# 18.175: Lecture 6 <u>Borel-Cantelli</u> and strong law

Scott Sheffield

MIT

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n is called the *empirical average* of the first n trials.

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n is called the *empirical average* of the first *n* trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub> is called the *empirical* average of the first n trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .
- ▶ Indeed, weak law of large numbers states that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{|A_n \mu| > \epsilon\} = 0$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub> is called the *empirical* average of the first n trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .
- Indeed, weak law of large numbers states that for all ε > 0 we have lim<sub>n→∞</sub> P{|A<sub>n</sub> − μ| > ε} = 0.
- Example: as n tends to infinity, the probability of seeing more than .50001n heads in n fair coin tosses tends to zero.

Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.
- ▶ But weak law holds as long as E[|X|] is finite, so that µ is well defined.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.
- ▶ But weak law holds as long as E[|X|] is finite, so that µ is well defined.
- One standard proof uses characteristic functions.

• Let X be a random variable.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The characteristic function of X is defined by φ(t) = φ<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>itX</sup>]. Like M(t) except with i thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- And if X has an *m*th moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- And if X has an *m*th moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .
- But characteristic functions have an advantage: they are well defined at all t for all random variables X.

• Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).
- Lévy's continuity theorem (coming later): if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_{X_n}(t)=\phi_X(t)$ 

for all t, then  $X_n$  converge in law to X.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- ▶ Say  $X_n$  converge in distribution or converge in law to X if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$  at all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  at which  $F_X$  is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).
- Lévy's continuity theorem (coming later): if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_{X_n}(t)=\phi_X(t)$$

for all t, then  $X_n$  converge in law to X.

▶ By this theorem, we can prove weak law of large numbers by showing  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_{\mu}(t) = e^{it\mu}$  for all t. When  $\mu = 0$ , amounts to showing  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{A_n}(t) = 1$  for all t.

# ► Moment generating analog: if moment generating functions M<sub>Xn</sub>(t) are defined for all t and n and, for all t, lim<sub>n→∞</sub> M<sub>Xn</sub>(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t), then X<sub>n</sub> converge in law to X. 18.175 Letture 6

As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.

As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.

• Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − µ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.
- By Lévy's continuity theorem, the A<sub>n</sub> converge in law to 0 (i.e., to the random variable that is 0 with probability one).

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

#### Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

▶ First Borel-Cantelli lemma: If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$  then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .

- ▶ First Borel-Cantelli lemma: If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$  then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$
- ▶ Second Borel-Cantelli lemma: If  $A_n$  are independent, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$  implies  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

► Theorem: X<sub>n</sub> → X in probability if and only if for every subsequence of the X<sub>n</sub> there is a further subsequence converging a.s. to X.

- ► Theorem: X<sub>n</sub> → X in probability if and only if for every subsequence of the X<sub>n</sub> there is a further subsequence converging a.s. to X.
- Main idea of proof: Consider event E<sub>n</sub> that X<sub>n</sub> and X differ by ε. Do the E<sub>n</sub> occur i.o.? Use Borel-Cantelli.

### Pairwise independence example

▶ **Theorem:** Suppose  $A_1, A_2, ...$  are pairwise independent and  $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$ , and write  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$ . Then the ratio  $S_n/ES_n$  tends a.s. to 1.

### Pairwise independence example

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose  $A_1, A_2, ...$  are pairwise independent and  $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$ , and write  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$ . Then the ratio  $S_n/ES_n$  tends a.s. to 1.
- ► Main idea of proof: First, pairwise independence implies that variances add. Conclude (by checking term by term) that VarS<sub>n</sub> ≤ ES<sub>n</sub>. Then Chebyshev implies

$$P(|S_n - ES_n| > \delta ES_n) \le \operatorname{Var}(S_n)/(\delta ES_n)^2 \to 0,$$

which gives us convergence in probability.

# Pairwise independence example

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose  $A_1, A_2, ...$  are pairwise independent and  $\sum P(A_n) = \infty$ , and write  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i}$ . Then the ratio  $S_n/ES_n$  tends a.s. to 1.
- ► Main idea of proof: First, pairwise independence implies that variances add. Conclude (by checking term by term) that VarS<sub>n</sub> ≤ ES<sub>n</sub>. Then Chebyshev implies

$$P(|S_n - ES_n| > \delta ES_n) \le \operatorname{Var}(S_n)/(\delta ES_n)^2 \to 0,$$

which gives us convergence in probability.

Second, take a smart subsequence. Let n<sub>k</sub> = inf{n : ES<sub>n</sub> ≥ k<sup>2</sup>}. Use Borel Cantelli to get a.s. convergence along this subsequence. Check that convergence along this subsequence deterministically implies the non-subsequential convergence.

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

#### Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Laws of large numbers: Borel-Cantelli applications

Strong law of large numbers

▶ **Theorem (strong law):** If  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are i.i.d. real-valued random variables with expectation m and  $A_n := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  are the *empirical means* then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = m$  almost surely.

• Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.
- Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of  $A_n$ .

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.
- Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of  $A_n$ .

• 
$$E[A_n^4] = n^{-4}E[S_n^4] = n^{-4}E[(X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n)^4].$$

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.
- Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of  $A_n$ .
- $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4}E[S_n^4] = n^{-4}E[(X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n)^4].$
- Expand  $(X_1 + \ldots + X_n)^4$ . Five kinds of terms:  $X_i X_j X_k X_l$  and  $X_i X_j X_k^2$  and  $X_i X_j^3$  and  $X_i^2 X_j^2$  and  $X_i^4$ .

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.
- Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of  $A_n$ .
- $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4}E[S_n^4] = n^{-4}E[(X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n)^4].$
- Expand  $(X_1 + \ldots + X_n)^4$ . Five kinds of terms:  $X_i X_j X_k X_l$  and  $X_i X_j X_k^2$  and  $X_i X_j^3$  and  $X_i^2 X_j^2$  and  $X_i^4$ .
- ► The first three terms all have expectation zero. There are <sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> of the fourth type and n of the last type, each equal to at most K. So E[A<sup>4</sup><sub>n</sub>] ≤ n<sup>-4</sup> (6<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub>) + n)K.

- Assume  $K := E[X^4] < \infty$ . Not necessary, but simplifies proof.
- ▶ Note:  $\operatorname{Var}[X^2] = E[X^4] E[X^2]^2 \ge 0$ , so  $E[X^2]^2 \le K$ .
- ► The strong law holds for i.i.d. copies of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. copies of X µ where µ is a constant.
- So we may as well assume E[X] = 0.
- Key to proof is to bound fourth moments of  $A_n$ .
- $E[A_n^4] = n^{-4}E[S_n^4] = n^{-4}E[(X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n)^4].$
- Expand  $(X_1 + \ldots + X_n)^4$ . Five kinds of terms:  $X_i X_j X_k X_l$  and  $X_i X_j X_k^2$  and  $X_i X_j^3$  and  $X_i^2 X_j^2$  and  $X_i^4$ .
- The first three terms all have expectation zero. There are <sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub> of the fourth type and n of the last type, each equal to at most K. So E[A<sup>4</sup><sub>n</sub>] ≤ n<sup>-4</sup> (6<sup>n</sup><sub>2</sub>) + n)K.
- ► Thus  $E[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^4] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[A_n^4] < \infty$ . So  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^4 < \infty$  (and hence  $A_n \to 0$ ) with probability 1.

Suppose X<sub>k</sub> are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let Y<sub>k</sub> = X<sub>k</sub>1<sub>|X<sub>k</sub>|≤k</sub>. Write T<sub>n</sub> = Y<sub>1</sub> + ... + Y<sub>n</sub>. Claim: X<sub>k</sub> = Y<sub>k</sub> all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show T<sub>n</sub>/n → μ. (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line y = 1)

- Suppose  $X_k$  are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let  $Y_k = X_k 1_{|X_k| \le k}$ . Write  $T_n = Y_1 + \ldots + Y_n$ . Claim:  $X_k = Y_k$  all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show  $T_n/n \to \mu$ . (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line y = 1)
- ▶ Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k) / k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to prove it?

- Suppose  $X_k$  are i.i.d. with finite mean. Let  $Y_k = X_k 1_{|X_k| \le k}$ . Write  $T_n = Y_1 + \ldots + Y_n$ . Claim:  $X_k = Y_k$  all but finitely often a.s. so suffices to show  $T_n/n \to \mu$ . (Borel Cantelli, expectation of positive r.v. is area between cdf and line y = 1)
- ► Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k) / k^2 \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to prove it?
- ▶ **Observe:**  $Var(Y_k) \le E(Y_k^2) = \int_0^\infty 2yP(|Y_k| > y)dy \le \int_0^k 2yP(|X_1| > y)dy$ . Use Fubini (interchange sum/integral, since everything positive)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E(Y_k^2)/k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{(y < k)} 2y P(|X_1| > y) dy =$$

$$\int_0^\infty (\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{(y < k)}) 2y P(|X_1| > y) dy.$$

Since  $E|X_1| = \int_0^\infty P(|X_1| > y) dy$ , complete proof of claim by showing that if  $y \ge 0$  then  $2y \sum_{k>y} k^{-2} \le 4$ .

# • Claim: $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to use it?

- Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to use it?
- Consider subsequence k(n) = [α<sup>n</sup>] for arbitrary α > 1. Using Chebyshev, if ε > 0 then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \le \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(T_{k(n)}) / k(n)^{2}$$
$$= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \operatorname{Var}(Y_{m}) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_{m}) \sum_{n:k(n) > m} k(n)^{-2}.$$

- Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to use it?
- Consider subsequence k(n) = [α<sup>n</sup>] for arbitrary α > 1. Using Chebyshev, if ε > 0 then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \le \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(T_{k(n)}) / k(n)^{2}$$

$$= \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k(n)^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{k(n)} \operatorname{Var}(Y_m) = \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_m) \sum_{n:k(n) \ge m} k(n)^{-2}.$$

Sum series:

$$\sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \le 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} \alpha^{-2n} \le 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} m^{-2}.$$

- Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to use it?
- Consider subsequence k(n) = [α<sup>n</sup>] for arbitrary α > 1. Using Chebyshev, if ε > 0 then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \le \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2$$

$$=\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}k(n)^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{k(n)}\operatorname{Var}(Y_m)=\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{Var}(Y_m)\sum_{n:k(n)\geq m}k(n)^{-2}.$$

- Sum series:
- $\sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \le 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} \alpha^{-2n} \le 4(1 \alpha^{-2})^{-1}m^{-2}.$  • Combine computations (observe RHS below is finite):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_k(n)| > \epsilon k(n)) \le 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(Y_m^2) m^{-2}.$$

- ► Claim:  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(Y_k)/k^2 \le 4E|X_1| < \infty$ . How to use it?
- Consider subsequence k(n) = [α<sup>n</sup>] for arbitrary α > 1. Using Chebyshev, if ε > 0 then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)}| > \epsilon k(n)) \le \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Var}(T_{k(n)})/k(n)^2$$

$$=\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}k(n)^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{k(n)}\operatorname{Var}(Y_m)=\epsilon^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{Var}(Y_m)\sum_{n:k(n)\geq m}k(n)^{-2}.$$

- Sum series:
- $\sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} [\alpha^n]^{-2} \le 4 \sum_{n:\alpha^n \ge m} \alpha^{-2n} \le 4(1 \alpha^{-2})^{-1}m^{-2}.$ • Combine computations (observe RHS below is finite):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|T_{k(n)} - ET_k(n)| > \epsilon k(n)) \le 4(1 - \alpha^{-2})^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(Y_m^2) m^{-2}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, get  $(T_{k(n)} - ET_{k(n)})/k(n) \rightarrow 0$  a.s. 18.175 Lecture 6 Conclude by taking α → 1. This finishes the case that the X<sub>1</sub> are a.s. positive.

- Conclude by taking α → 1. This finishes the case that the X<sub>1</sub> are a.s. positive.
- Can extend to the case that X<sub>1</sub> is a.s. positive within infinite mean.

- Conclude by taking α → 1. This finishes the case that the X<sub>1</sub> are a.s. positive.
- Can extend to the case that X<sub>1</sub> is a.s. positive within infinite mean.
- ▶ Generally, can consider X<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> and X<sub>1</sub><sup>-</sup>, and it is enough if one of them has a finite mean.