(t)<t$
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## Order-raising and order-matchings
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## Order-raising and order-matchings

Key Lemma. If $U: \mathbb{Q} P_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} P_{i+1}$ is injective and order-raising, then there exists an order-matching $\mu: P_{i} \rightarrow P_{i+1}$.

Proof. Consider the matrix of $U$ with respect to the bases $P_{i}$ and $P_{i+1}$.

## Key lemma proof

$$
P_{i}\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
t_{1} & \cdots & t_{m} & \cdots \\
s_{1} \\
\vdots \\
s_{m}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\neq 0 & & \mid & * \\
& \ddots & \mid & * \\
& & \neq 0 \mid & *
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

## Key lemma proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overbrace{\begin{array}{lllll}
t_{1} & \cdots & t_{m} & \cdots & t_{n}
\end{array}}^{P_{i+1}} \\
& P_{i}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
s_{1} \\
\vdots \\
s_{m}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{llr|c}
\neq 0 & & \mid & * \\
& \ddots & \mid & * \\
& & \neq 0 \mid & *
\end{array}\right]\right. \\
& \operatorname{det} \neq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow s_{1}<t_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}<t_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Minor variant

Similarly if there exists surjective order-raising $U: \mathbb{Q} P_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} P_{i+1}$, then there exists an order-matching $\mu: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_{i}$.

## A criterion for Spernicity
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P=P_{0} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n}: \text { finite graded poset }
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Proposition. If for some $j$ there exist order-raising operators
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$$
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## A criterion for Spernicity

$$
P=P_{0} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n}: \text { finite graded poset }
$$

Proposition. If for some $j$ there exist order-raising operators

$$
\mathbb{Q} P_{0} \xrightarrow{\text { inj. }} \mathbb{Q} P_{1} \xrightarrow{\text { inj. }} \ldots \xrightarrow{\text { inj. }} \mathbb{Q} P_{j} \xrightarrow{\text { surj. }} \mathbb{Q} P_{j+1} \xrightarrow{\text { surj. }} \ldots \xrightarrow{\text { surj. }} \mathbb{Q} P_{n},
$$

then $P$ is rank-unimodal and Sperner.
Proof. Rank-unimodal clear: $p_{0} \leq p_{1} \leq \cdots \leq p_{j} \geq p_{j+1} \cdots \geq p_{n}$.
"Glue together" the order-matchings.

## Gluing example
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## Gluing example



## A chain decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{p_{j}} \quad \text { (chains) } \\
A=\text { antichain, } C=\text { chain } \Rightarrow \#(A \cap C) \leq 1 \\
\Rightarrow \# A \leq p_{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Back to $B_{n}$

Explicit order matching $\left(B_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}$ for $i<n / 2$ :
Example. $S=\{1,4,6,7,11\} \in\left(B_{13}\right)_{5}$
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## Back to $B_{n}$

Explicit order matching $\left(B_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}$ for $i<n / 2$ :
Example. $S=\{1,4,6,7,11\} \in\left(B_{13}\right)_{5}$
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## Back to $B_{n}$

Explicit order matching $\left(B_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}$ for $i<n / 2$ :
Example. $S=\{1,4,6,7,11\} \in\left(B_{13}\right)_{5}$
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## Order-raising for $B_{n}$

Define

$$
\boldsymbol{U}: \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}
$$

by

$$
U(S)=\sum_{\substack{\# T=i+1 \\ S \subset T}} T, \quad S \in\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}
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Similarly define $D: \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ by

$$
D(T)=\sum_{\substack{\# S=i \\ S \subset T}} S, \quad T \in\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}
$$

## Order-raising for $B_{n}$

Define

$$
\boldsymbol{U}: \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}
$$

by

$$
U(S)=\sum_{\substack{\# T=i+1 \\ S \subset T}} T, \quad S \in\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}
$$

Similarly define $D: \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ by

$$
D(T)=\sum_{\substack{\# S=i \\ S \subset T}} S, \quad T \in\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}
$$

Note. $U D$ is positive semidefinite, and hence has nonnegative real eigenvalues, since the matrices of $U$ and $D$ with respect to the bases $\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(B_{n}\right)_{i+1}$ are transposes.

## A commutation relation

Lemma. On $\mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ we have

$$
D U-U D=(n-2 i) I,
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where I is the identity operator.

## A commutation relation

Lemma. On $\mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ we have

$$
D U-U D=(n-2 i) I,
$$

where I is the identity operator.
Corollary. If $i<n / 2$ then $U$ is injective.
Proof. $U D$ has eigenvalues $\theta \geq 0$, and eigenvalues of $D U$ are $\theta+n-2 i>0$. Hence $D U$ is invertible, so $U$ is injective. $\square$

Similarly $U$ is surjective for $i \geq n / 2$.

## A commutation relation

Lemma. On $\mathbb{Q}\left(B_{n}\right)_{i}$ we have

$$
D U-U D=(n-2 i) I,
$$

where I is the identity operator.
Corollary. If $i<n / 2$ then $U$ is injective.
Proof. $U D$ has eigenvalues $\theta \geq 0$, and eigenvalues of $D U$ are $\theta+n-2 i>0$. Hence $D U$ is invertible, so $U$ is injective. $\square$

Similarly $U$ is surjective for $i \geq n / 2$.
Corollary. $B_{n}$ is Sperner.

What's the point?

## What's the point?

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ acts on $B_{n}$ by

$$
w \cdot\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}=\left\{w \cdot a_{1}, \ldots, w \cdot a_{k}\right\} .
$$

If $G$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, define the quotient poset $B_{n} / G$ to be the poset on the orbits of $G$ (acting on $B_{n}$ ), with

$$
\mathfrak{o} \leq \mathfrak{o}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \exists S \in \mathfrak{o}, T \in \mathfrak{o}^{\prime}, \quad S \subseteq T
$$

## An example

$$
n=3, \quad G=\{(1)(2)(3),(1,2)(3)\}
$$



## Spernicity of $B_{n} / G$

Easy: $B_{n} / G$ is graded of rank $n$ and rank-symmetric.

## Spernicity of $B_{n} / G$

Easy: $B_{n} / G$ is graded of rank $n$ and rank-symmetric.
Theorem. $B_{n} / G$ is rank-unimodal and Sperner.

## Spernicity of $B_{\boldsymbol{n}} / G$

Easy: $B_{n} / G$ is graded of rank $n$ and rank-symmetric.
Theorem. $B_{n} / G$ is rank-unimodal and Sperner.
Crux of proof. The action of $w \in G$ on $B_{n}$ commutes with $U$, so we can "transfer" $U$ to $B_{n} / G$, preserving injectivity on the bottom half.

## An interesting example

$R$ : set of squares of an $m \times n$ rectangle of squares.
$G_{m n} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{R}$ : can permute elements in each row, and permute rows among themselves, so $\# G_{m n}=n!^{m} m!$.

$$
G_{m n} \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n}<\mathfrak{S}_{m} \quad(\text { wreath product })
$$

## Young diagrams

A subset $S \subseteq R$ is a Young diagram if it is left-justified, with weakly decreasing row lengths from top to bottom.

## Young diagrams

A subset $S \subseteq R$ is a Young diagram if it is left-justified, with weakly decreasing row lengths from top to bottom.


## $B_{R} / G_{m n}$

Lemma. Each orbit $\mathfrak{o} \in B_{R} / G_{m n}$ contains exactly one Young diagram.

## $B_{R} / G_{m n}$

Lemma. Each orbit $\mathfrak{o} \in B_{R} / G_{m n}$ contains exactly one Young diagram.

Proof. Let $S \in B_{R}$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the number of elements of $S$ in row
$i$. Let $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{m}$ be the decreasing rearrangement of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$. Then the unique Young diagram in the orbit containing $S$ has $\lambda_{i}$ elements in row $i . \quad \square$

## Poset structure of $B_{r} / G_{m n}$

$Y_{0}$ : Young diagram in the orbit $\mathfrak{o}$
Easy: $\mathfrak{o} \leq \mathfrak{o}^{\prime}$ in $B_{R} / G_{m n}$ if and only if $Y_{\mathfrak{o}} \subseteq Y_{\mathfrak{o}^{\prime}}$ (containment of Young diagram).

## Poset structure of $B_{r} / G_{m n}$

$Y_{0}$ : Young diagram in the orbit $\mathfrak{o}$
Easy: $\mathfrak{o} \leq \mathfrak{o}^{\prime}$ in $B_{R} / G_{m n}$ if and only if $Y_{\mathfrak{o}} \subseteq Y_{\mathfrak{o}^{\prime}}$ (containment of Young diagram).
$L(m, n)$ : poset of Young diagrams in an $m \times n$ rectangle
Corollary. $B_{R} / G_{m n} \cong L(m, n)$

Examples of $L(m, n)$


## $L(3,3)$



## $q$-binomial coefficients

For $0 \leq k \leq n$, define the $\boldsymbol{q}$-binomial coefficient

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-q^{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{n-k+1}\right)}{\left(1-q^{k}\right)\left(1-q^{k-1}\right) \cdots(1-q)}
$$

## $q$-binomial coefficients

For $0 \leq k \leq n$, define the $\boldsymbol{q}$-binomial coefficient

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-q^{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{n-k+1}\right)}{\left(1-q^{k}\right)\left(1-q^{k-1}\right) \cdots(1-q)}
$$

Example. $\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 2\end{array}\right]=1+q+2 q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}$

## Properties

- $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{N}[q]$
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- $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q=1}=\binom{n}{k}$
- If $q$ is a prime power, $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$ is the number of $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ (irrelevant here).


## Properties

- $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{N}[q]$
- $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q=1}=\binom{n}{k}$
- If $q$ is a prime power, $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$ is the number of $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ (irrelevant here).
- $F(L(m, n), q)=\left[\begin{array}{c}m+n \\ m\end{array}\right]$


## Unimodality

Corollary. $\left[\begin{array}{c}m+n \\ m\end{array}\right]$ has unimodal coefficients.
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- First proved by J. J. Sylvester (1878) using invariant theory of binary forms.
- Combinatorial proof by K. O’Hara (1990): explicit injection $L(m, n)_{i} \rightarrow L(m, n)_{i+1}, 0 \leq i<\frac{1}{2} m n$.


## Unimodality

Corollary. $\left[\begin{array}{c}m+n \\ m\end{array}\right]$ has unimodal coefficients.

- First proved by J. J. Sylvester (1878) using invariant theory of binary forms.
- Combinatorial proof by K. O’Hara (1990): explicit injection $L(m, n)_{i} \rightarrow L(m, n)_{i+1}, 0 \leq i<\frac{1}{2} m n$.
- Not an order-matching. Still open to find an explicit order-matching $L(m, n)_{i} \rightarrow L(m, n)_{i+1}$.


## Algebraic geometry

$X$ : smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n$
$H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{C})=H^{0}(X ; \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{2 n}(X ; \mathbb{C}):$
cohomology ring, so $H^{i} \cong H^{2 n-i}$.
Hard Lefschetz Theorem. There exists $\omega \in H^{2}$ (the class of a generic hyperplane section) such that for $0 \leq i \leq n$, the map

$$
\omega^{n-2 i}: H^{i} \rightarrow H^{2 n-i}
$$

is a bijection. Thus $\omega: H^{i} \rightarrow H^{i+1}$ is injective for $i \leq n$ and surjective for $i \geq n$.

## Cellular decompositions

$X$ has a cellular decomposition if $X=\sqcup C_{i}$, each $C_{i} \cong \mathbb{C}^{d_{i}}$ (as affine varieties), and each $\bar{C}_{i}$ is a union of $C_{j}$ 's.

## Cellular decompositions

$X$ has a cellular decomposition if $X=\sqcup C_{i}$, each $C_{i} \cong \mathbb{C}^{d_{i}}$ (as affine varieties), and each $\bar{C}_{i}$ is a union of $C_{j}$ 's.

Fact. If $X$ has a cellular decomposition and $\left[C_{i}\right] \in H^{2(n-i)}$ denotes the corresponding cohomology classes, then the $\left[C_{i}\right]$ 's form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $H^{*}$.
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$$
C_{i} \leq C_{j} \text { if } C_{i} \subseteq \bar{C}_{j}
$$

(closure in Zariski or classical topology).

## Easy:

- $P_{X}$ is graded of rank $n$.
- $\#\left(P_{X}\right)_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2(n-i)}(X ; \mathbb{C})$
- $P_{X}$ is rank-symmetric (Poincaré duality)
- $P_{X}$ is rank-unimodal (hard Lefschetz)
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## Spernicity of $P_{X}$

Identify $\mathbb{C} P$ with $H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{C})$ via $C_{i} \leftrightarrow\left[C_{i}\right]$.
Recall: $\omega \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{C})$ (class of hyperplane section)
Interpretation of cup product on $H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{C})$ as intersection implies that $\omega$ is order-raising.

Hard Lefschetz $\Rightarrow \omega: H^{2 i} \rightarrow H^{2(i+1)}$ is injective for $i<n / 2$ and surjective for $i \geq n / 2$.
$\Rightarrow$ Theorem. $P_{X}$ has the Sperner property.
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## Main example

What smooth projective varieties have cellular decompositions?
Generalized flag variety: $G / Q$, where $G$ is a semisimple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, and $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup

Example. $\operatorname{Gr}(n, k)=\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) / Q$ for a certain $Q$, the Grassmann variety of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
rational canonical form $\Rightarrow P_{\mathrm{Gr}(m+n, m)} \cong L(m, n)$

## "Best" special case

$G=\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1, \mathbb{C}), Q=$ "spin" maximal parabolic subgroup $M(n):=P_{G / Q} \cong \mathfrak{B}_{n} / \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is the hyperoctahedral group (symmetries of $n$-cube) of order $2^{n} n!$, so $\# M(n)=2^{n}$

## "Best" special case

$G=\mathrm{SO}(2 n+1, \mathbb{C}), Q=$ "spin" maximal parabolic subgroup
$M(\boldsymbol{n}):=P_{G / Q} \cong \mathfrak{B}_{n} / \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is the hyperoctahedral group (symmetries of $n$-cube) of order $2^{n} n!$, so $\# M(n)=2^{n}$
$M(n)$ is isomorphic to the set of all subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with the ordering

$$
\left\{a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{r}\right\} \leq\left\{b_{1}>b_{2}>\cdots>b_{s}\right\},
$$

if $r \leq s$ and $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.
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## Rank-generating function of $M(n)$

rank of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ in $M(n)$ is $\sum a_{i}$

$$
\Rightarrow F(M(n), q):=\sum_{i=0}^{\binom{n}{2}}\left|M(n)_{i}\right| \cdot q^{i}=(1+q)\left(1+q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1+q^{n}\right)
$$

Corollary. The polynomial $(1+q)\left(1+q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1+q^{n}\right)$ has unimodal coefficients.

No combinatorial proof known, though can be done with just elementary linear algebra (Proctor).
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## The function $f(S, \alpha)$

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}, \# S<\infty, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
f(S, \alpha)=\#\left\{T \subseteq S: \sum_{i \in T} i=\alpha\right\}
$$

Note. $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} i=0$
Example. $f(\{1,2,4,5,7,10\}, 7)=3$ :

$$
7=2+5=1+2+4
$$

## The Erdős-Moser conjecture for $\mathbb{R}^{+}$
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## The Erdős-Moser conjecture for $\mathbb{R}^{+}$

Let $\mathbb{R}^{+}=\{i \in \mathbb{R}: i>0\}$.
Erdős-Moser Conjecture for $\mathbb{R}^{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}, \# S=n \\
\Rightarrow f(S, \alpha) & \leq f\left(\{1,2, \ldots, n\},\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note. $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1+2+\cdots+n)$

## The proof

Proof. Suppose $S=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}, a_{1}>\cdots>a_{k}$. Let
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a_{i_{1}}+\cdots+a_{i_{r}}=a_{j_{1}}+\cdots+a_{j_{s}},
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## The proof

Proof. Suppose $S=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}, a_{1}>\cdots>a_{k}$. Let

$$
a_{i_{1}}+\cdots+a_{i_{r}}=a_{j_{1}}+\cdots+a_{j_{s}},
$$

where $i_{1}>\cdots>i_{r}, j_{1}>\cdots>j_{s}$.
Now $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\} \geq\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right\}$ in $M(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \quad r \geq s, i_{1} \geq j_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \geq j_{s} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad a_{i_{1}} \geq b_{j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{s}} \geq b_{j_{s}} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad r=s, a_{i_{k}}=b_{i_{k}} \forall k .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusion of proof

Thus $a_{i_{1}}+\cdots+a_{i_{r}}=b_{j_{1}}+\cdots+b_{j_{s}}$
$\Rightarrow\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right\}$ are incomparable or equal in $M(n)$

$$
\Rightarrow \# S \leq \max _{A} \# A=f\left(\{1, \ldots, n\},\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\binom{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \square
$$
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$s_{i}:==(i, i+1) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (adjacent transposition)
For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell(w) & :=\#\{(i, j): i<j, w(i)>w(j)\} \\
& =\min \left\{p: w=s_{i_{1}} \cdots s_{i_{p}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

weak (Bruhat) order $W_{n}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}: u<v$ if

$$
v=u s_{i_{1}} \cdots s_{i_{p}}, p=\ell(v)-\ell(u)
$$

$\ell$ is the rank function of $W_{n}$, so

$$
F\left(W_{n}, q\right)=(1+q)\left(1+q+q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}\right)
$$

A. Björner (1984): does $W_{n}$ have the Sperner property?

## Examples of weak order


$W_{4}$

## An order-raising operator

theory of Schubert polynomials suggests:

$$
U(w):=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ w s_{i}>s_{i}}} i \cdot w s_{i}
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## An order-raising operator

theory of Schubert polynomials suggests:

$$
U(w):=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ w s_{i}>s_{i}}} i \cdot w s_{i}
$$

Fact (Macdonald, Fomin-S). Let $u<v$ in $W_{n}, \ell(v)-\ell(u)=\boldsymbol{p}$. The coefficient of $v$ in $U^{P}(u)$ is

$$
p!\mathfrak{S}_{v u^{-1}}(1,1, \ldots, 1)
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ is a Schubert polynomial.

## A down operator

C. Gaetz and Y. Gao (2018): constructed $D: \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{i-1}$ such that

$$
D U-U D=\left(\binom{n}{2}-2 i\right)
$$

Suffices for Spernicity.

## A down operator

C. Gaetz and Y. Gao (2018): constructed $D: \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{i-1}$ such that

$$
D U-U D=\left(\binom{n}{2}-2 i\right)
$$

Suffices for Spernicity.
Note. $D$ is order-lowering on the strong Bruhat order. Leads to duality between weak and strong order.

## Another method

Z. Hamaker, O. Pechenik, D. Speyer, and A. Weigandt (2018): for $k<\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}$, let

$$
D(n, k)=\text { matrix of } U^{\binom{n}{2}-2 k}: \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{\binom{n}{2}-k}
$$

with respect to the bases $\left(W_{n}\right)_{k}$ and $\left(W_{n}\right)_{\binom{n}{2}-k}$ (in some order). Then (conjectured by RS):
$\operatorname{det} D(n, k)= \pm\left(\binom{n}{2}-2 k\right)!^{\#\left(W_{n}\right)_{k}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\binom{n}{2}-(k+i)}{k-i}\right)^{\#\left(W_{n}\right)_{i}}$.

## Another method

Z. Hamaker, O. Pechenik, D. Speyer, and A. Weigandt (2018): for $k<\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}$, let

$$
D(n, k)=\text { matrix of } U^{\binom{n}{2}-2 k}: \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(W_{n}\right)_{\binom{n}{2}-k}
$$

with respect to the bases $\left(W_{n}\right)_{k}$ and $\left(W_{n}\right)_{\binom{n}{2}-k}$ (in some order). Then (conjectured by RS):
$\operatorname{det} D(n, k)= \pm\left(\binom{n}{2}-2 k\right)!^{\#\left(W_{n}\right)_{k}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\binom{n}{2}-(k+i)}{k-i}\right)^{\#\left(W_{n}\right)_{i}}$.
Also suffices to prove Sperner property (just need $\operatorname{det} D(n, k) \neq 0)$.

## An open problem

The weak order $W(G)$ can be defined for any (finite) Coxeter group $G$. Is $W(G)$ Sperner?
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