

18.175: Lecture 7

Zero-one laws and maximal inequalities

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

- ▶ **First Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

- ▶ **First Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.
- ▶ **Second Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If A_n are independent, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ implies $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$.

- ▶ **Theorem:** $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability if and only if for every subsequence of the X_n there is a further subsequence converging a.s. to X .

Convergence in probability \Rightarrow subsequential a.s. convergence

- ▶ **Theorem:** $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability if and only if for every subsequence of the X_n there is a further subsequence converging a.s. to X .
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Consider event E_n that X_n and X differ by ϵ . Do the E_n occur i.o.? Use Borel-Cantelli.

Pairwise independence example

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise independent and $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$, and write $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$. Then the ratio S_n/ES_n tends a.s. to 1.

Pairwise independence example

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise independent and $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$, and write $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$. Then the ratio S_n/ES_n tends a.s. to 1.
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** First, pairwise independence implies that variances add. Conclude (by checking term by term) that $\text{Var}S_n \leq ES_n$. Then Chebyshev implies

$$P(|S_n - ES_n| > \delta ES_n) \leq \text{Var}(S_n)/(\delta ES_n)^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives us convergence in probability.

Pairwise independence example

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots are pairwise independent and $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$, and write $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$. Then the ratio S_n/ES_n tends a.s. to 1.
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** First, pairwise independence implies that variances add. Conclude (by checking term by term) that $\text{Var}S_n \leq ES_n$. Then Chebyshev implies

$$P(|S_n - ES_n| > \delta ES_n) \leq \text{Var}(S_n)/(\delta ES_n)^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives us convergence in probability.

- ▶ Second, take a smart subsequence. Let $n_k = \inf\{n : ES_n \geq k^2\}$. Use Borel Cantelli to get a.s. convergence along this subsequence. Check that convergence along this subsequence deterministically implies the non-subsequential convergence.

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem (strong law):** If X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. real-valued random variables with expectation m and $A_n := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ are the *empirical means* then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = m$ almost surely.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.
- ▶ Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of A_n .

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.
- ▶ Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of A_n .
- ▶ $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4} E[S_n^4] = n^{-4} E[(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)^4]$.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.
- ▶ Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of A_n .
- ▶ $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4} E[S_n^4] = n^{-4} E[(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)^4]$.
- ▶ Expand $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4$. Five kinds of terms: $X_i X_j X_k X_l$ and $X_i X_j X_k^2$ and $X_i X_j^3$ and $X_i^2 X_j^2$ and X_i^4 .

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.
- ▶ Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of A_n .
- ▶ $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4} E[S_n^4] = n^{-4} E[(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)^4]$.
- ▶ Expand $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4$. Five kinds of terms: $X_i X_j X_k X_l$ and $X_i X_j X_k^2$ and $X_i X_j^3$ and $X_i^2 X_j^2$ and X_i^4 .
- ▶ The first three terms all have expectation zero. There are $\binom{n}{2}$ of the fourth type and n of the last type, each equal to at most K . So $E[A_n^4] \leq n^{-4} \left(6 \binom{n}{2} + n \right) K$.

Proof of strong law assuming $E[X^4] < \infty$

- ▶ Assume $K := E[X^4] < \infty$. Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note: $\text{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] - E[X^2]^2 \geq 0$, so $E[X^2]^2 \leq K$.
- ▶ The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of $X - \mu$ where μ is a constant.
- ▶ So we may as well assume $E[X] = 0$.
- ▶ Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of A_n .
- ▶ $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4} E[S_n^4] = n^{-4} E[(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)^4]$.
- ▶ Expand $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4$. Five kinds of terms: $X_i X_j X_k X_l$ and $X_i X_j X_k^2$ and $X_i X_j^3$ and $X_i^2 X_j^2$ and X_i^4 .
- ▶ The first three terms all have expectation zero. There are $\binom{n}{2}$ of the fourth type and n of the last type, each equal to at most K . So $E[A_n^4] \leq n^{-4} \left(6 \binom{n}{2} + n \right) K$.
- ▶ Thus $E[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^4] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[A_n^4] < \infty$. So $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^4 < \infty$ (and hence $A_n \rightarrow 0$) with probability 1.

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Suppose X_k are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let $Y_k = X_k 1_{|X_k| \leq k}$. Write $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$. **Claim:** $X_k = Y_k$ all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show $T_n/n \rightarrow \mu$. (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line $y = 1$)

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Suppose X_k are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let $Y_k = X_k 1_{|X_k| \leq k}$. Write $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$. **Claim:** $X_k = Y_k$ all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show $T_n/n \rightarrow \mu$. (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line $y = 1$)
- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to prove it?

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Suppose X_k are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let $Y_k = X_k 1_{|X_k| \leq k}$. Write $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$. **Claim:** $X_k = Y_k$ all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show $T_n/n \rightarrow \mu$. (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line $y = 1$)
- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to prove it?
- ▶ **Observe:** $\text{Var}(Y_k) \leq E(Y_k^2) = \int_0^{\infty} 2yP(|Y_k| > y)dy \leq \int_0^k 2yP(|X_1| > y)dy$. Use Fubini (interchange sum/integral, since everything positive)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E(Y_k^2)/k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} \int_0^{\infty} 1_{(y < k)} 2yP(|X_1| > y)dy = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} 1_{(y < k)} \right) 2yP(|X_1| > y)dy.$$

Since $E|X_1| = \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| > y)dy$, complete proof of claim by showing that if $y \geq 0$ then $2y \sum_{k > y} k^{-2} \leq 4$.

General proof of strong law

- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to use it?

General proof of strong law

- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to use it?
- ▶ Consider subsequence $k(n) = \lfloor \alpha^n \rfloor$ for arbitrary $\alpha > 1$. Using Chebyshev, if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2 \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}(Y_m) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n:k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

General proof of strong law

- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to use it?
- ▶ Consider subsequence $k(n) = [\alpha^n]$ for arbitrary $\alpha > 1$. Using Chebyshev, if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2 \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}(Y_m) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n:k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Sum series:**

$$\sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \leq 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} \alpha^{-2n} \leq 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} m^{-2}.$$

General proof of strong law

- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to use it?
- ▶ Consider subsequence $k(n) = [\alpha^n]$ for arbitrary $\alpha > 1$. Using Chebyshev, if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2 \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}(Y_m) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n:k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Sum series:**

$$\sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \leq 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} \alpha^{-2n} \leq 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} m^{-2}.$$

- ▶ **Combine computations (observe RHS below is finite):**

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \leq 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(Y_m^2) m^{-2}.$$

General proof of strong law

- ▶ **Claim:** $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. How to use it?
- ▶ Consider subsequence $k(n) = [\alpha^n]$ for arbitrary $\alpha > 1$. Using Chebyshev, if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) &\leq \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2 \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \text{Var}(Y_m) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n:k(n) \geq m} k(n)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Sum series:**

$$\sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \leq 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \geq m} \alpha^{-2n} \leq 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} m^{-2}.$$

- ▶ **Combine computations (observe RHS below is finite):**

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \leq 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(Y_m^2) m^{-2}.$$

- ▶ Since ϵ is arbitrary, get $(T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)})/k(n) \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Conclude by taking $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. This finishes the case that the X_1 are a.s. positive.

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Conclude by taking $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. This finishes the case that the X_1 are a.s. positive.
- ▶ Can extend to the case that X_1 is a.s. positive with infinite mean.

General proof of strong law

- ▶ Conclude by taking $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. This finishes the case that the X_1 are a.s. positive.
- ▶ Can extend to the case that X_1 is a.s. positive with infinite mean.
- ▶ Generally, can consider X_1^+ and X_1^- , and it is enough if one of them has a finite mean.

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables X_n on some probability space. Write $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$.

Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables X_n on some probability space. Write $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$.
- ▶ \mathcal{T} is called the **tail σ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many X_n are changed.

Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables X_n on some probability space. Write $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$.
- ▶ \mathcal{T} is called the **tail σ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many X_n are changed.
- ▶ Event that X_n converge to a limit is example of a tail event. Other examples?

Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables X_n on some probability space. Write $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$ and $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$.
- ▶ \mathcal{T} is called the **tail σ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many X_n are changed.
- ▶ Event that X_n converge to a limit is example of a tail event. Other examples?
- ▶ **Theorem:** If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that A is independent of itself, i.e., $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$. How do we prove that?

Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that A is independent of itself, i.e., $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$. How do we prove that?
- ▶ Recall theorem that if \mathcal{A}_i are independent π -systems, then $\sigma\mathcal{A}_i$ are independent.

Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent and $A \in \mathcal{T}$ then $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that A is independent of itself, i.e., $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$. How do we prove that?
- ▶ Recall theorem that if \mathcal{A}_i are independent π -systems, then $\sigma\mathcal{A}_i$ are independent.
- ▶ Deduce that $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ and $\sigma(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}, \dots)$ are independent. Then deduce that $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots)$ and \mathcal{T} are independent, using fact that $\cup_k \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ and \mathcal{T} are π -systems.

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose X_i are independent with mean zero and finite variances, and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n$. Then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x\right) \leq x^{-2} \text{Var}(S_n) = x^{-2} E|S_n|^2.$$

Kolmogorov maximal inequality

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose X_i are independent with mean zero and finite variances, and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n$. Then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x\right) \leq x^{-2} \text{Var}(S_n) = x^{-2} E|S_n|^2.$$

- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Consider first time maximum is exceeded. Bound below the expected square sum on that event.

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n$ converges

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n$ converges
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_n) < \infty$

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i 1_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n$ converges
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_n) < \infty$
- ▶ **Main ideas behind the proof:** Kolmogorov zero-one law implies that $\sum X_i$ converges with probability $p \in \{0, 1\}$. We just have to show that $p = 1$ when all hypotheses are satisfied (sufficiency of conditions) and $p = 0$ if any one of them fails (necessity).

Kolmogorov three-series theorem

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and fix $A > 0$. Write $Y_i = X_i \mathbf{1}_{(|X_i| \leq A)}$. Then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. if and only if the following are all true:
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EY_n$ converges
 - ▶ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_n) < \infty$
- ▶ **Main ideas behind the proof:** Kolmogorov zero-one law implies that $\sum X_i$ converges with probability $p \in \{0, 1\}$. We just have to show that $p = 1$ when all hypotheses are satisfied (sufficiency of conditions) and $p = 0$ if any one of them fails (necessity).
- ▶ To prove sufficiency, apply Borel-Cantelli to see that probability that $X_n \neq Y_n$ i.o. is zero. Subtract means from Y_n , reduce to case that each Y_n has mean zero. Apply Kolmogorov maximal inequality.