

Reduced Decompositions

Richard P. Stanley

M.I.T.

Reduced Decompositions - p

Definitions

Adjacent transposition:

$$s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n, \ 1 \le i \le n-1$$

Adjacent transposition:

$$s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n, \ 1 \le i \le n-1$$

reduced decomposition (a_1, \ldots, a_p) of $w \in S_n$:

$$w = s_{a_1} \cdots s_{a_p},$$

where p is minimal, i.e.,

 $p = \ell(w) = \#\{(i,j) : i < j, w(i) > w(j)\}.$

Adjacent transposition:

$$s_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n, \ 1 \le i \le n-1$$

reduced decomposition (a_1, \ldots, a_p) of $w \in S_n$:

$$w = s_{a_1} \cdots s_{a_p},$$

where p is minimal, i.e.,

 $p = \ell(w) = \#\{(i,j) : i < j, w(i) > w(j)\}.$

p is the number of inversions inv(w) or length $\ell(w)$ of *w*.



$1\mathbf{234} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{s_2}} 13\mathbf{24} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{s_3}} 1\mathbf{342} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{s_2}} \mathbf{1432} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{s_1}} 4132$

An example

$1234 \xrightarrow{s_2} 1324 \xrightarrow{s_3} 1342 \xrightarrow{s_2} 1432 \xrightarrow{s_1} 4132$ R(w): set of reduced decompositions of w

An example

$1234 \xrightarrow{s_2} 1324 \xrightarrow{s_3} 1342 \xrightarrow{s_2} 1432 \xrightarrow{s_1} 4132$ R(w): set of reduced decompositions of w

 $(2,3,2,1) \in R(4132)$

Reduced Decompositions – p

Theorem. If $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_p) \in R(w)$ then all reduced decompositions of w can be obtained from a by applying

$$s_i s_j = s_j s_i, |i - j| \ge 2$$

 $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}.$

(We don't need $s_i^2 = 1$.)

Theorem. If $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_p) \in R(w)$ then all reduced decompositions of w can be obtained from a by applying

$$s_i s_j = s_j s_i, |i - j| \ge 2$$

 $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}.$

(We don't need $s_i^2 = 1$.) E.g., $(2, 3, 2, 1) \in R(4132) \Rightarrow$ $R(4132) = \{(2, 3, 2, 1), (3, 2, 3, 1), (3, 2, 1, 3)\}.$

r(w) = #R(w), the number of reduced decompositions of w

Main question (this lecture): what is r(w)?



r(w) = #R(w), the number of reduced decompositions of w

Main question (this lecture): what is r(w)?

$$R(4132) = \{(2,3,2,1), (3,2,3,1), (3,2,1,3)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow r(4132) = 3.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in S_n$ and $\boldsymbol{p} = \ell(w)$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{w}} = \sum_{\substack{(a_1,...,a_p) \in R(w) \\ i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}, \\ x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}, \\ x_{i_1$$

a power series in x_1, x_2, \ldots , homogeneous of degree p.

Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in S_n$ and $\boldsymbol{p} = \ell(w)$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{w}} = \sum_{\substack{(a_1,...,a_p) \in R(w) \\ i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}, \\ x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}, \\ x_{i_1$$

a power series in x_1, x_2, \ldots , homogeneous of degree p.

Example. $w = 321 \in S_3$, so $R(w) = \{121, 212\}$.

$$G_{321} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{1 \le i \le j < k} x_i x_j x_k.$$

Symmetry of G_w

Theorem (Billey-Jockusch-S, Fomin-S, **Jia-Miller**, ...). G_w is a symmetric function of

 x_1, x_2, \ldots

Symmetry of G_m

Theorem (Billey-Jockusch-S, Fomin-S, Jia-Miller, ...). G_w is a symmetric function of x_1, x_2, \ldots .

 $G_{321} = \sum x_i x_j x_k + \sum x_i x_j x_k.$ $1 \le i \le j \le k$ $1 \le i \le j \le k$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$ be a partition of p (denoted $\lambda \vdash p$), i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0, \quad \sum \lambda_i = p.$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$ be a partition of p (denoted $\lambda \vdash p$), i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0, \quad \sum \lambda_i = p.$$

 s_{λ} : the Schur function indexed by λ .

Fact: the Schur functions s_{λ} for $\lambda \vdash p$ form a \mathbb{Z} -basis for all symmetric functions in x_1, x_2, \ldots over \mathbb{Z} that are homogeneous of degree p.

The case p = 3

Example.
$$s_3 = \sum_{i \le j \le k} x_i x_j x_k$$

 $s_{21} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{1 \le i \le j < k} x_i x_j x_k$
 $s_{111} = \sum x_i x_j x_k$

i < j < k

Reduced Decompositions - p.

The case p = 3

Example.
$$s_3 = \sum_{i \le j \le k} x_i x_j x_k$$

 $s_{21} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{1 \le i \le j < k} x_i x_j x_k$
 $s_{111} = \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$

Thus every G_w can be uniquely written

$$G_w = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} s_{\lambda}.$$

The case w = 321

Recall that

$$G_{321} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{1 \le i \le j < k} x_i x_j x_k$$
$$s_{21} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} x_i x_j x_k + \sum_{1 \le i \le j < k} x_i x_j x_k,$$

SO

 $G_{321} = s_{21}.$

Reduced Decompositions - p. 1



 $G_w = \sum$ $\sum \quad x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_p}.$ $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R(w) \qquad 1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_p$ $i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}$



$$G_w = \sum_{(a_1,...,a_p)\in R(w)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_p \\ i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}}} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}.$$

Note. The monomial $x_1 \cdots x_p$ occurs once in the inner sum for each $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in R(w)$.



$$G_w = \sum_{(a_1,...,a_p)\in R(w)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_p \\ i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}}} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}.$$

Note. The monomial $x_1 \cdots x_p$ occurs once in the inner sum for each $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in R(w)$.

 $[\boldsymbol{x_1} \cdots \boldsymbol{x_p}] F: \text{ coefficient of } x_1 \cdots x_p \text{ in } F$ $\Rightarrow r(w) = [x_1 \cdots x_p] G_w,$

A "formula" for r(w)

 $G_w = \sum \boldsymbol{\alpha_{w\lambda}} s_{\lambda}.$ $\lambda \vdash p$

A "formula" for r(w)

$$G_w = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} s_{\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow r(w) = [x_1 \cdots x_p] G_w$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} [x_1 \cdots x_p] s_\lambda$$

A "formula" for r(w)

$$G_w = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} s_{\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow r(w) = [x_1 \cdots x_p] G_w$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} [x_1 \cdots x_p] s_\lambda$$

What is $[x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda}$?

Standard Young tableaux

standard Young tableau (SYT) of shape 4421:

- 4 10
- 8

Standard Young tableaux

standard Young tableau (SYT) of shape 4421:

- 135627911410--8---

What is $[x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda}$?

Facts:

- \exists simple formula for f^{λ} (hook length formula)
- If $\lambda \vdash p$ then $[x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda} = f^{\lambda}$.

What is $[x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda}$?

Facts:

- \exists simple formula for f^{λ} (hook length formula)
- If $\lambda \vdash p$ then $[x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda} = f^{\lambda}$.

Recall:

$$r(w) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} [x_1 \cdots x_p] s_{\lambda}$$

Thus

$$r(w) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} f^{\lambda}.$$

Nicest situation: $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \vdash p$. Then $r(w) = f^{\lambda}$.

Nicest situation: $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \vdash p$. Then $r(w) = f^{\lambda}$.

Definition. A permutation $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$ is **vexillary** or **2143-avoiding** if \nexists

 $a < b < c < d, \ w_b < w_a < w_d < w_c.$

Nicest situation: $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \vdash p$. Then $r(w) = f^{\lambda}$.

Definition. A permutation $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$ is vexillary or 2143-avoiding if \nexists

 $a < b < c < d, \ w_b < w_a < w_d < w_c.$

Named by Lascoux and Schützenberger from vexillum, Latin for "flag," because of a connection with flag Schur functions.

Nicest situation: $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \vdash p$. Then $r(w) = f^{\lambda}$.

Definition. A permutation $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$ is vexillary or 2143-avoiding if \nexists

 $a < b < c < d, \ w_b < w_a < w_d < w_c.$

Named by Lascoux and Schützenberger from vexillum, Latin for "flag," because of a connection with flag Schur functions.

957281463 not vexillary

Nicest situation: $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \vdash p$. Then $r(w) = f^{\lambda}$.

Definition. A permutation $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in S_n$ is vexillary or 2143-avoiding if \nexists

 $a < b < c < d, \ w_b < w_a < w_d < w_c.$

Named by Lascoux and Schützenberger from vexillum, Latin for "flag," because of a connection with flag Schur functions.

957281463 not vexillary

Vexillary asymptotics

$$\boldsymbol{v(n)} =$$
number of vexillary $w \in S_n$

Theorem (A. Regev, J. West).

$$v(n) \sim \frac{81}{16}\sqrt{3\pi}\frac{9^n}{n^4}$$

= 2.791102533... $\frac{9^n}{n^4}$.


$$w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in S_n$$

 $c_i = \#\{j : i < j \le n, a_i > a_j\}, \ 1 \le i \le n - j$

 \sim

 $\lambda(w)$: partition whose parts are the c_i 's (sorted into decreasing order).



$$w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in S_n$$
$$c_i = \#\{j : i < j \le n, \ a_i > a_j\}, \ 1 \le i \le n-1$$

 $\lambda(w)$: partition whose parts are the c_i 's (sorted into decreasing order).

Example. $w = 5361472 \in S_7$,

$$(c_1, \dots, c_6) = (4, 2, 3, 0, 1, 1, 0)$$

 $\Rightarrow \lambda(w) = 43211$



$$w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in S_n$$

 $c_i = \#\{j : i < j \le n, a_i > a_j\}, \ 1 \le i \le n-1$

 $\lambda(w)$: partition whose parts are the c_i 's (sorted into decreasing order).

Example. $w = 5361472 \in S_7$,

$$(c_1, \dots, c_6) = (4, 2, 3, 0, 1, 1, 0)$$

 $\Rightarrow \lambda(w) = 43211$

Clearly $\lambda(w) \vdash p = \ell(w)$.

Theorem. We have $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some λ if and only if w is vexillary. In this case $\lambda = \lambda(w)$, so $r(w) = f^{\lambda(w)}$.

Theorem. We have $G_w = s_\lambda$ for some λ if and only if w is vexillary. In this case $\lambda = \lambda(w)$, so $r(w) = f^{\lambda(w)}$.

Example. $w = 5361472 \in S_7$ is vexillary, and $\lambda(w) = 43211$. Hence

 $G_w = s_{43211}, \ r(w) = f^{43211} = 2310.$

Example. $w_0 = n, n - 1, \dots, 1 \in S_n$ is vexillary, and $\lambda(w_0) = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1)$. Hence

$$r(w_0) = f^{(n-1,n-2,\dots,1)} = \frac{\binom{n}{2}!}{1^{n-1}3^{n-2}5^{n-3}\cdots(2n-1)^1}.$$

Example. $w_0 = n, n - 1, \dots, 1 \in S_n$ is vexillary, and $\lambda(w_0) = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1)$. Hence

$$r(w_0) = f^{(n-1,n-2,\dots,1)} = \frac{\binom{n}{2}!}{1^{n-1}3^{n-2}5^{n-3}\cdots(2n-1)^1}.$$

n	3	4	5	6	7
$r(w_0)$	2	16	768	292864	1100742656

Combinatorial interpretation of α_w

Recall:

$$G_w = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} s_\lambda$$
$$\Rightarrow r(w) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} f^\lambda$$

What can we say about $\alpha_{w\lambda}$?

Semistandard tableaux

A semistandard (Young) tableau (SSYT) T of shape $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$:



Semistandard tableaux

A semistandard (Young) tableau (SSYT) T of shape $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$:



Reading word of *T*: 421133264457

Fomin-Greene theorem

Theorem (S. Fomin and C. Greene). Let $w \in S_n$, $\ell(w) = p$, and $\lambda \vdash p$. The coefficient $\alpha_{w\lambda}$ is equal to the number of SSYT of shape λ whose row reading word is a reduced decomposition of w.

Example of Fomin-Greene theorem

Example. $w = 4152736 \in S_7$

$3214365, 3216435, 6321435 \in R(w)$

Example of Fomin-Greene theorem

Example. $w = 4152736 \in S_7$

123123123634346345655

$3214365, 3216435, 6321435 \in R(w)$

 $\Rightarrow r(w) = f^{322} + f^{331} + f^{421} = 21 + 21 + 35 = 77.$

W

Recall:
$$w_0 = n, n - 1, \dots, 1 \in S_n$$
,
 $r(w_0) = f^{n-1, n-2, \dots, 1}$.

Is there a bijective proof?

Edelman-Greene bijection





The inverse to the previous bijection is given by a version of RSK algorithm (discussed in first lecture).

Representation theory of S_n

Irreducible representations $\varphi^{\lambda} \colon S_n \to \operatorname{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda \vdash n$.

 $N = \dim \varphi^{\lambda} = f^{\lambda}$

Representation theory of S_n

Irreducible representations $\varphi^{\lambda} \colon S_n \to \operatorname{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda \vdash n$.

 $N = \dim \varphi^{\lambda} = f^{\lambda}$

Specht module M_{λ} : an S_n -module constructed from the (Young) diagram of λ (using row-symmetrizers and column anti-symmetrizers) that affords the representation φ^{λ} . For **any** diagram D (finite subset of a square grid) we can carry out the Specht module construction, obtaining an S_n -module M_D (in general reducible).

For **any** diagram D (finite subset of a square grid) we can carry out the Specht module construction, obtaining an S_n -module M_D (in general reducible).



For **any** diagram D (finite subset of a square grid) we can carry out the Specht module construction, obtaining an S_n -module M_D (in general reducible).



In general, M_D is not well-understood.













Example. w = 361524; diagram D_w :



Number of squares of $D_w = \ell(w)$.

The Specht module M_{D_w}

Theorem (Kraśkiewicz-Pragacz, 1986, 2004). Let $w = S_n$, $p = \ell(w)$. For $\lambda \vdash p$, the multiplicity of φ^{λ} in M_{D_w} is $\alpha_{w\lambda}$.

The Specht module M_{D_w}

Theorem (Kraśkiewicz-Pragacz, 1986, 2004). Let $w = S_n$, $p = \ell(w)$. For $\lambda \vdash p$, the multiplicity of φ^{λ} in M_{D_w} is $\alpha_{w\lambda}$.

Since $r(w) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash p} \alpha_{w\lambda} f^{\lambda}$ and $\dim \varphi^{\lambda} = f^{\lambda}$, we get:

Corollary. dim $M_{D_w} = r(w)$

Flag varieties

Fl_n: set of **complete flags**

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$$

of subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n (so dim $V_i = i$)

Fl_n: set of **complete flags**

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$$

of subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n (so dim $V_i = i$)

$$\operatorname{Fl}_n \cong \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})/B,$$

where \boldsymbol{B} is the Borel subgroup of invertible upper triangular matrices.

For each $w \in S_n$ there is a projective subvariety Ω_w of (complex) dimension $\ell(w)$, the Schubert variety corresponding to w, defined by simple geometric conditions.

For each $w \in S_n$ there is a projective subvariety Ω_w of (complex) dimension $\ell(w)$, the Schubert variety corresponding to w, defined by simple geometric conditions.

 σ_w (Schubert cycle): cohomology class Poincaré dual to the fundamental cycle of Ω_w For each $w \in S_n$ there is a projective subvariety Ω_w of (complex) dimension $\ell(w)$, the Schubert variety corresponding to w, defined by simple geometric conditions.

 σ_w (Schubert cycle): cohomology class Poincaré dual to the fundamental cycle of Ω_w

 $\Rightarrow \sigma_w \in H^{2\left(\binom{n}{2} - \ell(w)\right)} \left(\mathrm{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C} \right)$
For each $w \in S_n$ there is a projective subvariety Ω_w of (complex) dimension $\ell(w)$, the Schubert variety corresponding to w, defined by simple geometric conditions.

 σ_w (Schubert cycle): cohomology class Poincaré dual to the fundamental cycle of Ω_w

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_w \in H^{2\left(\binom{n}{2} - \ell(w)\right)} \left(\mathrm{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C} \right)$$

Standard result from Schubert calculus: the Schubert cycles σ_w , $w \in S_n$, form a basis of $H^*(\operatorname{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C})$

Schubert polynomials

Schubert polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_{w} = \mathfrak{S}_{w}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}), w \in S_{n}$:

 $\mathfrak{S}_w = \sum \qquad \sum \qquad x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p}.$ $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R(w) \qquad 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ $i_j < i_{j+1} \text{ if } a_j < a_{j+1}$ $i_i < j$

Schubert polynomials

Schubert polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_{w} = \mathfrak{S}_{w}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}), w \in S_{n}$:



Compare



Stable Schubert polynomials

 G_w is sometimes called a stable Schubert polynomial (a certain limit of Schubert polynomials).

Stable Schubert polynomials

 G_w is sometimes called a stable Schubert polynomial (a certain limit of Schubert polynomials).

Example. $\mathfrak{S}_{4213}, \mathfrak{S}_{15324}, \mathfrak{S}_{126435}, \ldots \rightarrow G_{4213}$

Ring structure of $H^*(\operatorname{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C})$

$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I_n$, where $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is generated by the elementary symmetric functions e_1, \dots, e_n .

Ring structure of $H^*(\operatorname{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C})$

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I_n$, where $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is generated by the elementary symmetric functions e_1, \dots, e_n .

Theorem. There is an algebra isomorphism

$$\varphi \colon R_n \to H^*(\mathrm{Fl}_n; \mathbb{C}),$$

such that for $w \in S_n$ we have

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0w})=\sigma_w,$$

where $w_0 = n, n - 1, ..., 1$.

Theorem (Macdonald 1991, Fomin-S. 1994) Let $w \in S_n$ and $\ell(w) = p$. Then

 $\sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in R(w)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = p! \mathfrak{S}_w(1, 1, \dots, 1).$

Theorem (Macdonald 1991, Fomin-S. 1994) Let $w \in S_n$ and $\ell(w) = p$. Then

 $\sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in R(w)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = p! \mathfrak{S}_w(1, 1, \dots, 1).$

Theorem. $\mathfrak{S}_w(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1$ if and only if w is 132-avoiding, i.e., there does not exist i < j < ksuch that $a_i < a_k < a_j$. Theorem (Macdonald 1991, Fomin-S. 1994) Let $w \in S_n$ and $\ell(w) = p$. Then

 $\sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in R(w)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = p! \mathfrak{S}_w(1, 1, \dots, 1).$

Theorem. $\mathfrak{S}_w(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1$ if and only if w is 132-avoiding, i.e., there does not exist i < j < ksuch that $a_i < a_k < a_j$.

Number of 132-avoiding $w \in S_n$: the Catalan number $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} {2n \choose n}$

The special case w_0

For
$$w_0 = n, n - 1, \ldots, 1 \in S_n$$
 we have

$$\sum_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_p)\in R(w_0)} a_1a_2\cdots a_p = \binom{n}{2}!.$$

The special case w_0

For
$$w_0 = n, n - 1, \ldots, 1 \in S_n$$
 we have

$$\sum_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_p)\in R(w_0)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = \binom{n}{2}!.$$

Example. For n = 3 we have

$$R(w_0) = \{(1, 2, 1), (2, 1, 2)\}.$$

Thus

$$1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = 6 = \binom{3}{2}!$$





An analogue for any transpositions

 $(i, j) \in S_n$: transposition interchanging i and jFor $w \in S_n$, $\ell(w) = p$, define $T(w) = \{((i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_p, j_p)) :$ $w = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \cdots (i_p, j_p)$ and $\ell((i_1, j_1) \cdots (i_k, j_k)) = k$ for all $1 \le k \le p\}$.

Let
$$w = w_0 = 321 \in S_3$$
.

$$321 = (1,2)(2,3)(1,2) = (2,3)(1,2)(2,3) = (1,2)(1,3)(2,3) = (2,3)(1,3)(1,2),$$

so (abbreviating (i, j) as ij)

$$T_{321} = \{(12, 23, 12), (23, 12, 23),$$

 $(12, 13, 23), (23, 13, 12)\}.$

Reduced Decompositions - p. 4

Theorem of Chevalley–Stembridge

Theorem (Chevalley ~1958, Stembridge 2002). For $w = w_0 \in S_n$ (so $p = \binom{n}{2}$) we have $\sum_{((i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), ..., (i_p, j_p)) \in T(w_0)} (j_1 - i_1)(j_2 - i_2) \cdots (j_p - i_p) = p!.$

An example (cont.)

Example. Recall

$$T(321) = \{(12, 23, 12), (23, 12, 23), (12, 13, 23), (23, 13, 12)\}.$$

Hence

$$1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = \binom{3}{2}!.$$

An open problem

$$\sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in R(w_0)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = p!.$$

$\sum_{((i_1,j_1),(i_2,j_2),\dots,(i_p,j_p))\in T(w_0)} (j_1-i_1)(j_2-i_2)\cdots(j_p-i_p) = p!.$

An open problem

$$\sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in R(w_0)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p = p!.$$

$$\sum_{((i_1,j_1),(i_2,j_2),\dots,(i_p,j_p))\in T(w_0)} (j_1-i_1)(j_2-i_2)\cdots(j_p-i_p) = p!.$$

- Is this similarity just a "coincidence"?
- Is there a common generalization?





ed Decompositions – p. 4